dear jim, re jimmy's 14/28 to me and my todau's to him 12/4/76

i matched his where he started to tear it up, reread it and decided i had to answer for many reasons, one being not to leave his nonsense unchallenged. as you will see i agree with him by stretching what he said about correspondence being a waste of time.

it is, more now that we know more than he has said to either of us.

i do not intend to spend the time i have with jerry, who is due back from his vacation tomorrow. listening to his tapes would have taken much time of you. to save you this time i spent muche that served no other/ purpose in writing him so you could understand what he said from my carbons.

we will see or hear or not from jerry and then know what is indicated. he is no less a devicus person than jimmy.

jimmy does not indicate a carbin to you so i will include an copy. i suggest that you note how he refers to lane and how he does not and to his running out of carbons of his letter to sprague.

if i do not make and send you a copy of the c-a newhouse story of 11/28 i will bring it in. I have two points about it:ozer refers to tests we do not have unless ozer was careless am or misquoted, the markings on the hull prove no more than that it was in that rifke. the could have been an empty hull from what we have been given. he is also in total disregard of the records i loaned them and they copied and bead. i do not quote all the story but ozer lied about the impossibility of making identification. to put this another way, as i did not spell it out to jimmy, he lied to the committee. he gave it the straight d.j. like, not the evidence.

one of the resons i did not go into this is so you can from the transcript. if this is not in the transcript then from clips. i can probably supply more if you need them. i have been sutting all i get from memphis aside for you but you may read them under distraction,

you have not mentioned strague and ji his great discovery, that jimny did not f confess all. this means that they have not even read frank but did read frame-up. it also comes from what wayne says sprague referred to but i did not see, a 38-page statement, this wayne says is the length of one he gavr them by mail. in it he reports the memphid guilty-plea changes since and because of the ray case. if they have no other comy akers got one from me in octaber and the woman researcher who told me the destruction ploy was the true lane told me only that she had rad my "book," ww.

iftthere is any truth in lane's latter jimmy is being a bastard with you. so be careful. i did not think to suggest that in sending it to jimmy you ask for an explanation. if you did not think of this when you have time i suggest that you do this, at the same time sending yourselfa carbon by mail, with a mark on thr envelope and then letting it remain unopened when you receuve it. jimmy is vrazy and labe can be in great trouble now. this is another reason i suggested that you send jimmy q copy. believe ne i told you exactky what he blabbed about uding a lawyer's bar credentials to get in to see jimmy. it was broadcast, i have it on tape and can produce the friend who did the taging.

the same person has sent me a tape of another ucky broadcast, wecht and morrow, that

i have not had time to listen to. wecht is god, morriw the father of all.

in your dealings with sprague when you have time to get back to him, do not forget what i have told you: the phoney ozer destruction of king assassination evidence story cans from lane and lane got it second, hand from jimmy. jimmy sent it to freed. freed gave it to lane. my source got this from freed. that the committee got it from kane i picked up from the women researcher (pat?) while you were forgetting to flirt with the hostese, to coin a phrase. (i do not know what this younger generation is coming to!)

be careful. lane is in trouble, able and totally unscrupulous. my own belief is that after lardner's story and all the crap dumped on the committee sprague may be. if i may advise a lawyer, try to deal with the established liar sprague in writing. best,