Address Correction Requested

Nonprofit Org.
US POSTACE
PAID
Permit No. 45490
Washington, DC

CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES

122 Maryland Avenue NE
Washington DC 20002

The Grand Juries

JUDY MEAD

An American Inquisition

National Security Reprint

REPRINT 105

CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES Washington DC



#### REPRINT 105

for National Security Studies, published by Penguin Books. "Grand Juries" is reprinted from The Lawless State, © by the Center

Ms. Mead is an Associate at the Center for National Security Studies

Author:

# NATIONAL SECURITY REPRINTS

Reprints available as follows:

Reprint 101

Reprint 102

David B. Cortright, "Economic Conscription"
Morton H. Halperin, "National Security and Civil Liberties"
John Marks, "The CIA's Corporate Shell Game"
Michael T. Klare & Nancy Stein, "Exporting the Tools of Re-

Reprint 105 Judy Mead, "The Grand Juries: An American Inquisition"

or more annually receive copies of the Reprints, Intelligence Report, a Selected articles by Center authors are reprinted from books and journals as National Security Reprints. Center Sponsors who contribute \$20 and First Principles, a monthly newsletter on national security and civi periodic publication which focuses on current national security issues

available for orders of 50 or more. Copies of Reprints are available for 50c. Bulk price reductions are

Order from:

CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES **Publication Sales** Washington DC 20002 122 Maryland Avenue NE

## An American Inquisition The Grand Juries

BY JUDY MEAD

intelligence gathering and political disruption in use grand jury one of the most powerful instruments for unlikely weapon for the executive branch to use dependence, and its legal authority have made the powers, its protected secrecy, its appearance of inagainst dissent. Yet its purported investigatory evidence to hold another citizen for trial, seems an citizens who decide whether there is sufficient The federal grand jury, a body of twenty-three

#### Of the Grand Jury Extraordinary Powers

- A sitting grand jury has enormous legal powers.

   A federal prosecutor can subpoena anyone to appear before a grand jury anywhere without explana
- Subpoenas can be issued for any records, corhandwriting examplars, or other items of interest.' respondence, documents, fingerprints, hair samples
- can be called, and no restrictions on the nature or number of questions that can be put to them. There is no limit to the number of witnesses who
- searches or warrantless wiretaps, irrelevant or prejudicial information—all of which are inadmissible in can be used—rumors, hearsay, results of illegal There are no rules about the kinds of evidence that
- grand jury's secrecy. ing their identity, for their cover is protected by the The government may use informants without expos
- in a police interrogation. right to remain silent and has no right to have a The witness enters the chamber alone, loses the lawyer present—rights the witness would have even
- No witness need be informed of the purpose of the

- A grant of partial immunity is often used to coerce testimony from a witness who invokes Fifth Amendment protection; a witness can be jailed without trial for contempt of court for up to eighteen months for continuing to assert that right after immunity is granted.
- Upon release, the same witness may be called before a new grand jury, asked the same questions, and jailed again for an additional eighteen months.
- Witnesses have no right to a transcript of even their own testimony; in fact, the prosecutor controls what, if anything, is recorded.

In theory, some of these powers are subject to review by the courts; but in practice, the courts rubber stamp the prosecutor's whim.

## The Change In The Grand Jury's Historical Role

Historically, the grand jury was to be a "people's panel" that would protect suspects against over-reaching prosecutors and unwarranted prosecutions. The grand jury's primary function was to determine whether an indictment should be brought against the accused; it sat in judgment on the evidence presented by a prosecutor and acted as a check on his discretion. The eminent British legal theorist John Somers once wrote, "Grand juries are our only security, inasmuch as our lives cannot be drawn into jeopardy by all the malicious crafts of the Devil unless such a number of our honest countrymen shall be satisfied with the truth of the accusation." Thus the framers of the American Constitution included a grand jury indictment as a right guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment.

