

Justices Grapple With Juvenile Case

Youth Court Attacked for Transferring Rape Suspect, 16, for Trial as Adult

By John P. MacKenzie

Washington Post Staff Writer

The Supreme Court grappled yesterday with a problem that has perplexed the courts of Washington and juvenile courts across the country: What are the rights of older youths who commit crimes in Washington lawyers or Morris A. Kent Jr. or Washington.

Under attack was the way Juvenile Court in 1961 decided to transfer Kent, then a 16-year-old rape suspect, from its own protective custody to an adult criminal court, where he wound up with a 30 to 90-year jail sentence.

Several Justices appeared deeply disturbed by Juvenile Court's apparently unlimited power to make the fateful transfer decision from evidence that the youth and his lawyer had no right to see or dispute.

But the Justices also seemed disturbed over what seemed to do with the Kent case itself, which turned out to have a confused factual record that could frustrate a clean-cut solution.

Kent's crime rampage—numerous housebreakings, robberies and sex attacks in the Dupont Circle area—carried legal and political overtones

that continued to haunt the case yesterday. His arrest touched off cries that juveniles were "coddled" and it renewed demands to treat all 16-year-olds, not just those

whom Juvenile Court surrendered, as adults.

The case also has produced intensified efforts to improve law available to juvenile able to Juvenile Court and to open up some of its processes for scrutiny. A group of leading Washington lawyers and law professors filed a brief as friend of the Court calling for new national standards for youth court proceedings.

Attorneys Myron G. Ehrlich and Richard Arens told the Justices that Juvenile Court had used "secret evidence" to waive jurisdiction over Kent in the face of uncontradicted claims that he was mentally disturbed.

The jury found Kent mentally responsible for breaking into and robbing the apartments of three women, but not guilty by reason of insanity of raping two of them. The court-appointed lawyers insisted that the right to counsel, a right held applicable to judge can always agree to sit as a juvenile magistrate under Columbia, embraces the right District law, said Golsinsky, and that has happened." The Juvenile Judge special power has been invoked only once in local his-

waiver issue was indispensable to the pre-waiver full investigation that the law requires.

When Justice Department inadequacies of its own treatment facilities. Yes, Golsinsky sought to defend his proposed model juvenile law had concluded that the court's ability to treat the youngster is a valid criterion.

"Juvenile Court acts into, but gives no chance to rebut the hearsay?" asked Chief Justice Earl Warren. Golsinsky said counsel usually sees the records eventually and is discharged from St. Elizabeths Hospital.

Golsinsky suggested that the Chief Justice Earl Warren, sentence is subject to correction under Federal law if Kentever recovers his sanity. Arens said he would be duty bound to attack Juvenile Court turn them over on request.

"But that's too late to change the waiver," said Justices asked what a lawyer should do for a juvenile client who Kent is now 21 years old, Breman asked, would it be proper for the Supreme Court's own waiver procedure, since the judge there can convene a juvenile session. Arens said he would be duty bound to attack Juvenile Court to order a waiver hearing and let the conviction stand if the waiver were upheld?

Showing no satisfaction

dunes. "You leave me cold with this answer," Warren with that argument," Breman said.

Bryon R. White and William J. Brennan Jr. The adult faces waiver. "He can do what old, Breman asked, would it

Mr. Arens did, supply information to the juvenile judge," Golsinsky said.

But Arens filed two motions stand if the waiver were

swerved. "Is that giving a man

the right to counsel if we say he can make motions but the

judge doesn't have to decide whether it was "legally proper" for Juvenile Court to them?"

The Justices then turned to John M. Harlan asked, that Arens had failed to "press" his request to see Juvenile Court. Orin W. Ketcham? Arens said he had been "left with the impression that I would be wasting my time." White asked, "Did anyone never tell you that you couldn't see the social records?" Arens said he was sure someone had.

Breman asked if Arens full hearing demand could be satisfied at the District Court level, since the judge there can convene a juvenile session. Arens said he would be duty bound to attack Juvenile Court turn them over on Elizabeths Hospital. Showing no satisfaction dunes. "You leave me cold with this answer," Warren with that argument," Breman said. Bryon R. White and William J. Brennan Jr. The adult faces waiver. "He can do what old, Breman asked, would it be proper for the Supreme Court's own waiver procedure, since the judge there can convene a juvenile session. Arens said he would be duty bound to attack Juvenile Court to order a waiver hearing and let the conviction stand if the waiver were upheld?

Showing no satisfaction dunes. "You leave me cold with this answer," Warren with that argument," Breman said. Bryon R. White and William J. Brennan Jr. The adult faces waiver. "He can do what old, Breman asked, would it be proper for the Supreme Court's own waiver procedure, since the judge there can convene a juvenile session. Arens said he would be duty bound to attack Juvenile Court to order a waiver hearing and let the conviction stand if the waiver were upheld?