I note the early hour because in orter to cot this futo tha mall this momine to you so you could have it Honday moming there was no choice but to get up thise early. I told you yestarday afternoon that I'd go over what you had just gent and let you know by hondtay because I know you must get the affidivit out. And what I have to addiress includes what in prudence I think best not to do by phone, Moreover, I want a record of sone of it.

I begin angry enough because of Buits patrond aing advice of several weeks ago, that I roally should work by mysele. This after. I've done nost of hif work for him on the Ray case, begin ing with deliviring a clicnt at gy cost, as you've heard me complaint often enough, and doing overything I had done without pey and with the feeling that I'ma mendicant. In addition to this, he refers the asoociation of those he knows have regul riy stolen from mo and he knows the pnouing literary larcany, So, that advice was rather poorily time. Hore so with the the I've had to pened on the peciztion that he, really should have. Part or what I had to aot up eariy for ho has withheld frow me for nore than a year and a half to ry knomelde and still don't have. what bettor reeson is therv for my not havin. it than he finally prorised it two wecks ago and it can't mean an hour's work for Bob? I apecipled that you not be asked to do it because you oan't do what ho has dumpod on you to begin with. If the CMIA is to do anytring but stoal and fuckup, the least its difrector of researa wight be oxpected to do is find time for a little bandc work when, aftor row than two years, if ho has yot caught up with the past it is secret from me! (Andde: yentarday I phoned you there first. Fie finnily has cone to understand and says so without proapting that Gyril really ought not be backgrounded, that he should be going in to observe, etc. Shit! What I tried to got him and you toesther to understand a year ago, anil look at what thils combination of lust, ambition, obduracy and plain stupddyty have dono to what remains of the caitical cos unityl)

Well, you bnow i'm getting more and nore anery about plain incompetonce and about Bud's loging to becosse a Gribas hail by freazing ne out and never, Irvm the beginisin, beoping our agreament on the Ray case. It doesn't keep we from woinis what aust be done, but I'm really riled about it. You, at Ieast, have an awareness of some areas of tisis, and. I'In sure Elud you tola me a couple of wooks aco that I didin't know, that there is nd Igw school that teaches even minimal investigations. So, you'Il under:tand I'm not inloading an your per oncliy. But at some point Bud has got to stop enjoyin; hi: enlth at ry expense, stop makine woney (as I hopa he does) fron other parts of hin law practise, and meet his oblicam thon to his cliont, as he has not. He can't by givine mo provocation to just axy fuckst, do it yourscle, or by overioading yous, And if he isn't competent to do the job, he showidn't have tonne it or have tono the oxdinary, paid for it to be done. I have had to give up too much that means too ruch to we to 㺂 be able to do what I've dona on this to be cable to accept such an intoleraible aituatione At some point it is goins to have to stope Yet I am now perzuaded that Bud airply isn't capabio on coinc this ifthout help, that he just dounn't have what it takes, but he bas of tom enough bracceci about boing a Sonate investiger tor. Christ, he couldn't investigate the crnotalia of the nost pushy whoze. What follows is but a small example, andi it also addresses, if a non-lawyer nay have an opdinion on legal matter, his minsmal understanding of the case andi derense. You, weanuhile oughto bear in mind that I have had no treaining as a legal investigator or any kind, for that matter, and that anide from apucial techifquos you ay require in unusual canes you will probably nover need, all it requires is a littile experience and a lot of cowacon sence. But it has to begin with understandinge

