Lasse ^Lersen Engvej 76 2791 Frager DEWMARK

Dear Lasse, that

That was an enexperted surprise, that very attractive transclation on WW IV in that fine binder. And it was even more of a surprise to find the Danish-English dictionery with it. And so well packed it was in, both were in perfect condition when I opened the package. But I really cannot take the time to work my way through it now. I'll probably give it to the local college where all my records will be. However, I have full confidence that you will have done it well and that you can find a publisher with the interest.

With the very sensible approach you have taken to make the whole thing comprehensible to anish readers, do you want to carry that forward by including early in your work the executive session transcript from Post Mortem, pp 475 ff?

I have two books about to appear, at least now scheduled for this years, both inexplicably delayed. The first will be <u>ase</u> <u>Opened</u>, a rather sirgical evisceration of Posner and his book. The second, which could have appeared before Posner and is being held for the 1994 anniversary, carries by other work forward and it a large work.

I do think that after WW I Post Mortem is the most important. None of it has been dated or superceded and I've had no criticism of any of it. "o complaint about any inaccuracy or unfairness.

tember you will find added confirmation of what I see you gued about Senator Russell and that Senator Cooper, also a Commissioner, agreed with him. You wills also see that Russell's assistant, I think his legislative assistant, praise my work, first four, and said that I agreed with Russell's in his didagreement with the Report.

I think you have lost nothing in not keeping up with the books. I can say not a good thing for any I've read and they do make much up out of nothing. Or are just plain crazy. I mean this also lite ally.

That the Posner falsifications in h is commercialization and exploitation of the crime is as adopted by the major media reflects a major and deeply troubling problem in this country. Nobdoy ever checked him out, not for any story, review or TV show of which h know. It is literary whoring by him and pimping for the government by the media. He could write it with a lack of conscience and he has no active conscience, as h know from personal experience.

Frank Ragano is a fake, convicted of felonics, appealing and hoping for some consideration for what he made up. Which is the opposite of what he told the FBI earlier.

Because the crime was never officially investigated and was never intended to 🐙

be we have no wat or answering your question, Wwho was behind" that assassination.

I never conjecture about it. That is acceptable in faction but on such a crime I think not in nonfiction. I think the most obvious suspicion is that JFK was assassinated to change some of his policies. That is the inevitable effect under our system and his automatic successor was a known quantity. But is you stop to think of all the many differ nt interests that wanted to change policy, there is no way of pinpointing those who may have been behind that assassination.

Have you approached a publisher? I think you should and I wish you luck with it! I'll also be interested in the reaction you get.

Since we were last in touch the fine small local college awarded my wife and me honorary doctorates in the humanities. I'll enclose something on that you might want to show a possible publisher. And if I think of it what might also impress him, that the 1974 amending of the investigatoryfile exemption of FOIA was because of the need brought to attention by the first of my FPIA lawsuits for the results of the scientific testing.

It was the surviving Kennedy brother who saw to it that the legislative history would be clear on that.

When you have time I'll appreciate a short acount of you an your career to put in that elegant binder for when it goes to the college, either now or later.

Many thanks and out best wishes to you and hopes for the best possible year ahead,

incerely,

Harold Weisberg

Publisher Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road R.d.Frederick M.D. 21701 U.S.A.

Dear Harold.

Dragør 28/12-93

Even it is a very long time since I have been writing to you, I am still working with the translation of your books. When I started with the project, I was not aware of the enormous material you have published and furthermore, which book was more important than the other, even they are all important. But as I have told you before in my letter, I decided to begin with the book which in my opinion is the most important, "Whitewash 4".

This book gives the background for your writing, the difficulties in getting your books published, and most important, your legal battles with the juridicial system in the United States of America. I am now almost finished with the book, and to give you an impression of this preliminary work I forward it here for your study. To give you a little understanding of my translation, I also send you a dictionary in danish-english. It is probably like chinese to you but as you know, it is never to late to learn. After I have finished with "Whitewash 4", I intend to follow up with your first book "Whitewash", which I am now halfway through with the translation.

I would like to hear your suggestions concerning which material you think is the most important in you other books, especially "Post Mortem" which I also intend to include, or some interesting officielle documents in that connection.

The problem for me in getting all your books translated is time, and of course sorting out the material. I am sure you are quite aware of which material is most important, with your experience after so many years, I try to find the material which in my opinion is essential for getting a better understanding of the whole picture, but I can assure you it is not easy.

I have decided not to let any of the many books there have been published in the last years interfere with my work on your books. The more I read and the more television I watch, I get more and more frustated. It seems like anybody now have an answer to the question: "Who killed Kennedy".

In the last week, the danish television has shown a documentary with the author Gerald Posner in connection with his latest book "Case Closed". How can a man write such a book, get his arguments unchallenced on TV without any objections from the interwiever, and have his book selected for the "Book of the Month"? And what about Frank Raggano, the former adviser for the late mobster Santos Trafficante, who claim that the Mob did it. That is part of the reason for me not to take all this sensations seriously.

Harold, I would like to ask you a very important question, if I may so, and that is. After so many years and such much research on the assassination, is it possible for you to give me your personal opinion and possible answer to my question which is: Who was behind the assassination of President Kennedy. I am sure you dont have the right answer, but I would like to hear what you believe could be the answer.

There are many more questions I would like to ask you, but as I know you are a very busy man, I will conclude my letter in the hope that you can accept my premiliary work so far. When I got some more I will send it to you.

May I use the opportunity to wish you and your wife a very happy and healthy New Year.

Yours Sincerely Lasse

L.Larsen Engvej 76 2791 Dragør Denmark