In addition to its charging function, the grand jury has been accruing an independent investigatory role. It constitutes, as the Supreme Court has said, "a grand inquest, the scope . . . [not limited narrowly] . . . by questions of propriety or forecasts of the probable results of the investigation." Its investigatory function was designed to insure that criminal ac-

tivities that the police might be reluctant to investigate—the misconduct of the rich or powerful—could be pursued by citizens meeting together. The Supreme Court has thus consistently refused to limit the grand jury's authority and powers, "because the task is to inquire into the existence of possible criminal conduct, . . . its investigative powers are necessarily broad."

a convenient tool for the prosecutor. . . . Any experienced prosecutor will admit that he can indict until one agrees to return an indictment. present the same evidence to another and another, anybody at anytime for almost anything." Indeed if one jury panel refuses to indict, a prosecutor may Campbell concluded, "Today, [the grand jury] is but prosecutor. As federal district court judge William tion, the grand jury was not so much a proud and independent people's panel as a pliant instrument of the recognized what had been true for decades: in operathe grand jury. The Nixon Justice Department FBI to COINTELPRO and the Justice Department to political dissenters. The search for a weapon led the were no longer useful instruments to discredit COINTELPRO. HUAC congressional investigations tion was similar to that which led the FBI to begin the grand jury into an intelligence agency. Its motivaministration that first turned the powers of this people's tribunal against political dissent and transformed It was the Justice Department of the Nixon ad-

In 1969 and 1970, the Nixon Justice Department assembled the other elements necessary for a political grand jury network. Robert Mardian was named head of a revitalized Internal Security Division (ISD) in the Justice Department, which had been inactive since the McCarthy era. Its staff was increased from seven to sixty lawyers, and Mardian appointed Guy Goodwin, a forty-four year old prosecutor, to serve as head of a special litigation section within the ISD. Goodwin would serve as the field marshal, organizing a network of grand juries throughout the nation to locate "enemies" and gather evidence against them using grand jury investigations.

#### Forced Testimony

The last pieces were supplied by the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970, the Nixon administra-

evidence." might yet be prosecuted with "independent sources of to testify. If he or she chose to testify, he or she a prosecution could still be brought for the same transsources" provided other evidence against the witness, immunity and jailed for contempt if he or she refused action. A recalcitrant witness could now be given evidence gained from the testimony; if "independent specific crimes and provided protection only from testify.) The new use immunity was not limited to to the transactions about which he was forced to witness could not be prosecuted for anything related crime. ("Transactional immunity" meant that a fenses, generally those associated with organized immunity" was available and limited to specified ofment right to silence. Before 1970, only "transactional upon the witness, and thus "waive" any Fifth Amendself-incrimination, a prosecutor can force immunity claiming his or her Fifth Amendment right against criminal law in 1954, if a witness refuses to testify, immunity," which was first imported into federal witnesses, called "use immunity." Under "forced" creating a more limited form of immunity for an additional eighteen months if desired) and by grand juries for eighteen months (with an extension of Justice Department to convene special investigative the powers of federal grand juries, empowering the tion's draconian police legislation. The act expanded

The Nixon administration argued that the use-immunity provision of the 1970 Organized Crime Control Act was needed to aid grand jury investigations of organized crime, but forced immunity has proved to be of little use in such cases. Informers in crime syndicates are killed; thus subpoenaed gangsters are often grateful for the opportunity to prove their loyalty by spending several months in jail for contempt. Use immunity is occasionally useful when forced upon peripheral movement people to gather intelligence, but its true value is as a weapon to put uncooperative witnesses in jail and to frighten others who are politically involved.

Using forced immunity to punish witnesses who refuse to cooperate is a fairly recent prosecutorial tool, and was first developed in an attempt to break up an organized crime syndicate. In 1965, two relatively unknown assistant U.S. attorneys in Chicago, Sam Betar and David Schippers subpoenaed Sam Giancana, later famed as the Mafia contact in the CIA's assassination plots against Castro, Gian-

cana was granted forced immunity and jailed for contempt of court when he refused to testify. Betar said, "Giancana went to prison. And jailing him created a state of chaos and fear in the minds of associates. At first they had thought we were just trying to grab some headlines with the grand jury. But once the lester lights learned that we'd found a way to put the head of the whole show in jail, they didn't know how to cope." Later Betar said, "I don't want to brag but I know we laid the groundwork for the way immunity provisions have been used in the past few years." 1

