

## A CITIZEN'S DISSENT - Notes

27

After all the exposure he had on radio and TV, from his own account, more extensive than anyone else, even though his book was far from the first and contained virtually nothing not previously published, he actually complains that he did not get 100% of the time. Here he says that WOR had a ban on him. His evidence?

"During 1967, WOR presented what was widely advertised as 'The Warren Report'. It was to be a two-hour uninterrupted discussion with the four leading critics and defenders of the Report. I was not invited to participate."

Possibly it is true that there are those, including WOR, who do not regard Mark as possessed of a monopoly on criticism of the official assassination accounting. I have personal knowledge of the program, because Leo Sauvage and I were asked by the station to appear. Mr. Jenner, who has on several occasions debated Mark, has on at least four agreed to debate until he found I was his opponent, here was consistent. He was replaced by Charles Roberts, author of a then-unpublished defense of the Report, whose partner with the eminent Louis Nizer. That show, after the debate between Nizer and me, ran for four, not two, hours, and despite Mark's snide insistence that the station was suppressing the subject and him, it presented the show for a total of 16 hours on prime time, preempting all commercials for this extensive period, a rather exceptional performance in commercial radio, the exact opposite of Mark's representation.

If he complains that WOR has a less exalted opinion of him and his work and knowledge than he would like, he may rest assured that WOR is not alone.