## U.S. to Pay Lawyers For Defending Poor By Leonard Downie Jr.

Washington Post Staff Writer

The Federal Government their assigned clients could loosened its purse strings and not afford to pay them. took a major step yesterday Court critics had argued toward reforming conditions that this discouraged better in Washington's Court of Gen- defense attorneys from accepteral Sessions

trary to advice from top offi- of indigent cases to a handful cials of the Federal court of lawyers who regularly pracsystem, the U.S. Comptroller ticed there. General decided that lawyers eral Sessions, published in The representing penniless defend- Washington Post four months ants in General Sessions can ago, detailed complaints that be paid by the Government some of these regulars were

appointed to defend about as appearing in court drunk.
5000 indigents prosecuted by After the articles appeared, General Sessions each year.

neys in General Sessions crim- attorneys in the court be reinal cases went without fees if

ing appointments in the court, In a ruling that went con- leaving most of the thousands

under the Criminal Justice lax in their defense of non-pay-Act of 1964. The decision opens the door to possible Federal payment who could pay and guilty of of nearly \$250,000 to attorneys such breaches of legal ethics

the U.S. Attorney's Office in one General Sessions judge, General Sessions each year. Harold H. Green, issued an Until now, appointed attor opinion arguing that appointed

See FEES, A9, Col. 1

Attorney's General Sessions caseload amounted to 20 per cent of all the criminal cases prosecuted by the Federal Government in the Nation.

The Justice Department also supported Greene's position. It hoped that it would clear up the question of who paid for trial transcripts of indigents appealing General Sessions convictions.

Although the U.S. Court of Appeals here had ruled that the Government must pay for the transcripts, there was no Federal money available. They now can be included in the court expenses for which appointed attorneys will be reimbursed under the Act.

Court observers point out that much of the impact of yesterday's action on legal representation in General Sessions will be determined by the court's judges in the use of their power to determine which lawyers will be eligible for the funds.

It also will be necessary for Congress to give Washington's courts money for an adequate staff to administer the Act and supervise attorney ap-

pointments under it. Sen. Joseph D. Tydings (D. Md.), who called for approval of the Federal payments for General Sessions lawyers in a speech last month, said yesterday that "this decision provides the foundation for solving the problem of providing competent counsel for indigents in General Sessions."

He said he not yet studied it well enough to "determine whether additional imple-mentation will be necessary."

In another development affecting General Sessions yesterday, the future of a Con-gressional bill to add five judges to the court appeared to be in jeopardy.

Sen. Wayne Morse (D-Ore.), in a sharply worded Senate speech, said he could not support the bill so long as it included an amendment to increase the retirement pay of General Sessions Judge George D. Neilson.

Morse originally introduced the bill for five new judges which has been passed by both the Senate and the House.

But the House added an amendment raising Neilson's retirement pay and giving him the equivalent of full-time judge's salary whenever he fills in full-time on the bench. The bill is now slated for a conference of the House and Senate District Committees.

Morse said Neilson has been

"actively lobbying . . . per-sistently and somewhat effectively" for the bill in an attempt "to turn his retirement into another appointment to the court . . . which he could not get through regular chan-

He questioned "the wisdom of the presiding court judge' (John Lewis Smith Jr.) in letting Neilson fill in on the General Sessions bench full-time.

"My suggestion to Judge Neilson is that if he wants to be of assistance to the court," Morse said, "he should withdraw his amendments and go into judicial retirement."

Otherwise, Morse pointedly hinted, the General Sessions judgeship bill may be doomed in the House-Senate confer-

"The Neilson bill, in my judgement," he said, "posseses the odor of the barnyard and should not receive favorable action."

FEES—From A1

## U.S. to Pay For Defense Of Poor

imbursed under the Criminal Justice Act.

Green said Federal payments to the lawyers would:

· Encourage better attorneys to take appointed cases in General Sessions because they would be paid for their work.

 Provide an incentive to those regularly practicing in the court to render more effective service.

· Give the court's judges a more effective means of supervising attorneys by carefully selecting those to be made eligible for the Federal funds.

Greene's opinion, and a voucher he signed to pay \$50 to an attorney appointed to defend an indigent in a misdemeanor case in General Sessions, went to the Administrative Office of the Federal court system.

Officals there passed the question to the Comptroller General's office with a recommendation that the money not be paid on the grounds that General Sessions was not listed as an eligible court in the Criminal Justice Act.

Greene argued, and the Comptroller General yester-day agreed, that the Act ap-plies to all Federal courts and therefore should apply to cases in General Sessions that are prosecuted by the Federal Government.

Under existing law, these cases about 7000 serious misdemeanors tried in the U.S. Branch of General Sessionsalso could be tried in the U.S. District Court here.

Greene and the Comptroller General said that if the Act did not cover General Sessions, the U.S. Attorney's office thus could deprive a defendent of paid appointed counsel by prosecuting a case in the lower court instead of District Court, where the Act already was being used.

ad four Fees—L . . . R . . . . Greene added that the U.S.