## Mark Lane on Oswald

Was Oswald an agent at the age of 16 when he wrote a letter to the Socialist

party? I think it's hard to know precisely when Oswald's employment with the Federal Bureau of investigation began. It seems while he was in the Marine Corps he was given un-usual secret clearance, which is I think an indication of the government's feeling about him at the time. I think the evidence is clear that when he went to the Soviet Union he went as a representative of a U.S. intelli-

gence agency-very likely the FBI. Garrison has said that Oswald worked for the Central Intelligence Agency. Now you're saying he worked for the FBI and not the CLA.

I think it's a little difficult to penetrate the intelligence agencies of the U.S. govern-ment with absolute accuracy and assurance. I know that Jim Garrison believes at this I know that Jun Carrison believes at this point the evidence is very strong to show that Oswald was an FBI employe. I think the evidence is quite clear now that Oswald was working for the FBI and that the CIA planned the assassing tion of President Kennedy and that the CIA executed the plan as well

ili. What was Oswald's job when he came back to New Orleans in April, 1963?

future time when the moment arose it would be possible to say that Oswald was a Marxist. I think the arrest of Oswald for giving out leaflets here in New Orleans was one of the tableaux which was staged well in advance

ing himself as a leftist in New Orleans? I don't know his specific assignment in eftist. There is some evidence which shows leftist. There is some evidence which shows that the FB assigned Oswald to meet with a number of persons who were planning the assassination of President Kennedy, and it seems that Oswald was present at those meetings for the purpose of reporting back to the FBI regarding the plans. It may be that Oswald at that time did not know that the name which were being mode in the conthat Oswaid at that time did not know that the plans which were being made in his pre-sence were really being formulated by the CIA. We do know as a fact that on Nov. 17, 1963, the FBI sent a telex to every South-ern regional office of the FBI stating that an externor build be medic to exercise. These attempt would be made to assassinate President Kennedy in Dallas in five days. It seems that Oswald was the source of the in-formation which was sent to the FBI, Wa do know, of course, that the informa-tion was never communicated to President Kennedy; otherwise, he obviously would not have driven through Dallas in an open not have driven through Dallas in an open limousine into that ambush. We also know that in October, 1963, the U.S. Secret Ser-vice came across a plot to assassinate Presi-dent Kennedy in Chicago, and as soon as that information was communicated to President Kennedy, he canceled his trip to Chicago

the assassination.

6 / GUARDIAN / APRIL 6, 1968

Ī

I think all the evidence now available indicates that this is so, yes. It must be possible to tell at what level

an FBI telegram can be stopped. Some-body has enough power to do that, to give orders to the FBI to stop it.

I suppose it would depend on I. Edgar Hoover's willingness to go along with the suggestion in the first place as to how powerful the order to him would have to be. I don't know on what basis the determination was made not to share the intelligence with the President that someone was planning to kill him in five days, but obviously that determination was made. What was Oswald's role in Dallas on Nov.

22? Was he in the Book Depository?

2.2 was near the book Depository? A picture was taken just as the shots were being fired by an Associated Press photographer, James Altgens, which appears to show Owald standing in the doorway watching the assassination. The Commission said it was not Oswald. They said it was Bill Lovelady, and Lovelady himself says, "Yes, it was me." I don't know. It may have been Oswald in the doorway.

If Oswald was standing in the doorway of the Book Depository I would think he would be standing there wondering what on earth happened to the information he

had given to the FBI that there was going to be an assassination. He was probably a little curious about it.

He may have been thinking that the as-sassination had been called off. But what was he doing running down the grassy

knoll

vas in the room

was soins on

wonder what they were talking to hum about for the last 24 hours. And then he said, "Weil then I'm the patsy." You, talk about the CIA as being the planners and executers of the assassing form the momentum of the assassing

tion. I have read that one of the reasons for the assassination was that Kennedy was thinking of taking away the oil depletion allowance. Were there any big businessmen involved, for example, in giving money to the CIA for the assassination

I don't think the CIA is a charity or in need of funds. I see no evidence at this point that any individuals other than CIA employes were involved in planning the assassination. I think quite clearly that the assistantical, i time quite clearly that the anti-Castro forces or really the pro-Batista forces were utilized by the CIA and they were willing to go along for their own purposes, because of their disenchant-ment, to say the least, with President Kennedy and his new, developing policy toward Cuba, but these groups were used by the

CIA. What was Sen. Robert F. Kennedy's role? He was attorney general at the time. Didn't he have authority over the FBI?

Just theoretically, Since the time of Pres-ident Franklin D. Roosevelt the director of the bureau. J. Edgar Hoover, has reported directly to the President. He is supposed to report to a member of the cabinet, the at-torney general, but Mr. Hoover hasn't done that for about four decades and he's not about to start, so he has made the FBI an independent correspondent burght.

