

Dear Jim,

12/7/73

Your mailing metered 12/5 came this a.m. I've gone over all but the Aspen decision in some haste in order to get to the paper and other things.

Before getting into those of the enclosures on which I'll comment, let me suggest that it might be a good idea, looking to another book, to keep some kinds of records or cross-references to all these YAFers. I have a couple of files and I started one re WG, which reminded me again that a book on what they did when they got their chance should find a market and can be useful. I once thought of doing one.

Danaher: nothing other than what I said. He is a right-winger and there is no law against them being judges and none requiring them to be good judges. However, there are these several things we discussed showing that back in WW II days he and I were on other sides of those policy issues, his whether or not intended, having the effect of being pro-Nazi. In order to allege something we would have to know that he knew of my work. I rather imagine that he consulted some of his old friends if he did not remember me and got himself (in)properly inflamed.

Viguri, Richard H. I believe he has exaggerated his influence in defeating Kuchel. I note his politics but nothing except them connecting him with our present interests. ^{Noted ref to} Mel Stephens. Of course, the participation in the Carswell Senate campaign is a kind of link.

Williams, Julian-^{argis} man. He may be in my dead files.

^{Mullen}- you have gotten what I got independently on Robert ^Oliver.

New Majority Book Club, your notes on articles of incorporation:

I'm surprised their powers are not broader.

Nicholas A. Addams gives his address as 2121 P St., NW, #508. I don't know the apt. number, but I'm pretty sure that was Caddy's address at the time the WG^a story first broke. I mean home address. Easy walking distance to any of his known offices, too.

Perhaps he also is gay?

Would you consider it unusual that the 1972 annual report was not filed until 9/11 when it was prepared 7/6, the indictments were handed down 9/15 and the articles of dissolution were prepared about the time of filing?

Caddy-Gall law firm

The issue of the list of the bar is not given. I presume it is current. I didn't remember that John had died. I am sure this is the same firm. Lane was his assistant counsel for the period beginning the year after I knew him as NAM counsel. Unless he was DC counsel they then hired. He repped the NAM when we investigated them, anyway. Farright for those days (1937).

Is it possible for Caddy to have said less about himself?

With Dunaway also an associate of this firm, it is apparent that the ^Munt-Mullen connection is not with a single lawyer. Because Caddy did share Hunt's Mullen office it would be a good idea to go back for several years, to 1969 anyway, to see how long Caddy was with this firm. If he was through all that period, or rather from mid-1970, then his ^Mullen desk space was exceptional.

The ^{list} of District of Columbia Lawyers has symbols that are foreign to me. However, ^{as I} presume you noted, after Caddy we have an interesting thing: he is listed under two names and with two connections. As Douglas with the Gall firm. As Michael D with Gen Foods Corp. (Remember, the story is that he repped Gen Foods when he was at ^Mullen and my new info is that Cleaves Herbert, ^{Gen Foods sr. v.p.}, also was there.)

The List on Racey News says nothing. I take it from the Gall listing that he is not of that firm, but this does not rule out either connection or proximity, and it would still be good to know what we can, beginning with whether they have the next suite of offices in that building.

Acoca's 10/30/73 to Bud: This does not give the date of Hunt's alleged second trip to Spain. It can't be after indictment and I'm inclined to wonder if it was before. There is some reason why the Spanish government, which is politically the kind that would like Hunt's view representing us, would not accept him yet thereafter employed his wife. Is there any indication on this? It could have significance. I won't now call Manarahan to ask him about this but when we talk I may ask him what he may know. The Post has made no mention of any of this. I know Mike enjoys a good rep., but the one thing he did of which I know he loused up so professionally and so needlessly that I have wondered since. As I did about Billings sending him, of all people. I'd be suspicious of Bud's enlisting Szulc's help in tracking anyone, but if he does, I think it could be good if I were there. I'd like to meet Szulc not by calling him up and Bud has never mentioned di Tomaso to me. Typically, of course.

Neyses' letter of 4/16/72 I've not read his book and won't soon. There is almost nothing not more worthy of the time. However, I will read it. Graf 4ⁿ is marked, Nagell as LHO's agent. I simply don't believe it and regard it as close to impossible except for the pre-USSR period. I have no doubt about Nagell's past but I also have no doubt he flipped and at that point became a liability.

Lee Winfrey's Satr-News 11/18/73 piece from Knight's filing under 10 anniv if you want to discuss later. Valueless. Otherwise couldn't have been printed.

~~XXXXX~~ No. Va. Sun piece not included. Maybe later mailing?

Drinan 11/28 to Ed Berkeley suggests that Drinan and/or appropriate staffer ~~xxx~~ are indiscriminate and willing to believe the inherently incredible - what you don't have to know anything not to believe - or that they have not taken time to read that effluvia. Either way I suggest more than expected political sympathy and friendliness be kept in mind. However, I do think they should be seen. And don't forget, they can draw on the Lib. Congress for services and information. I think they'd be willing on this. Also his committees, Judiciary and UnAmerican, interesting, if he'd use or they'd trust him.

Next time you are where corporation records are kept, might be a good idea to see if Mullen is DC and if so, to go back as far as possible, to before and second Eisenhower administration. This is a good assignment for some kid if there is one with time. But not a nut, not one who would start running with an open mouth. Also, if there is, as I think, an ad. and p.r. directory, the house's clients. I fear asking JP to do anything. I still have missing files and after all this time he has sent not a single thing back to me except the files you got. I didn't want to have words with him, so I gave him this message all over again via Robert 2 weeks ago.

Not only is nothing new but at noon I don't know what the news is. Thanks,