## The Nixon Political Grand Juries

marized the situation: Kennedy, reviewing the campaign in 1973, sumradical lawyers, and legal workers. Senator Edward ment, Irish unification supporters, labor unions, ment, the Movimiento Chicano, the women's movement, the Catholic Left, Mayday, the Puerto Rican in-War, Daniel Ellsberg, the Los Angeles antidraft move-Black Panther party, Vietnam Veterans against the rate on grand jury indictments is 65 percent; less than purposes of the grand juries. The normal conviction some 1,000 to 2,000 witnesses by subpoena, and redependence movement, the American Indian Moveor pleas to lesser charges. 13 Targets included the 15 percent of the 400 ISD indictments were convictions often merely proforma, to cover the real investigative juries in eighty-four cities of thirty-six states, called conducted over 100 Guy Goodwin-supervised grand turned some 400 indictments. 1.2 The indictments were supplied that ingredient. From 1970 to 1973, the ISD prosecutorial responsibility. The Nixon administration required was a Justice Department willing to abuse its By 1970, all the pieces were in place; all that was

The use of "political" grand juries by the present administration is unprecedented. In a sense, of course, the practice is a throwback to the worst excesses of the legislative investigating committees of the 1950's. In this respect, the Internal Security Division of the Justice Department represents the Second Coming of Joe McCarthy and the House UnAmerican Activities committee. But the abuses

of power of the Department's overzealous prosecutors do not even know the bounds of a foe McCarthy, because their insidious contemporary activities are carried out in the dark and secret corners of the grand jury, free from public scrutiny......

## Intelligence Collection

that point, three faltered and testified. poenaed them again before a new grand jury. At tempt of court. As they left the jail, Goodwin subto testify and spent five months in jail for con-May of 1970,"13 The five witnesses at first refused left your apartment in Ann Arbor, Michigan, in visited during the time of your travels after you by what means of transportation and whom you questions such as 'Tell the grand jury every place from Cuba, every city you visited, with whom and you went after you returned to your apartment tivities in southern California. Goodwin asked develop in-depth information about radical acbought the dynamite. The grand jury was used to been returned against the man who allegedly chase of dynamite, after an indictment had already Venice, California, to testify about an alleged pur-Goodwin subpoenaed five young activists from convened in Tucson, Arizona, in October 1970. One of the first major Guy Goodwin panels was information on radicals throughout the country. vestigating crimes to collect massive amounts of The political grand juries used the pretense of in-

Since their purpose is to collect information, political grand jury investigations are characterized by the sweeping questions asked regarding memberships in political organizations, names of other members, and the activities of the groups. Guy Goodwin has become famous for asking such questions as:

Seattle—May, 1972: "Tell the grand jury every place you have lived for the last two years prior to this date, advising the grand jury the period of time you lived at each location, with whom, if anyone, you resided, and what occupation or employment you had during each period.

Tucson-November. 1970: "I would like to ask at

this time if you have ever been a member of any of the following organizations, and if so, to tell the grand jury during what period of time you belonged to any of these organizations, with whom you associated in connection with your membership in any of these organizations, what activities you engaged in and what meetings you attended, giving the grand jury the dates and conversations which occurred: The Save Our Solviers Association, the Coalition, the Los Angeles Reserve Association, the Peace and Freedom Party, the Humanistic and Educational Needs of the Academic Community Organization?"

Detroit—lune, 1971: "I would like to know if you were in Ann Arbor in the early part of February. 1971, and if you met any people in Ann Arbor who lived in Washington, or who you later found out lived in Washington; and if so, who were they, where did you meet, and what conversations were had?" 18

Goodwin subpoenaed Leslie Bacon from Washington, D.C., to testify before a Seattle grand jury as a material witness in the bombing of the nation's Capitol. Goodwin questioned her primarily about upcoming Mayday activities and her political activities in the previous two to three years. Ms. Bacon was later indicted on perjury and conspiracy in Bacon was later indicted on perjury and conspiracy in New York, but all charges were dropped by the government. Recently an FBI official, who had direct knowledge of the investigation, admitted, "We didn't know a damn thing. Leslie Bacon was the only thing we had and that was just a fishing expedition. She was called before a grand jury in Seattle because we thought we were more likely to get an indictment out there."