I stilli don't have the publicodefonder's alieged investigation. but fynally you aent me a list of what it inoundes, a list that indicaters a total of but 29 items of ."hich only 1 is as loncs as two pages and three not even witness interviend. Christ, san, ism't that in itiself enouch to include in the petition in a choice of aphoroprisiate places? That is an "investigation" when you can quote the judge on draving on all the facilitiles of the State to get a thorough job done, even if ve ino tha judge'd ditin't nean it any more than Poxeman or Stanton? I think you have to include a very short but quite pointed Eraph, in the petition itself and an analysias as anoter ap endix. That I will Nor write but I' 12 tell you what it should include, ani maybe at this late dete, instead of luxuriatinc because he can aftoxd to onc oí these woekends Bud can atop bigshotting long enoug to do his own woric. All you have to do is road this list to understand. The State amounow that it was going to call close to 400 witnesses, nid in all the nonths begini ing in December the public dafender's filice intervieved only 25. And of these 25 what? Man, with 3 tanton even
 nowe of you ehould have yiased it) and with Charlia St phons the alieged "gtar withass" and the only one ovon olimaed to place kay at tho seone oi the crime, there is not intern Vien with, no statement from hin or his wife? bo you need nore to alloge what I tifink you rust as it rolates to Porenan, Stanton an the antire petition, that the professional incoupotonce of the pretense of the investilation not even consenced until after the case was to be tried is so barren aud bankupt that Foreman, as expezienced lavyer, had to know if was worse than no investigation. I think it shouli include allegations that for a public derender to be so grossly negligent and incoupstent makes him, in effect, and adjunct 0 : the prosochtion. I think at a point or two we should have some fint in the ifro, an! this is on of thoso points for a nubar of reasons, including the probability that it is one area where in hosaphis itself a broakthrouch of sorts is posa ble. In the appender on this you cight indulge yourself a bit with Poreman's glowing tribute and have a spearate affidavit from John and Jerry, which by the other content wili be amply supported, on what Foremen said of Stanton. ft will be crodited with what you mased, it will credit trion in other araas, and it points that whole thine up as fer thinges cano
wo i haven't taken tima for a fill analysis of this list. I will take nore tine wheen you give me a set of chll ruports, and I'L. Tud thwn with you and you can mace noters as I taken them apart, a se erate thinc that doesn't beloni in the potition itsolf but is nevded for such an also-neoded appendiz. of: the top of $4 y$ hoad, on a singic reacing, these are the few misuine witnesses who cewo to mind in odiutaly, and froc what was publiciy ano nin only, noy froin any investigations of tyy owif. From the papur, understand? You have
 kep him in jashl (Also in $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{U}$ )!

Eary Caldwel1, Lour, Laue, Jackson, Young, Hyles, Hendricks (is that the name of the sheriff?) liflilian finschuts, Jurry irancisco Moonias, the hospital spokessan, the cope abd deputies at the firehouse, esp. Redijok and Richsond of the red squad, and the fireran who hact been transferred away tn the last sinute. There is zuch nore than you can and shold adit to this list. It should be overwhelminge Do your own thinicing now. liy purpose is to elve you enough to justify incluaion in the petition itself. You can then do the work of substantiating it in the appendix. jut at this point and wish the evidmee Bud has been keepoine frow mo for so lons as an integral part, you sjuply nust ruin Stanton, who has earned it over anci over. and remenber, this is the wan with whou hay was afraich to be loft dangling it he fired yoreman in the last rianute, as even rowemin fuaned. I think this one


John's ailildavirs draitto 2 , last line, fearsct firgt word, "contracts". Too goneral. Identify them even if he didn't. These nre the contracts with Hanes and hurie that Janes has, which wa not all oi then, not the two zecuted in machend. They are thicose in the

5. Meed clarifylng in last two Itnos. Rivaly last two sentences, for not olear enough.
 madinc speoches whil the movies shoved colties burntuc" in thy firet sentence and in the last, "Foreman said that after a couple of weeks of seeince and hearing this, the juw itwself would want to 1 dill 4inge"
9. Chancelmas tryine to seil Jimy down the river" to "was seljing Jim y down the river".
12. Last the, $p_{0} 2$, conspi. acy hangs alone and uncloariy, "Ho soid if Jincy wanted to plead innocent it would seom jition he wouldn't want to bring in conspiracy." Asdio from being illogical and untrue, which should be mave inherren and not oxplijeit, to those note familiar wit the care, which includeas Judgos, this as it stands is not clear onoueh

13 ain conplaint about the sentence, but you should include how fohn knows 1 t, as by Jimu discuastrus it with hit.

