

JL,

Lane's libels

3/31/77

This pig has nosed through his manure piles and come up with a jewel of rare beauty.

His charge is that we did not go new evidence, whatever he may mean by new evidence. You say he ripped off the evidentiary hearings through the transcripts.

There is no relevant evidence in any formulation he presents of the Reditt story.

Not for Jimmy's habeas corpus.

But we did take the route he claims we did not. This was my part. It does not depend on my notes or your or my recollection. I had Bud and Bill come up here, they agreed to my proposals and we actually did follow all I had written out in advance.

Every bit of it is new evidence in the courts. Every bit is totally exculpatory. Every bit stacked on cross examination, where there was any.

Everybody on the defense team knew I had more. Jimmy also knew. We all agreed that the rest had to be preserved for trial. Even Paul Valentine may remember trying to talk me out of some of it and failing, years ago.

He has also admitted reading Frame-Up. Great! It will help pinpoint his thefts.

This is one I will file pro se, if I may later want assistance. I am going to examine him.

I may have forgotten much. But I'll remember more than enough and there is no one else who knows it.

You tell me if a prior record of thievery is not relevant.

Preparing would be a job. Heavy.

I think it would be the vacation I've needed for so long!

best,