Dear Jin,

11/7/72

Because of your phone call saying that you have coupleted work on the petition and the zero and want to clean everything up, I dropped what I should be doing to prepare what you asked on the Stanton file. I can't without knowing more or without heseing it to the point where I'd be reluctant to have it filed in court, fearing that the judges would aisread it, sisinterprot it, or have legitizate doubts based upon the need for the hedging.

I have also taped rudimentary notes on the legal-sized and completely unidentified papers in the same files that you finally sent the late in August. Where these papers hear identifications, they are without real meaning. They are not, for example, dated, wither. Not one bears a signature. These labelled as Stanton's may be prepared in Stanton's. So, I'm saving the tape but I'm not rushing any risk of sisleading or minimum grou.

When you have time we can discuss the qualifiers with which I'd have to preface any affidavit. There are many. The alternative is not only jeopardy to my reputation (among othrs than the muts with whom you cohabitate) but to run serious risk in the proceeding itself. It is not that my word would be questioned or that my interpretation would. It would all relate to the raw material, what you finally sent me. Completeness, for 1 example.

This, and not as it relates to you, is but another of the intelerable and entirely unnecessary consequences of the mixture of sick ego, sick ambition and endless diabonesty of Bud's behavior and still another affirmation of my widdom in stipulating the conditions of my undertaking to get say to ask him to represent may when I suffered the delusion that Bud would keep his word, something he never has and I'm sure never intended to now. As soon as we hung up **thesphase** I called the photo store. Shotos not yet located.

Now as it relates to you, I can well understand that there are reasons some of my suggestions would not be icnorporated in the final drafts. I mant you to know that I believe there are sany cases in which if you decided against it you should have discussed this with me. This last-minute is rush is not of my making, not in any way my responsibility, and has been costly to se in many ways, including in the waste of uncompensated time. So, 1 will not be happy if I feel and especially if it later turns out, as it has in the past, that the judgement used was not the best, that mine is shown by events to have been the correct one. I think you should have taken up with no what you decided to emit unless it was something I labelled optional and particularly if it was something I called important. With all the time that has been orapped away other than here, I will not accept time pressures as an excuse if this eventuates. Hore, I will regard it as another evidence of less than diligence in the pursuit of the client's and justice's needs. There are already too many, only a few of which you have, in the last minute and on my request, begun to putsue. I dongt believe any of these is new and the most recent dates back to early hay. The others are as much as about two years old! There was plonty of time to have done these when only an incompetent (not in this case you) wouldn't have done it on his own or as was the case, on request. Had you not had these things to do when they should have been done before you were on this, that in itself would have given you time to go over these things with me.

So, be prepared if there are mistakes. I'm not going to take time to lack for them, I hope there are none, but the past does not encourage me to expect none. Aless you insist that I drop everything to read the petition and the memo when I get them, I won't because I've had to let many too many things alide to do this as it is and I should be catching up on them. I also have to be away the weekend of 14-6. However, I might get it done while I'm away, for I won't take other work and I will have reading time. At this point I'm not certain I could recall what I suggested be included or changed and I'm not geing to go over all the work I've done to check. The simplest way was as you went over these, by a rking them for discussion with me. But were you to want me to read these things, the time was after you completed revision and before typing started, not after the supposedly rinal typing. That you elected to do it this way bears an unfortunately close relationship to one of the things in Howard's meno that I tola you I think you should read.

As you made clear, law-schools do not teach investigation. You can't be faulted for this or for a lack of experience in it, and I do not so fault you. But if you are aware of this and then make a mistake, then the fault is yours, whether or not you are under pressure, for your primary obligation is to the client, not the pressure, all of which comes from the primary source of neglect of the client's interest and in precisely the areas complained about in the papers you have been preparing. Or, has that also been lost upon you? If you live with Bud's fiction that I complaint for nothing, without cause, simply because I'm and at everybody, as he said at "enn, that is your responsibility. In the recent past my complaints have been specific enough to alort you, if not persaude. They were not for the sake of complaint, as you will learn if there are goofs in these papers. Thus, if they do not hold you to account for the mistakes of the past, they did alert you to them and if you ignored them and there are consequences, that becomes your responsibility I hope this is academic. The earlier drafts in themselves do not make no believe there is williams, there is nothing I can do to teach you now. (Which is to say nothing of the same are not reality simply because he prefers them.

knowing now that he lies knowing he lies, I do not assume the accuracy of what he attributed to you. What I have sent you will show this. I merely said I thought you should read it. However, what 4 have noted above that relates to you that I think is wrong bears exactly on what he size said and tends to confirm it.

I was not, as you know, anary when you told me you were having the papers retyped without my first reading them, the previous understanding and I think the necessity. I did not make a deal out of Bud's contents at Fenn. When you read the memo, if you dog for you have preferred ignorance when I've made similar suggestions in the past, you can decide for yourself whether there was cause.

What angers no is the endlessness of the utter incompetence of everything. (I'm not talking about your revisions, which I haven t seen and therefore can't.)This Stanton thing is just the most recent of the interminable examples. I'm going to have to go over all this shit again and in some may try and make manne out of it. If Bud had kept his word, this situation would not exist and what problems might have would have been addressed not less than a year and a talf ago.

This birmingham trip on which I'm really glad not to be going with you because of the time is but one example. It is almost two years since the offer was made to me. That is when it should have been done, and I should have done it. I'd have copied everything and then decided whether or not it had worth. I'd probably been able to bring it back for Bud to have gone over the originals with me and then copy what we want. Now you have to worry about too many things for which you are MUT responsible, beginning with your own lack of knowledge of all the fact and including such things as what others say have removed. I don't think, for example, that you 11 have the time to stop to think about what may be missing when you are going over what is not to see if you want it. Nor will you now have time to seek what may be minning. But do try to bear in mind that you are supposed to be seeing all of Foreman's, Stunton's and "doker's files as well as "uis's and Hanes'. And when they have been in the possession of others, I suggest that you try to take then to your notel room to ork on, especially if you have to be there over or partof a weekend. Because you are going to have to be selective, I think you should have a tape recordor for one use only, making an inventory (and BE SURE IT IS WURKING). You may or may not want one for another purpose. There is a simple switch of which I told you that was supposed to come with your Sany. Get it or, if you can't, try the Sony make from Bud's machine to see if it fits. It has a switch. There is always the possibility of forgetting to deprese the button or not depressing it enough when you make so many starts and stops. With the auchine set for record, the switch on the sike is all you have to worry about, I suggest you use only the only tapes with the alarma at the ends and on your TC 40, which will sound the alarma Nost of all, however, I suggest that you get hanes to let you take the files back with you, for then you could return the same day. The cost of taking them back would not greatly exceed that of staying there. And if they are not a whole file drawer, the cost of zeroxing all of them may not greatly exceed the motel bills and related expenses.

As the coming week now looks, I'll be away honday from about 12;30 until about 2, Wednesday until about 2, and Friday from early until about 10 and again from about 12;30 until about 2. I've be leaving for the weekend Saturday morning.

en el presente a presente de la constante de la

own mind unconditioned by that of others, which are dominated by their own function be with your I am not being shaftish or melf-seeking in any of this. If you doubt my word, and how I can be The longer it takes you to fully comprehend the situation in which you are working and how to cope with it, the worse the potential. If I fear otherwise, I wish you the best.