14aileods reworitic. Defents itocli on hasty reading. It is not that "Foroman said he would bring in a man who lost the olection for covernor by a fen votes", etc. It is that"He soid he would bring in the lavyor who was runing for covernor, give him a hilf-adition dollares worth of publicity which would olect hin. The, ofter the election, the covernor elected by $\mathrm{Jfan}^{\prime \prime}$ cave would cive vinm a parione" I con't think you have to stick IH teraluy to the worta I got from John an long as you have their reaning without equivocation. I think you shoul fnclude Lookar's name "( the late John Hooker)" in zarens if you think necesuary and as I think you should concider, Moreman's and Huie's own lavyer. I woulen't
do now than nention this. The juderes will fot all the juicy ravirications, incluitio the eloar infozences of nes conflictB. If Jom suid it or knen it, ie mhould inclucle that Jinuy abreod. If he did not, then Jimy ${ }^{2}$ a affidavit mhould inaluales tho statemont that he han read John' ${ }^{\prime}$ g did have such a discrasion ith him, and that thie langer is the late
 libie. ind the atatemant that he afreed ILust to Hookoz anci later to th black lanyer, Hookse
15. Wast sentunce, insert what is underscored, "He said that if Jimy ment to tring and got the death onaltyous
16. Sugseet Insestring "About" as first word. I'm not as sux as John it that this was the procise thae and Jinwy may place a different the, so lates a be genoral enough not to pemait mitpicicing by the other suide.

19. I think this neods amplification with the detail I bave on wape, like whe called on what cases, whery they were $\mathrm{Imom}_{\text {, }}$ etce This is tha kind of detail that is firgt of ali. persuasive and second of all reaily iss needed to make tha point fuliy. Hore also on inat you have about not boinc thed up Ior so lona on upeala, etc. It is too stank and unsmpoxted for ao inportant a point. it doesn't have to be real long, but it does requime a bit more, at laagt along the linos indicated.

You can't use this fom for an aflidavit, I foar; as i earlier indicatol haybe you can. İither call the Buraau of Prisons or urite tho case worker, who is the equalvalent of a notary for such purposes but is not a notary. By the way, to avoid any later nacty cracies, I'd begin by identifiging john as a paison in ireavenworth. It ean be a sentence adiled to thi end of 1, even a very ahort sentence.

I raad aguin Batile's order on trhibot 1 and what you aeon to have missed, his
 thent it included the windoweill then. (I'C make tho pojts about the indiowallmthat it is not properily describect as a windowsill, being only $t$ e irong half of itg the iart on winich the alleged rifle would have beon allegedly rosted only if the alleged assassin wore shooting the cran in the moon. I'd use a bit of rilicule here. Then, that it is rissing. and the combination deflruads justice, denies the prisoner his ridits, or however you mant to put it. Tha bathroos Piotwe I have lat you have ahows this alearily enough and I lave one I touk ayvelf if you itunt ito We can onlarge the conmurcial pinint iftir ease.

Now, this oxion does zot say what the prosocution now says it does. vore, you have a combination hore you ahould exploit. I'll 30 into the details or the Items later whon there is phint or you got to it, if you do. Firgt of all, Battie dicin't ontro this oxder until the 13 thy whon he kmeis Jivay would apireel. Therailore, the purpose of jpeserving it for future proceedinuog axactily the propose Lou which it is now derined defonge counsel. Am I right in sayding that sone part of $\pm t$ are danied in any meaningfith way, visuril exantnation of some not being enough? Paranthetroully, I thinic thons of hich duplicates exist, liko the picturets, you ahould ask for. And tha documents that can be copios, etc.

Socond, Judge Battile did not orier that this we dimied everyone, as it was to mee.
 restrictod to "to retrain and asfely keop suid exilibits". That cioes not mean hide or dany the dofenge any of tha ifints it can legitimately clatio it neudg, and in they rake a fagig I'd go to court soparately now that you aro (I sura hope!) in federnal court. host of tilis is crap. You coula have sona fun talding picture of Jiviny trying to iut on the shorts that an too surali, and raice a point therobye and thore are othor serious thinges, like the roportal that thouli be at acher and aro not iisted as boins attuched, dealing vith scientific teatis, spoctro, fingerpmint, tce I have made a hacty, partiol list of ti ese thinger on time back. I resuze the written notea are Bud's. He nover told we that the Provincial notel tagistration "seem phonoy". With Ray really havines shtyed there, why shoula they be? and there whould be nowe than the registration. thare its the bill?

