## hr. James Sarl Ray - 65477 Brushy Mountain Prison Petros, Iono. 37645

NE

## Dear Jimy,

「「「「「「「」」」という

÷2

As soon as ine much that long windup, all the irrelevant specimentaling with which you begin your 1/30, I know accerthing pointless and ludierous would follow. Why else the elaborate protones of justification?

2/2/80

There is not a single thing relating to so that follows that is straight.

It is not and it haver was my "solist-theory that the late H.L. Hunt. or those closely accounted with his were in some manar involved in said homicide." Nor JFX's, either.

So whether in your belief this could find "acceptence...with the invelligence community" is entirely insetorial.

I wont try to figure out how this involves as with Forenan because he was later as created for the funts as he is for suyone else's money. He does not love se.

You say that "ane "has made at least & prime facto case of WHI involvement in the M.L.K. homioide.s That's news to no and I've read and heard and seen a sidening lots of his constantly elanging improvisations, none of which are even tenable. It is easy to cook up theories shee fact is irrelevant.

First this you leapined to my allegedly never missing "an opposituative to absolve the F.B.I. in the hominide." Futting no opposite fine on about anything is to my liking but you are unfactual. What I have said - and it is not, as you also say, in my book or any of my writing - is that there is no evidence that the FER killed King. I'll expand it for you - or had him killed. But this is not the same as "absolving" them. Have you forgetten the title of my book? It charges then with a frame-up - on you. That is absolution? If I correctly understand you to be maying that a coverup is a orige, how in your serme do 2 absolve thes?

They have nover gives as any reason to believe that they love as and there is nothing in my writing, especially in the many court cases I have signing to lead anyone (except, purhaps, you and lead) to believe that I love that.

You say you have fill d recent suits and were going to file another. I'd be interested in any proof you have of those allegations. What meanding the political files on King at the Archives, which I'ye been trying to get for many years and relating to which you imagine what has no basis. If the Full had what you imagine it would not be there.) Especially about that consister, about which you appear to have forgetten my caution and what taxaed out to be its complete accuracy.