## The Grand Jury: Disrupting And Discrediting Political Organizations

Grand juries have also been used effectively to disrupt legitimate political activities, a sort of quasi-judicial COINTELPRO. For example, in 1972, the Vietnam Veterans against the War (VVAW) planned a series of demonstrations at the Democratic and

sion in a related case said the VVAW grand jury proby the trial jury on all counts. But VVAW's activities chill their expressions and associations."19 directed against disfavored persons and groups . . . to ceedings were "part of an overall governmental tactic and effective." A recent Fifth Circuit Court deciopposition to the war has been particularly moving grand jury to intimidate and discredit a group whose condemning "this blatantly political abuse of the weakened, and falsely branded as terrorist. On July were totally disrupted, the organization severely convention in August. All defendants were acquitted conspiracy to engage in violence at the Republican the Democratic convention passed a resolution reversed. Eight veterans were ultimately indicted for up to forty days until their contempt citations were desultory questions and released. Five were jailed for place in Miami. Many were held a week, asked a few very day their demonstration was scheduled to take jury in Tallahassee, 500 miles from Miami, on the throughout the South. They were called to a grand national, regional, state, or chapter organizers poenas to members of the VVAW, almost all either Goodwin issued a first batch of twenty-three subbe held in Miami in July and August. Three days before the Democratic Convention opened, Guy Republican political conventions, both scheduled to

The use of the grand jury for political purposes, perfected during the Nixon administration, is described by Moore's Federal Practice:

[W]hen technical and theoretical distinctions are put aside, the true nature of the grand jury emerges—i.e., it is 'basically ... a law enforcement agency.' Nowhere is this characterization more apt than in considering the use of grand jury proceedings by the Nixon Administration. In Nixon's war against the press, the intellectual community and the peace movement generally, the federal grand jury has become the battle-ground.' 10

## Recent Political Grand Juries

The grand jury continues as a major battleground. Although the use of political grand juries temporarily ceased during the Watergate investigation, there has been a resurgence of grand jury abuse under Attorney Ceneral Edward I ari

When the Watergate scandal broke, disclosing illegalities committed by the highest officials of the Justice Department (Mitchell, Kleindienst, and Mardian), the Internal Security Division was disbanded and subsumed into the Criminal Division of the Justice Department. However, spokesmen for the Justice Department assert that the shift indicates no change in policy, and the new head of the ISD, Kevin Maroney, has confirmed that the ISD will continue to investigate "politically motivated crimes" and to use grand juries as it has in the past. 1 Guy Goodwin remains an employee of the Criminal Division of the Justice Department.

possess what is essentially a judicial function. the FBI, feeling that no executive agency should repeatedly refused to delegate subpoena power to subpoenas in their pockets.23 Congress has often sent out to question witnesses with grand jury attorney in Detroit, has admitted that FBI agents are before a nonexistent grand jury.? ? Ralph Guy, a U.S known case, have subpoenaed a witness to appear with grand jury subpoenas citizens who refuse to poenas when people would not talk freely, and in one of a U.S. attorney, but agents have filled in blank subdecisive direction. FBI agents increasingly threaten objectives has reemerged with the FBI giving more intelligence-gathering operations with COINTELPRO answer their questions. Subpoenas bear the signature The same pattern of abuse of grand juries as

months in the county jail. The exact pattern was although one witness, Jill Raymond, spent fourteen a lesbian. Six people were jailed for contempt after visited by agents and told that her granddaughter was repeated in New Haven where Ellen Grusse and refusing to testify in Lexington. Five ultimately testified. The investigation was never pursued further In one case an eighty-four-year-old grandmother was pressure their children to cooperate with the bureau. Ferry Turgeon refused to testify and spent a month in families of some of the witnesses, urging them to the "harboring of fugitives." FBI agents visited the and asked detailed personal questions. Six refused to bery in Boston. Hundreds of people were interviewed community in Lexington, Kentucky, and New Haven poenaed before a grand jury purportedly investigating talk to the FBI in Lexington and were promptly sub-Saxe and Katharine Powers, wanted for a bank rob-Connecticut, allegedly pursuing a tip about Susan In 1975, FBI agents descended upon the women's