I won't have tino to raak and correct thins, but I will now be ablo th get it in the

$W_{i n g}$ I hope you ure beginuint to undurstand why I leel as I think I've hacie cluar too many timos. This is monlly your first cane as a alwyer, oo there is a 15 mi to the critideram that can fairly ba adureswed to yous but thiss whole thitng han beon a wisgracoriul mens from the dinsta because 1 was available, didi have the kowledge ardi was willing to mpend thee trimg, there is not exousu for us not having ali gotton together borore anything was cone and
plamed it. Ir you dicn't re ogniz this, as foll you wight not have, Bud should have instead of just lordifu it up, ortertn y you to do this and thet and not doing a dnaned thing hinself except vacationine in Burope, onjoying weokends at his mountain place, etc. ite are not hise Godiraned alaves and he does owe an obligation to what he underwok. I hap,on to think ho owes no the obligation of at some point ondine this endless abuse, and wasting so ruch time for me is abussive. I could have mitten a book in the time I should not have hed to take on clemine this upe 0r, I could have completod the apiendix of Piis which his abortion of a CILA is buaily oncaged in heljing get atolen fromam me.

Hor yous all of this can well be part of a lawyer"s education. I do hope that you, porsonolly, have learned zuch about the error of the approach on this whole tiving so that in the future you can be both more off ocient and nore ef ective. You will, I hope, be haniling other important cases in tho future, and you will niver have enouch time for theme For me it is nad has been andi ifear will contunue to be an unnelcome drag, just another soans of koeping ne finer other sork.
and worst of all, wit two years to get this ready to be rushed at the last minute? Whil all that tinc was wasted on one CriLA futility after another?

Dam it, Jin, I hate to have to witite you this way, especially when it is not your case and you aro saduled uith all the responsibilifty for it. But is there to be no end to whatcver you want to call it, axything from inceffeciency to incompetence to neglect? and for ree, the the intolerable context I needn't have to go into but recell one single thing, Bud"s patronizine advice that I should work by mysele? there the hell would he be on this case if I had, at any point fron gotting the client to now?

On a few other thingss I stij37 don't havo that box of wy legal pepers back. I've been in the oflice at leant wtice afnee you said you'd nave them ready. un this, isob yesterday found his (indeed!) copy or the invantory. It also has met notes on it, also comes from my legal file, also vas zeroced. Just how much distribution was made of my confidential Piles by ny lairyer who owid his cliont confidentiality? And ay originul hasn't chown yet. Shoild I be les: than outrongei that this happened at all? and particulariy after I had already complatned about breachos of confydence on other mateers and hau been promised it would wot huppon again? But what seoms to be modent wholes linn, the proparaiong of more than one rerox when nono shoul have be $n$ made is a bit much, espocialiy with the current tolezant patronizinc.

I now you huve had time to do what you want uith thu la t pictures I let you have. You kmou the pain just Loolding at that stuff casts me with their historg. Wou about finiching up ith thes and on tuming them? I would recous nd that you have a separate $8 \times 10$ onlangcownt of tho mixdowsill alone made of that print. I'd inclucie both sides of tile windo: to wherc a tiny bit of wall shows on each side, doing this the $10^{\prime \prime}$ wey, and preforably from a $4 \pi 5$ negative. Lif Bud hand't been a genius blended vith a miser to begin with I'd have had this done froon the original negative, hich oula have been muc ih botter and quito possiblo no nore contly ir not, indoed, loss that what this will cost in DC. I could stili, but I'm not now goine to take $t: 4$ time. Not with this historyl If you are going to do it, please do it now and got this stufe back to mo before it gets mif:inid. and remember, no copies of any of this stuff to CIII Piles or any of that assortmont of uierdos and egos on it.

Sincerely,

