

Democratic Caucus Leader Burton has announced that he, personally, will kill any effort at any JFK assassination investigation in the Congress unless EMK personally wants or asks for it.

Mark Lane reportedly is making an appearance in the San Francisco area this weekend to challenge Burton on this position. I believe that aside from promoting Lane all this can do is freeze Burton into a bad position, giving him the choice between saying he was irresponsible or insisting firmly and publicly on the bad position.

I think that after whatever he says in response to whatever Lane says, if Burton reacts at all or if he does not, after he has had time to, Burton should be asked questions:

When the first thing LBJ did was to by-pass RFK by putting Hoover in direct charge of the initial investigation and when RFK as AG completely detached himself from this investigation, why should anyone now insist on injecting EMK into it?

Why should EMK not be permitted to remain detached, as he has been?

Does this not in effect make EMK a dictator?

Give him veto over what the House can deliberate and decide?

Ought not national interest rather than anyone's personal desires control?

If there are doubts, ought they not be resolved, questions not answered asked and answered?

Does he have any reason to believe that EMK has any personal knowledge?

Has EMK not in fact said he knows nothing and has read nothing but merely trusts the government - but would be for a new investigation if there appears to be a basis for this?

Possibility: key in with showing him a copy of my spectro/NAA/FBI affidavit.