vaded individuals' privacy and disrupted their political created suspicion and divisions among friends; it incourt citations and jail. In either case, the grand jury political activity; to refuse was to face contempt-ofsurveillance of the women's movement and protected cooperate was to assist the government's witnesses the choices were all unpalatable. To women was charged or tried for any offense, except refusing to cooperate in the dragnet. For the were handed down in either community; none of the secutor withdrew their subpoenas. No indictments spent an additional six months in prison until the proprison. Both were then subpoenaed upon release and

the VVAW as violent in 1972. jury subpoenas had earlier stigmatized members of rorist label without a shred of evidence, just as grand Edgar Maury Santiago in Puerto Rico, have already witnesses, Lureida Torres in New York City and and became objects of later FBI interrogations. Two Puerto Rican activists and organizations with a teralmost automatic judicial approval, served to brand been jailed. The grand jury subpoena, receiving Citizens attending court hearings were photographed merely being associated in the Puerto Rican Socialist court accepted the government's proposition that activities and associates dating back many years. The community extensively, threatening to subpoena guise of "bombing and explosives" investigations. In party was sufficient basis to justify a subpoena. those who wouldn't answer questions about political subpoenaed to grand jury investigations under the party or the Puerto Rican Nationalist party, have pendence movement, the Puerto Rican Socialist tifiable in some way with the Puerto Rican inde-New York City, the FBI questioned the Puerto Rican In New York City and Puerto Rico, people iden-

#### Grand Jury The Need for

sent. In 1975, a second wave of "political" grand juries began, starting with the Lexington and New use of grand juries as a weapon against political dis-Haven probes mentioned above. Other political grand juries have recently been convened against labor To date, no restraints have been imposed upon the

> a movie made on Weather Underground in Los in Pennsylvania and in California, and in the filming of and/or information about their clients.\*\* cases across the country and asked for their records Angeles. In addition, radical defense lawyers and the Symbionese Liberation Army/Patty Hearst case Colorado. There have been grand jury proceedings in egal workers are now being subpoenaed in political Oklahoma, and Iowa, and the Chicano movement in unions in Washington, D.C., and Florida, the American Indian movement at Wounded Knee, South Dakota,

Court of Appeals, observed recently: Shirley Hufstedler, a judge on the Ninth Circuit

protect political dissent to become an instrument grand jury that was designed at least partially to of political suppression. 13 cruel twist of history to allow the institution of the punishment of political dissidents. It would be a an increasing flow of cases arising out of grand jury proceedings concerned with the possible Today, courts across this country are faced with

The "cruel twist" continues as yet unchecked.

#### Footnotes

- U.S. v. Dionesio, 410 U.S. 1 (1973).
- wiretap: U.S. v. Gelbhard, 408 U.S. 41 (1972). searches: U.S. v. Calandra, 94S.Ct.613 (1974). Warrantless 2. Hearsay: Costello v. U.S., 350 U.S. 359 (1955). Illegal
- 3. U.S. v. Mandujano, 44 U.S.L.W. 4629, 0000 U.S. 0000,
- on Immigration, Citizenship and International Law, June 6, 1976, pp. 59-63. by the Office of Policy and Planning, U.S. Department of Justice, for the House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee 4. See generally Memorandum on the Grand Jury, prepared
- 5. "A Kind of Immunity That Leads to Jail: The New Grand 1973. (Hereafter cited as Cowan article.) Jury," by Paul Cowan, New York Times magazine, April 29
- 6. Blair v. U.S., 250 U.S. 273.282 (1919).
- Branzburg v. Hayes, 408 U.S. 665.668 (1972)
- 8. "Annals of Law: Taking the Fifth," by Richard Harris
- See generally Kastigar v. U.S., 406 U.S. 44 (1972) New Yorker, April 19, 1976.
- Cowan article.
- Cowan article

13. Normal conviction rate: "The Organized Crime Control Act or Its Critics: Which Threatens Civil Liberties?" Mc-Clellan, 46 Notre Dame Lawyer, 55, 60 (1970), cited in The Grand Iury by Leroy Clark (New York: Quadrangle, 1975), p. 50. ISD conviction rate: "Who Is Guy Goodwin and Why Are They Saying Those Terrible Things about Him?" by Lacey Fosburgh, Juris Doctor, January 1973.

14. The testimony of Senator Edward M. Kennedy Hearings

14. The testimony of Senator Edward M. Kennedy, Hearings on the Fort Worth Five and Grand Jury Abuse before the House Judiciary Subcommittee No. 1, March 13, 1973.

