

Dear Jin,

8/27/92

I've made several efforts to interest Fox TV in interviewing you, including today.

These seem like good people. But who knows about above them? In any event, I'm helping them because they are not going for any theodized solutions or nuttiness.

Thanks for the list of cases. After I wrote you Dave reminded me that his book has a dated list. But I loaned my copy to someone who did not return it.

Your computer did not come up with all the appeals.

I would appreciate a list of the files and I can eliminate those not relevant or more likely select some of the more important one. I'll recognize them when I see their identifications.

You don't have 718-70, that I recall, the Day extradition records.

The list of the records by number ^{only} that I have on cards are mostly not assassination records. It is a list of those mentioned in the assassination records as well as the main files I got. On 3x5 cards. You are welcome to borrow these cards if you'd like.

You said you enclosed a copy of what your father sent you about the ABA show, which is what it was. But you didn't. I am interested in how they postituted law and science, nothing else being possible for them. Although probably they impressed themselves very much. They had an outfit Failure analysis doing some work which is based exclusively on Warren Commission connectures and fabrications. So, how "scientific" can it be and how many lawyers would be in a position to spot or understand that?

Hope all is going well.

Best,

JAMES H. LESAR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
918 F STREET, N.W., ROOM 509
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004
TELEPHONE (202) 393-1921

August 26, 1992

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Harold:

Your letter of August 17th was waiting for me when I returned from an all-too brief (six-days) vacation at Ocean City.

I'm sorry I neglected to tell the Kysers about your physical condition. Usually I do remember to do this, but this time I didn't, probably because when the call came in I had someone else on the phone and/or in my office and wasn't concentrating fully on the nature of the request. I also don't recall a phone conversation regarding anything quite as detailed as Kyser's letter to you. Anyway, you have my apologies. I, too, know what it is to be besieged by unending requests for free services.

As to when and where the Justice Department told the court that you knew more about the JFK case than the FBI, I don't have a clear recollection, except that it was probably towards the end of whatever case it was. My guess is that you are correct in thinking that it was in the C.A. 78-0322 case. When I get a chance, I'll try and locate the relevant files and take a look.

I am enclosing a copy of a list of your FOIA cases which I handled. I extracted these from a list on my computer of all the FOIA cases I have litigated. I may have missed a couple. And my list does not include the suit or suits which you filed pro se (for photos of JFK clothing, etc.; the extradition records on Dr. King, and records on David Ferrie). In case you have forgotten, a detailed accounting of many of the earlier suits is contained in Wrone's bibliography.

On another matter, I recall your having told me years ago that you had started compiling a list of FBI files numbers gleaned from the materials you have reviewed. I have also been compiling my own list from documents I read. I have a 40-page list of such file numbers on my computer. If it is easy for you to lay hands on your list, I would appreciate a copy, so I could incorporate it in my list. (My list includes a large variety of files unrelated to the King and Kennedy assassinations.)

Best regards,


Jim

P.S. I asked my dad to gather any materials he could on the mock trial of Lee Harvey Oswald which the American Bar held out in San Francisco. I enclose the materials he sent me. I think you will find Stipulated Fact No. 13 of particular interest. Perhaps we should ask the ABA to hold another mock trial again next year, this time on the issue of whether Lee Harvey Oswald's defense team committed malpractice?