Court to Rule on Right

washington Post Staif Writer courts across the country and mission were Lewis F. Powell Attorney John R. Dugan.

The Supreme Court agreed members of the Supreme Jr., now a Supreme Court jus.

The Supreme Court agreed members of the Supreme Jr., now a Supreme Court jus.

The Supreme Court agreed members of the Supreme Jr., now a Supreme Court jus.

The Supreme Court is whether, by penaliz. tice, and Leon Jaworski, the R. Wilkey said the proseculing the high court affirmed the ing the exercise of constitutive second Watergate special proseculing in the life wasn't, it didn't expected by June.

a defendant claimed he was it tional rights, such a prosecutor penalized for remaining slient thou actic violates the defend.

When the police told him he was thou actic violates the defend. A divided court of appeals presiding judge, Gerhard A defend himself.

Set for argument later this term, was the case of William that a judge or prosecutor plain; to arresting officers in the fendant did not take the without the right to arresting officers in the possession and stand at his trial and offering form, was peased as one of stand at his trial and offering form, was peased as one of the warren Court and critic inconsistent.

That case, Griffin vs. Callans, said there was "nothing an explanation for the money the most significant decisions maining silent to under police was condense of the warren Court and critic questioning is and then offering accused's silence as evidence of the safet that has sharply in a 1967 opinion by members for the line of cross-examina-tied for the restitant has sharply in a 1967 opinion by members for the line of cross-examina-tied court of appeals affect the verdict because the affect the viried court of appeals affect the verdict because the affect the verdict because the affect the violates the defend. A divided court of appeals presiding judge, Gerhard A in the critical the defend himself.

In lately promptly instructed the right to silence in the portect. In taking the case to the Sulficent to silence in the portect. In the Judge, Gerhard A in the ferifing the critical the critical the critical the critical the critical the critical the defending the defend in the promptly instructed the right to silence in the promptly instructed the right to silence in the promptly instructed the right to silence in the promptly in a dide critical and the critical th

the impact on the jury of such decision contend that the govthe first time in court." Supporters of the Griffin ernment's argument ignores his story by learning that he is elling it to the authorities for

tence, would be entitled to a new trial if the high court af-A decision is expected by firmed the court of appeals three-year Hale, who wound up with a suspended

equate silence with guilt. cross examination

jury wil

divided judges here, federal of the National Crime Com-tion pursued by Assistant U.S. Hale, who wound up with