15. The Grand Jury, pp. 47-48.

16. Grand Jury "Horror" Stories, compiled by Barry Winograd, March 15, 1973: Seattle, p. 6; Tucson, p. 4; Detroit, p. 6. Available from Coalition to End Grand Jury Abuse, 105 2nd St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002.

"Arrest in Capitol Bombing Called Fishing Expedition,"
 by Timothy S. Robinson, Washington Post, Oct. 17, 1975.
 Frank J. Donner and Richard I. Lavine, "Kangaroo Grand Juries," The Nation, Nov. 19, 1973.

U.S. v. Briggs. 514 F 2nd 794, 805-806 (5th Circuit 1975)
 8 Moore's Federal Practice 6.02[1][b].

21. Cowan article.

22. In re Grand Jury Investigation, Des Moines, Iowa, in the matter of Martha Copleman, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Iowa, M-1-59.

23. "The FBI Connection," Grand Jury Report, published by Coalition to End Grand Jury Abuse (Winter 1976), p. 5.
24. "Grand Juries: A History of Repression," Quash, published by Grand Jury Project, 853 Broadway, New York published Juries, pp. 13, 15.

25. Barry Winograd and Martin Tassler, Trial, January/February 1973, p. 16.

# For More Information on Grand Juries:

Clark, Leroy, The Grand Jury, New York: Quadrangle, 975.

Coalition to End Grand Jury Abuse, 105 2nd Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002 (newsletter: Grand Jury Report, and various reprints available).

Donner, Frank, and Lavine, Richard, "Kangaroo Grand Juries," The Nation, Nov. 19, 1973.

Grand Jury Project, 853 Broadway, New York City 10003 (newsletter: Quash, and various other publications available).

Harris, Richard, "Annals of Law: Taking the Fifth," New Yorker, April 5, 12, 19, 1976.

Representation of Witnesses before Federal Grand Juries. 2nd\_ed\_London: Clark Boardman Co., 1976.

# CENTER FOR NATIONAL SECURITY STUDIES

The Center for National Security Studies is an independent organization devoted to nonpartisan research and publication on national security issues. A central concern is the relationship between traditional liberties and freedoms and the exercise of state power in the name of national security. The principal purpose of the Center is to promote public understanding and involvement in these issues of national importance.

The Center is an independent, non-profit, tax-exempt project of the Fund for Peace. It is financed by tax-deductible contributions from private donors and foundations and receives no government funds or support.

## The Fund for Peace

#### Officers

Randolph P. Compton
Chairman, Board of Trustees
Joel Brook
Acting President
Abraham Wilson
Vice President and Counsel
Stephen R. Paschke
Treasurer
Robert W. Gilmore
Secretary
Stewart R. Mott
Matthew B. Rosenhaus
Co-Chairmen,
Executive Committee

### Board of Trustees

Mrs. Marjorie Benton
Arthur D. Berliss, Jr.
Cyril E. Black
Julian Bond
William G. Bowen
Edward W. Brooke
Joel I. Brooke
Joseph S. Clark
Barry Commoner
James R. Compton
Randolph P. Compton
Norman Cousins
Morris Dees
Royal H. Durst

Harry B. Hollins
Mrs. Thomas E. Irvine
Walter J. Leonard
Joseph P. Lyford
Myres S. McDougal
Howard M. Metzenbaum

Mrs. Arthur M. Young

G. Sterling Grumman Charles Guggenheim

Helen Edey Richard A. Falk Robert W. Gilmore

Rev. Theodore M. Hesburgh

New York, New York 10023

1995 Broadway

Susan W. Weyerhaeuser Charies W. Yosi Abraham Wilson Harold Willens Jerome B. Wiesner Jay Wells Albert Wells, 11 Peter Weiss Ira D. Wallach Audrey R. Topping losephine B. Spencer Young M. Smith, Jr. Alfred P. Slaner Matthew B. Rosenhaus Albert M. Rosenhaus Stanley K. Platt Dr. Martha Lucas Pate Lawrence S. Phillips Stewart R. Mott The Rt. Rev. Paul Moore, Jr. Jean Picker Harvey Picker Earl D. Osborn Augustin H. Parker Davidson Nicol