

LATTIMER - Random notes

PLH 1/11/72

N.Y. Times index checked, 1961-1970

1961: under 'Freedom, awards' - Columbia-Presb Med Center & Dr. Lattimer get M Morgenstern Foundation Award, Mar 14, p. 20:4

1965: Dr. J.K. Lattimer notes parallel between aspect of ~~the~~ State Sec Seward's stabbing on night Lincoln was shot and Mrs. Kennedy's 'acute amnesia' after husband was shot, article in AMA publication, April 10, p. 59:3

Who's Who, 1970-1 edition: born 1914, BA Columbia '35, listed as urologist, member of Assn. Mil. Historians, & Soc. War of 1812, Order of Foreign Wars of US, Order of Founders and Patriots (?), etc. Also Metropolitan Club (NYC)

Index Medicus, 1963-1970

~~1964~~ 1964 The Wound that killed ~~him~~ Lincoln JAMA 188:133-5, 13 Apr '64

1965 Autopsy on Abraham Lincoln; retrieval of a lost report
JAMA 193:349-50, 2 Aug 65

The stabbing of Lincoln's Sec. of State on the night the President was shot (Wm H. Steward) JAMA 192:99-106, 12 Apr 1965

1966 Similarities in the fatal woundings of John Wilkes Booth and Lee Harvey Oswald. N.Y. Jour. Med. 66:1782-94 1 Jul 66

(I don't recall seeing this. Was it serious?)

1969 The Kennedy-Connally single bullet theory - a feasibility study (with J. Lattimer - his young son!) International Surgery 50:524-32, Dec. 66 (I remember this - it read as if written by the younger author - who at least had an excuse - but I can't find my copy.)

Also lots of articles on urology (I saw nothing indicating a continuing interest in pathology or forensic medicine). If he is as much a clown as I suspect, some of these ~~at~~ articles may be revealing of his character, e.g. "The importance of teaching pediatric urology vigorously," J Urol, 89:638-42, Apr. 63

"Introduction of cytosopes into ~~female~~ female patients without contaminating the gloved fingers" J Urol., 90:235-6, Aug '63.

Press Coverage: I have the 1/9 NYTimes.

San ~~Jose~~ Jose Sunday Mercury-News - 1/9 p. 1 (!), large part of NYT story, under headline "curbs loosened on JFK death pictures"

S.F. Sunday Examiner-Chronicle: brief AP story, "first private doctor sees JFK autopsy data"

Berkeley Gazette (~~and~~ ~~not published~~) Page 1, over short UPI story starting out with "a doctor who has ~~been~~ specialized in assassinations...." Headline: The JFK assassination: 'It Was Oswald' (I guess Lee Harvey is in the background in some of the autopsy photos?)

Nichols suit for access: appeal scheduled for Jan 12. May explain timing? Serious purpose? Panel met for 2 days, mulled over the report for a month or two. Lattimer: less than 1 day examination?

Nothing on head wounds.

Is L. completely ignorant as well as a fool? From his description of the angle, it follows (as night follows day) that if Connally were hit by the same bullet he would have had to be squatting on the floor of the car in front of JFK.

L's purpose: verify part of what ~~the~~ the Panel said and make a ~~specific~~ splash? So NYT story suggests - he thought that rept too technical (!!).

~~While checking to see if he had said just what the panel did, I says bullet left "in the car" Panel said "subcutaneous explosion". My medical informant things this must have been "subcutaneous explosion".~~

L confirms panel on bruise at neck/back entrance. But Humes et al. authentication (p.2) cite "inverted, regular, smooth" edges for the same conclusion. Their next sentence may be read as saying they would NOT expect more signs, e.g. bruising. This ~~smells~~ smells foul.

cc: HW, GRS, JNS. I'm doing nothing else. Hope the crazies don't look worse than L.