Suspect Questioning Policy Is No Return To Past, Layton Says

and

Alfred E. Lewis Washington Post Staff Writer

Adoption of the new policy of questioning suspects for three hours before arraigning them does not constitute a return by the Police Department to the old outlawed investigative arrest procedures, Police Chief John B. Layton explained yesterday.

Commenting on the order in the wake of "alarming concern" expressed by the District NAACP, Layton said the new policy demands of the arresting officer "probable cause" to believe his suspect committed the crime he is investigating.

No Dragnet Arrests

The new policy in no way envisions the old "dragnet" ossing everybody in sight of which crime has been rising crime into a cell in the hope here. hat one of those in custody will turn out to be the criminal.

NAACP officials had implied they considered the new pol-Mallory Rule, which requires no unreasonable delay between arrest and araignment.

"Actually," Layton said, "there is very little in the orpolice regulations for a long assault. time."

Layton said there seems to be a lot of public confusion about the permission granted U.S. Attorney David police to question a suspect for three hours if the officer has had probable cause to make an arrest.

How It Works

The very first thing an arresting officer must do, Layton explained, is formally charge the suspect with the crime for which he was arrest-



CHIEF JOHN B. LAYTON . . . clarifies new procedures

and arraignment will significantly bolster the Department's crime solution rate, rrests, Layton said. He re which has been falling almost erred to the old practice of in proportion to the rate at

It should result in the recovery of more stolen three-hour questioning period. In its leter to District Com- property, Layton said, and it 'Take Time To Prove' missioner Walter N. Tobriner, should give police an opportunity to clarify for court section of Layton's order that icy a circumvention of the presentation the nature of the crime involved. As an example, Layton cited an mitting magistrate, without unoriginal charge of attempted necessary delay, for the prorape, which could prove to be ceedings prescribed by Rule 5 (a) of the Federal Rules of der that hasn't been in the nothing more than a simple Criminal Procedure.

C. mindful of a defendant's con-that in court."

stitutional rights, but he expects there will be a court review of it.

Acheson Set Guidelines

It was Acheson, Layton recalled, who last October supplied a series of arrest guidelines for police that included ban on any questioning of uspects once they have been rought into headquarters.

That there will be a court review of the new policy Attorney Monroe Freedman, of the American Civil Liberties Union's Washington office, is convinced.

Freedman said his office is mainly concerned that all policemen will not follow the new order strictly, perhaps omitting the immediate charge required and telling the suspect to go about his business once the questioning has proved non-productive.

Freedman said he also is concerned that under the new policy an indigent suspect will not be able to get a lawyer until the court appoints one, whereas a monied suspect may have one present during the

Freedman also referred to a reminds arresting officers that "they are required to take arrested persons before a com-

Freedman said he feels that The new policy, Layton re- the three - hour questioning minded, was suggested by period allowed between arrest 5 (a) to which it refers, "but Acheson as being completely it will take some time to prove ed on probable cause by placing the name and the charge in the arrest book. The questioning period the new policy permits can serve as well to establish the suspect's innocence as it can to confirm the arresting officer's belief that he has the right man, Layton savs.

Layton explained that the "investigative arrest" aspect of the three-hour questioning period is lost by the requirement that suspect be charged immediately. It cannot be a "fishing expedition," since once the suspect is charged he cannot simply be released by police after he has convincel them of his innocence.

He must be formally arraigned on the charge before a committing magistrate who must find no ground to hold him and must dismiss the charge. Or, the U.S. Attorney's office can be informed of the man's innocence and will issue "no

papers" in the case.

Layton said it is too early to tell if the new questioning period allowed between arres