independent organization. We know there's

great triction anyway between Bobby Ken-

went into what could be described as a state of shock when his brother died and

Following are excerpts from an exclusive interview in New Orleans between Dar-lene Fife and attorney Mark Lane concerning the investigation being conducted by New Orleans District Attorney James C. Garrison into the assassination of President John F. Kannedy. Lane S five-page brief in defense of Lee Harvy Oswald published in the Dec. 19, 1963, issue of the Guardian and subsequent Guardian-sponsored speaking tour opened the door to the flood of doubts concerning the official version of the assassination. Lane has been living in New Orleans for the past several months and intends to remain three until the Garrison investigation has been terminated. case, because if you're convicted without case, because in you're convicted winnout confessing, all you can get in a conspiracy to kill the President is 20 years and you don't serve 20 years, you may just serve one-thrid of the time. In fact, you can be absolutely certain that if you're standing trial in New Orleans for conspiracy to kill President 'Canadu' will werke the President Kennedy you will never go to jail, and even if you're convicted you'll never go to jail because the federal govern-ment will find a way to reverse the conviction. The law enforcement is able to secure a confession by promising people that if they confess they'll be treated fairly and leniently. In this case you have to turn it backward. Garrison cannot promise anyone leniency for cooperating ... None of the or-dinary motivations for confessing are pres-ent. If you don't say anything and are con-

victed you'll never go to jail. If you confess you might have an "accident." What kind of support has Garrison re-ceived from the press or the movement?

Almost none from the press, as you know. The press is almost unanimous. NBC had a historically unprecedented program which was the trial of Clay Shaw. It took place on television before it took place in

-



He was supposed to look like a leftist, which he did, of course, with the help of a number of local people, mostly on the right, and he participated in tableaux which I think were no indication of his own polit-ical beliefs but were staged so that at some future time, when the moment area it Well, he didn't try to escape from the scene as soon as the shots were fired ....

Why did Oswald think he was establish-

terms of what was explained to him. I do know he was posing as a leftist and he was aware of the fact that he was posing as a

licago. So you're saying that Oswald thought he was spying on the assassination plan and he did not see himself as having any role amazed at that point, which leads one to

1000

.

did not function at all Lyndon Johnson, perhaps for therapy, sent him to Indonesia. When did Johnson find out about the whole thing? You said he sent Bobby Kennedy away for therapy-apparently he didn't need any himself. But what Oswald was really doing we can know if we examine the stenographic tapes and recording made of Oswald when he was questioned during the 48 hours he lived while in custody. The federal government, Secret Service, FBI and Dallas police force Lyndon Johnson is an accessory after the fact. If there were truly a Department of Justice here he would be arrested, as said there were no records. Would you be lieve that? It's a shame. Such an important person in American history and they forgot would every member of the Warren Com-mission, and they would be charged with being accessories after the fact. Accessories after the fact withhold information about to turn on the tape recorders. I believe it's possible that no recording was made and no stenographic record was made of what Os-waid said, and I think that's so because they

after the tact withhold information about the crime and suppress information. Lyndon Johnson has suppressed information and so has the Warren Commission. I doubt they will be prosecuted, however, When the in-formation came to Johnson I don't know. I knew who Oswaid was and they knew what he would say and what his relationship was to the FBI. We do know that Oswald became extremely hostile to Hosty [an FBI agent] and refused to talk when he would like to say I've looked at the evidence and President Johnson played no part in as in this room. I would think he would be getting ner-yous at that point and wondering what planning the assassination. I must say I've

looked only at the svidence that Johnson has allowed us to look at. The rest of the information which he doesn't want us to Well, you know that Oswald's last words were "I am a parsy." We know that he was questioned for 24 hours and everyone in the see he has locked up in the national as chives. world thought he was being asked why he killed the President or if he killed the Pres-Garrison has limited objectives. He wanta the American people to know who killed the President and he wants to arrest everykilled the President or if he killed the Pres-ident. Twenty-four hours after the question-ing a group of reporters in the hallway stop-ped him and we have this on film, in fact the sequence is in the film "Rush to Judg-ment." The reporter said, "Did you kill the President?" Oswald said, "The President? I haven't been charged with that. We haven't talked about that. There's some talk about tilling a police officer." The reporter said, "You have been charged with killing the President," and Oswald looked absoluteiy amazed at that point, which leads one to

one involved in his jurisdiction, although he believes no one will go to jail, and he wants the ramifications to be sufficiently great so that the U.S. government will have to dis-solve the CIA and find three new letters for the organization that will be formed. He has no illusions about permanent change. Does Garrison have an inside view yet? Has anyone confessed?

No one has confessed and I don't think

you can expect many confessions in this

real life. Shaw was found not guilty and Garrison was found guilty. CBS did four one-hour programs on the Warren Report defending the report from its critics, and at one point Walter Cronkite said, "Garrison be medde has made many charges but he hasn't prowe nave than other in court." In fact, Garrison has made two charges, one against Dean Andrews for perjury in a case closely related to the assassingtion investigation and the other against Clay Shaw. It's true he hasn't proven the guilt of Shaw, because for over a year now Shaw has been doing everything to prevent the case from coming to trial, About Dean Andrews-three days after Cronkite said Garrison had not proven anyof perjury. I watched television the next night to see how Mr. Cronkite was going to explain this, but he never did.

The only movement which has supported Garrison has been the Citizen's Committees of Inquiry on various college campuses and cities which were established early after the assassination for the purpose of making the facts known. Of course, Ramparts magazine has been very helpful in terms of publishing new material, but one of the things that diswhere the second tiously. That's not the position the left should be taking at the present time, it seems to me. One of the problems is the atmosphere around to convince us that Garrison is some kind of nut. One listens long enough and tends to believe it and gives that as an excuse for not participating. I think that's unforgivable. I can understand it from Time, Newsweek, the New York Times, CBS and NBC, but I do not understand why some of the smaller and more militant publications have not shown great-er concern for the facts Garrison has uncovered.

