Jim Lesar 918 F St., NW, #509 Washington, DC 20004

Dear Jim,

Bravo! For such a comment, and from Jackson yet, remarkable! But what is it that led him to say that what you filed is "nothing short of heroic?" You did not send that. I'd like to know and to have it for archival purposes. If too long, if you have a summary, that would do. In any event, it seems that nothing less that hearty congraturaltions os called for.

When Dave phoned me Sunday he said he'd spoke to you about what I am doing. As I told you I'm trying to keep it quiet as I can to avoid any interriptions over it. As of now I have about 130,000 words in rough draft, all but about 5,000 read and corrected. It is being retyped in New York to be able to rush toward publication. If I have no major interruptions I should have it all drafted in 7-10 more days. I did not start it until about a weak after Dawe went down to see you and brought the book back. At first I was only annotating it. The I decided on a book. Except for the last completed chapters, I think 21-3 Dave has all the roughs. The rest care on the way to him save 25, which I wrote this morning and will read and correct tomorrow morning. I'm surprise, weak as I am, that I'Ve been able to make this kind of speed. I'm sure the writing needs work on it but I'm satisfied that the content is, s Dave said, unprecedented in history or in literature. McKnight has the same opinion. So, the medical problems that give me sleep problems, I've turned into an asset. I begin writing as early as not much after mifinight, more often about one, and that time of the day there are no interruptions and I have more enegfy than later.

Will you please return all the letters in which I've asked you to do what you have not done? I kept no copies, filing itself being a problem. I'll then go over them and write Kevin.

To give you an idea of how ffagile I've become, haw fraible my skin is, Yesterday I was celebrating the removal of the last of the commpresses on my amr in more than a year. I was congratulating myself on having become more careful about those little things that others do not rates notice, Like peeling about two inches of the skin on my right forearm back in my sleep when it touched my nose. There were times when little skin was visible, from the most inconsequential, normally, things. Leaving the dentest's office a short while ago, when the acor closed on me rather rapidly and I put my left forearm up to stop it, two more inches peeled back from the flat side of the door.

So, I'm lucky to have been able to do what I've done refently.

Thanks, nad best,

	× ×
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	N
3	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
4	JOHN DAVIS : DOCKET NO. CA 88-130
5	: (TPJ) · ·
6	V. : Washington, D.C.
7	: August 24, 1993 : 9:30 A.M. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE :
8	
9	x
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS P. JACKSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	*
13	APPEARANCES:
1.4	For the Plaintiff: JAMES H. LESAR, ESQ.
15	
16	For the Defendant: SUSAN A. NELLOR, ESQ.
17	
18	
19	Court Reporter: MARILYN G. YOUNG
20	Official Court Reporter Room 4800-G, U.S. Courthouse
21	Washington, D.C. 20001
22	
23	Proceedings reported by computerized stenography; transcript produced by computer.
24	
25	

2 00

40

THE CLERK: Civil Action 88-130, Davis versus 1 Department of Justice. Mr. Lesar for the plaintiffs; 2 3 Susan Nellor for the defendant. MR. LESAR: Good morning, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. Miss Nellor, I have to view what Mr. Lesar has filed as nothing short of heroic. 8 MS. NELLOR: That's one way to put it, your 9 Honor. THE COURT: Would you want to respond to it? 10 11 MS. NELLOR: Your Honor, there are two possible 12 ways to handle it. One would be give us an 13 opportunity, say, two weeks to thirty days since counsel is on vacation at the moment so I don't have anybody to 14 contact to look at it to see what we want to do with it. 15 16 Perhaps the better way to do it would simply be to remand the matter back to the agency to process it and 17 determine what they -- to let them look at this material 18 19 and see if it's adequate. 20 THE COURT: What would be the agency's 21 disposition on that? 22 MS. NELLOR: Well, if it is adequate they would 23 process the tapes then, in other words, and release 24 whatever should be released. 25 THE COURT: My problem is how do they determine

in reprocessing, how do they determine what information is information which has in effect been heard by the public?

MS. NELLOR: Well, what the plaintiff has given us are two exhibit lists that appear -- that say that tapes from 1 through 162 were admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

MS. NELLOR: I assume that's what you are suggesting. Now, if that's the case, if that's an authentic document and it is simply a matter of having the FBI check the records if that is authentic and if that is in fact what was admitted and they compare that to the play list and they don't have any problems with that, then it would be a matter of processing it. don't know what problems would arise from that because they need to go through that transcript and see if there is anything in there to suggest to the contrary or if there is any other documents that they have, for example, on the play list or the exhibit list itself that shows something was not admitted or rejected and then not disclosed those, I don't know, but it's a matter of they have to take what the plaintiff has given them which was, the Court said was his burden to show and process then those records and so in that context it seems we would, the Court would save some time if it were just to be

1 remanded to the agency and allow them to go through that 2 process. 3 MR. LESAR: I have no objections to that. THE COURT: Well, that's more or less what you 4 5 are asking for, isn't it? MR. LESAR: Yes, yes. 6 THE COURT: How would you phrase the order? MS. NELLOR: Just an order remanding it to the 8 9 agency. THE COURT: To do what? 10 11 MS. NELLOR: To process, to process the records 12 in light of the plaintiff's submission. Now, if we have a problem with it we can come back to the Court. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. NELLOR: And if the Court would like I can 15 16 confer with counsel and we can prepare an order for the Court's signature. 17 THE COURT: Well, if you are happy with the 18 language you have just suggested to me we will enter it 19 20 today and maybe I ought to bring you back then in sixty days. Would that be a sufficient period of time? 21 MR. LESAR: That would be sufficient. 22 23 MS. NELLOR: That would be fine, your Honor, 24 and if there is a problem prior to that we will so inform 25 the Court.

THE COURT: All right. I could think of only one other FOIA requester who has exhibited the tenacity of Mr. Lesar's client in the eleven years that I have been here. All right, I will do that and we will bring you back in sixty days and see where we are then. MS. NELLOR: That's fine, your Honor. THE CLERK: October 25 is a Monday, your Honor. MR. LESAR: That's all right with me. MS. NELLOR: Fine with me, your Honor. THE COURT: October 25th at 9:30. (Court was adjourned.) 

.

.

I, Marilyn G. Young, Official Court
Reporter for the United States District Court, do hereby
certify that the foregoing proceedings in the
above-entitled cause was taken by me at the time and
place as stated; that it was taken by me in shorthand
(Stenotype) and thereafter transcribed by computer under
my supervision and control; that the foregoing pages
contain a true and correct transcription of said
proceedings to the best of my ability and understanding.

CERTIFICATE

I further certify that I am not of counsel nor related to any of the parties to this cause and that I am in no wise interested in the result of said cause.

MARILYN G. YOUNG