

Dear Jim,

10/18/72

Yesterday's letter and large envelope came today. I'll try and read and make notes on the contents of the envelope as soon as I can. I took the petition and memo with me over the weekend but couldn't get to them. Many personal things long laid aside are beginning to press.

What you say is, in general, what I think I've written you recently. It was my original position on "new evidence". My sole concern, aside from that this not now be used, is that you may have gotten and I think should have what is legally urgent in the current papers. Then I think you have to find some way to include them, in some form. I think you must save your one amendment for the urgent that is by then new to you.

But in general, we are 100% in accord.

I am anxious to read Hanes' memo on the physical evidence in the State's possession. There should have been memos on other things, like witnesses.

On the missing pages, why not ask Hanes? He may have a duplicate set. I'd be surprised if an ex-FBI, ex-CIA didn't.

There are too many things to talk about when we do. They will increase in number and I'll forget some by the time we meet.

One is that some of our boys have been holding out a bit. I've gotten some of it, with patience and luck. It is not immediately relevant, but it is something I want to discuss with you alone so you'll know.

John is in the hole. He hasn't told me why, but it is because he refused to work next to a black man in the shoe shop.

Aside from the three mornings now taken up, I'll lost a filing. When we hear from the dentist, whose office is Wisc and Macomb, we'll be in. I'll bring the catalogue then. We'll be in touch before then, so remind me. I agree it should be in the current papers. Maybe even color pictures, for it is in color, rather than a xerox. Decide after you see.

The more I think about it, the more I return to my original view on the photos: only those immediately and urgently necessary to make the point. We will later need these and other things, as you note in your letter.

This is the time to make a strong legal case and enough of a factual one, not the time to shoot the wad. That also has the disadvantage of making the acquisition of more less likely, and there are a few other things we might be able to do with time.

From Jerry I have learned what either Jimmy didn't tell me or I forgot. He, Jimmy, was interviewed by the FBI in Nashville and told that the family would be ruined if they didn't all "cooperate". AFTER the crime was "solved"?

I've finally gotten a lead in the John case that might make the difference. I still think we should make an effort to interest the AGLC in the precedent. John's alleged partner, "Oldie", was retried. The jury was out an hour: acquittal. The money was connected with him, never with John, and thrown out as used against him because of illegal seizure. You can't do anything now, but I believe this is relevant in the overall.

If Dick can find time, I'd appreciate it if he could trace Littauer & Wilkinson, 500 Fifth Ave, NYC, in Literary Market Place (LMP), the NY phone book and city directories, until it disappears and then with the identification of the replacement in that office, at 500 Fifth Ave. The other name I know there is Carolyn Blakemore. Also, as much of a rundown on the African American Institute as he can do, with names. Ned is on the board. If you want enough reason, check the index to The Espionage Establishment under this name and Katzenbach, also on the board. And keep it to yourself for a while except for letting me know. Can't do it here and haven't been able to get others with time. L&W was one of Hunt's covers and they simultaneously "represented" me. Literary agents. Hunt's claimed connected ended Who's Who 1969, began 1965.

In haste because I may go into town in time to mail. Best,