This is one or thoso daya on which the oniy fairig dafe thing for me to do is
 crany oid bostand in orr an anothon ano."

Broxything that hea happensi has angured me, boginntng with my om earlymorning work, with which, without having read it, I am not satilafied.
 ness! lan it possible be that thave dealtw with only crooks, considesinge all tho people whth thow I have dealte

Enoh of my mdine mowers sorkod out within a hakf hour of stanting them, and the erame is high and I am not. I have just had and paid for both buing overheuied!
(Listter of fact, if Ld were not now plantang a couple of amall troes and mowibu whare I've alresdy dymantited boles for hor, I'd be hend-nownes now, and it is probably the last theing I chould do. bre I munt one or ua to be able to answer the phone when the isky I patd for these rogalew phomes bwak, anausing ho domen)

## But I hava mome than a preyed-fon cathavela in mind.

 to face the eane tasue in ditforent form very acon beause he stits on tho case of the we

 W0 knowlodesen)

What you ary of Florida, cloarem in the letver than by phone, edde nothing to what I was told savoreil weeks aso, but thankn. Just have to wait and see.

Hecori: thanks for the updeto on la (antimand) pat. I hava thisa to ary about hime (egpietrive doletred). I huve ghva him und bia aounzel-what abould bo quito valuabie. It showld also toll thase they thay ave both careless or noither lenows his bustnasse And I have yot to get a word about it as anythang alec from it or asyarai letreza. I alao have somethy $i$ gse mow that I think midit be the logni deciding point. but I'm almo Just thing it. I've had the and I couldn't carn lesa what the crauy zevacon of ofther or both In If et thor or both reali have che. And youean ball wher or both or oay nothing. I Juat don"t care. Honily. Too muah $\bar{x}$ oun't do.
 there be anonetnizig other than projudice howo that does not when?

Agroed elso in Ehlue. Beadina, there was no chanoe of axy htiv intereat in ne from the finint lecause or the cubject and thansarter when I wada mowe faixiy stromisy put
 cf them is yellow and tine best is at all good only hy oomparison with the pad state of the profilasalon (idith a fow excoptions!).

Ian an roading coseell on Krohn Suggest you roed full graf with erest care. He in telling the FgI how to 5 st axters FOI Farywart By how they file. (To this point there are three references to me that in no sfughe aase I widsratand af believe is jealiy melavant.)
(But on 4 he gervea my orfeinal and persiating reading to that aspoct of Ampin.)
What you did not note is that in this ease as in wy docisiou he wrote the day of the sonth of May in and it was the same day, 3 ?

Ought we talk to Hoak, Hingham, oter On ask to?
They have a fomen for decoption of the court. for falae atatomants and ameanding by DV offlojels and others, etc.

JAMES H. LESAR
ATtORNEY AT LAW
1231 FOURTH STREET, s. $\mathbf{w}$.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024
TELEPHONE (202) 484-6023

May 9, 1974

## Dear Harold,

Enclosed is a copy of Gesell's order in Koch v. Department of Justice. While there is some very bad stuff in it, e.g., "the Court may only look to the Bureau's intentions at the time of compilation," it is considerably more narrow than the order entered in your suit for the executive session transcript. I note he finds a showing made with respect to each of the files he agrees should be withheld and also suggests that they are to be withheld only "if law enforcement re was a significant aspect of the investigation for which they were compiled." I'll hit him hard on this.

I spoke with Bud only briefly yesterday, barely longer than long enough to get his approval on the Brief in Opposition to the Petition for Cert. in the Ray case. He apparently had a very nice vacation in the Bahamas. I asked about his stop over in Jacksonville. He didn't have much to report but did say his impression was that the proposal had been approved and would be presented at the meeting later this month. As there were no negative comments, he thinks the prospects are good, so let's keep our fingers crossed.

I also spoke with Ohlhausen a few minutes about McCord, telling him he had been quoted in the New York Times. He was not aware of it (Ehlke told me). McCord called Bill up after the program and asked him if anything on Watergate would be in violation of Sirica's order. Bill said, yep, anything. Bill doesn't really know what went on during that program. He did, however, tell me that McCord had been an FBI agent working on their "Nazi" program. I assume he referred to some counterintelligence program the FBI had on Nazis. Bill said that he had once asked McCord if he had done any work on the Latin American aspect of the Nazi program and McCord said, yes, but in the United States.

Ehlke has done a fair amount of work for the Washington Metropolitan Area ACLU in recent months. Mostly civil rights violations. The ACLU was impressed and asked him to screen all the potential cases coming to them and then recommend to their screening committee which ones they accept. Since Ehlke is always edgy about using his position to do favors for friends, I'm not proposing we go to the washington ACLU on any of the various projects we 've discussed. I'm not sure they would have the jurisdiction anyway. But Ehlke may be able to find out who can help us, at least after he's got en to know a few people.

The dialogue in the Watergate tran tapes which just fractures me is where Dean says Sirica is a hanging judge and Nixon says "I like hanging judges." Famous last words. If I were a cartoonist, Id make good use of that one.


