Re Jack anderson tommen 12/24/76

Dear Jim.

12/24/75

while I'm easing off from some pressures following your call about the call to you by AP's David "artin a few suggestions for the future.

By the time I was able to think it through enough and then star "artin's story was on the wire.

Because of the holiday it took as a little longer. Too many not in.

We'll see.

In the course of it I learned that Crewdson hates Freed like you hate normal hours. only more so. Lene about the same.

Crawdson was thinking of me and had Martin's copy before him when I reached him.

This sets to one of the basic principles, if you'll pardon the avuncular. The formulation everyone knows is that it takes a crook to eatch a crook. No your won improvising.

The second will not be as easy because you do have great time pressures and such else on your mind. However, there is going to be much of this in the days ahead. So if you have the time and if you can come to think of it please let me know sconest.

Cysudson is not the only one working on this as of this minute and the others, more reluctant, has a different angle.

again the avencular, please tolerate. You've heard me speak of intelletual jude often enough. To be able to apply it you must be electe to the sement. This means perceiving the mintain by those whose power you would turn against them. This was such

Also never assume that bright reporters have minimal common sense. In ten years you will be whipping jennifer's back wide and standing her in the corner to think if she is as stupid as the Creedsons and the Lardners.

There was this difference: Pardner argued and Crewdson thanked. He actually said how glad he was I called and said he had to get on the stick. I asked both what, believe it or not, nobody thought of: if May met a co-conspirator in Portigal how come he lift the meeting with so little money he got caught for that reason alone. John got it right eway and was appreciative. (Set Fauntroy has had a busy day!) George argued maybe Ray went there to get a phone called told him look, if you want to get your ass best keep thinking negatively. He guess it was O'leary. I told him I would not tell him and he knew better then to ask.

I'm serry everybody was off. It may or may not work out but it could have been better. But as of 4 p.m. I'm a little p optimistic.

This was a big and a stupid mistake.

Martin learned from you and changed his story, but in so much of a rush it held part of what he wanted out. He left out a description of the source other than one who had seen day. He did not use the limitation of no member of the family, etc. But he did quote you in what became a non-sequitur, that it had to be a guard, etc.

Come to think of it, maybe mathe Kemphis boked that up, through a guard of 1968-9.

I did not believe there was suchs chance of the AD story read to be making much of a splach outside of newsrouns but I did odds and ends of classing up for almost 2 keeps hours, the first to all-news WAVA and then to MEC's -altimore station. I should have though to try 2709, which is an only, first but I didn't until above the evening pattern when we cen't get it. Anyway, no mention. Sowe'll see if there are a.m. stories and if they get any attention. Beut.