Review of Sheppard's Conviction Focuses on Judge's Impartiality

By Alfred Friendly Washington Post Staff Writer

muel H. Sheppard, appealing the contention that Dr. Sam-

Blythin told her that Dr. Sheppard was guilty. The case of the suburban

Cleveland osteopath, the subject of almost unparalleled sensational newspaper atten tion in Cleveland from the moment of the murder on July 4, 1954 until the end of the trial five months later, reached the Supreme Court on a second try. In 1956 the Court declined to hear an appeal from the original trial.

Dr. Sheppard has been free on bond pending new legal moves since July 1964, after having been jailed for nine years. He and his second wife, Ariane, sat in the front row of the spectators' seats during yesterday's two - hour gument.

Dr. Sheppard's attorney, F. Lee Bailey of Boston, contended that the prejudicial editorials, headlines and cartoons published by the Cleveland Press in effect instigated the prosecution of his client by Cleveland law officers, controlled their action and predetermined the verdict.

But, clearly not anxious to force the Supreme Cout into having to rule between the a Sixth Amendment rights to a h trial before an unbiased jury and the First Amendment guarantees of press freedom, Bailey insisted his case did not rest on a finding of law "; violations by the newspaper.

Rather, he asserted, the rial judge had legal remedies R to disinfect his court from the m

refused to hear the earlier ap-/deposition was not a part of Supreme Court Justices fo Kilgallen deposition, which event it was unsworn to, not cused sharply yesterday on declared that Judge Blythin subjected to cross examithe contention that Dr. Sa-

peal, Bailey mentioned the the record and that in any had told the late columnist be-nation and was rank hearsay

muel H. Sheppard, appealing the contention that Dr. Samuel H. Sheppard, appealing the contention that Dr. Samuel H. Sheppard, appealing to the trial began that Dr. Samuel H. Sheppard, appealing wife 12 years ago, had been tried by a judge who was contributed by a judge, Edward A. Blythin of Cleveland, is now dead. So is the person who made the major allegation about the prejudgment, newspaper columnist Dorothy Kilgallen, who had asserted that Blythin told her that Dr. Sheppard was "guilty as hell," that the trial began that Dr. Sheppard was "guilty as hell," that the trial was "an open doubt about it."

Led by Chief Justice Earl Warren, seven of the nine members of the court joined in detailed questions about the document and the issue, paper columnist Dorothy Kilgallen, who had asserted that Blythin told her that Dr. Sheppard was "guilty as hell," that the trial began that Dr. He was sharply challenged, however, on most of these points.

The Justices bristled no-lect that the lower courts had in detailed questions about the document and the issue, paper columnist Dorothy Kilgallen, who had asserted that Blythin told her that Dr. Sheppard was "guilty as hell," that the trial began that Dr. He was sharply challenged, however, on most of these points.

The Justices bristled no-lect that the lower courts had in detailed questions about the document and the issue, paper columnist Dorothy Kilgallen, who had asserted that Blythin told her that Dr. Sheppard was "guilty as hell," that the was sharply challenged, however, on most of these points.

The Justices bristled no-lect that the lower courts had in detailed questions about the document and the issue, paper columnist Dorothy Kilgallen, who had asserted that Blythin told her that Dr.