

JL,

4/2/75

In the event we do not talk about this, I refer you to Jehu Carmody's "New Fairness Doctrine Dispute" B 7 in today's Post.

It refers to two bills, which mean hearings at which I might want to testify.

It also says that the FCC chairman says there has been a restatement of the doctrine "to insure it will encourage robust debate and not have a chilling effect on the expression of views."

Both of these come together. So in my view does the first-amendment right of others than licensees.

Witness the current situation. With ABC giving Gregory control over what it airs and all the nets, to all of which I am known, airing huts and self-seekers while forever having refused to air the views and work I represent.

Consider also the overtones.

On another matter, I think the time is ripe to speak to Bella on the FBI's behavior on FOI and perhaps see if with her new expression of JFK assassination interest she would like some testimony that destroys the Warren Report in terms of non-compliance with the FOI law.

The absence of copper on that curbstone does this.

Today we have a situation in which newness and oldness are irrelevant.

All of this is in Whitewash, dating to 2/15/65.

But now we have fresh stuff from the FBI and in violation of the law and the intent of Congress. And she is a watchdog.

If we do not talk, please ask the FBI which of the papers they have provided are the neutron-activation testing.

This is one way of establishing that they have withheld it, today possibly a dramatic way and one not without possible significance in the recovery of costs.

Best,