

6/6/71

Dear Jim,

Thanks for Bristol, Myers and the LATimes clip, which gives a different and much better picture of what Blehr seems to be up to. I hope it is this way and that I was wrong. I've heard from Jerry Folicoff that there has been something on the radio in NYC quoting the DA as saying he will investigate. Nothing in the editions of the papers I get, and with Lil confined to bed from her fall and Howard working here, I've not been able to listen to the radio, etc.

Bernabie has also been here and will return today. He and Howard will leave in the a.m., and I'll then begin preparations for the 6/15 hearing. Without consulting the file, I wonder if I can't, right off the bat, ask the court to hear my motion for summary judgement first on the ground that it was filed first. I would like to and I think it has precedence, having been first. In fact, I wonder why the clerk did not set the hearings on that or both.

In thinking a bit about Jerry and his increase incorrespondence and what he has been saying, I think that for him to express even the slightest misgivings about Stoner has to be taken as an indication of genuine apprehension on his part. You can understand the apology that was not an apology from my response. He said that Stoner just argues in court, doesn't prepare cases or investigate them and he asked me to be his investigator should he be charged with anything. In the reply that will go out with this, as you will see from the carbon, I say I will do what I can.

Matter of fact, had we facilities, we could use this as a kind of intellectual judo. They have gone too far.

I'll phoon Paul Valentine tomorrow and background him.

Best,