Desr Steve,

were the states

Many thanks for the premptness of your Christmes-day lettermend the belpful enclosures.

If i had not curlier node by purposes clear, they are protective, not "reising a has and cry", so that we can be proposed for any eventuality that any arrive, aspecially during the trial, to the degree possible.

I as evere of your firs convision Hepburn was for real, as recently as my actober-November trip out there. Your facing the reality is good, a measure of intellectuality honesty. For whetever consolution you may derive from it, yours was for from the costly error, for from the poorest judgement. The mediar responcibility is that of those with more experience. At least, this is my opinion.

I am concerned for Steve Jaffe, when is kidding himself while trying to (without success) kid others. He'll megnify his error this way and eliminate the willingness of others to understand he is young and without experience. When we consider the errors of the generation shaed of you, those of my generation, you look better. But if steve keeps trying to protond he wean't fooled and didn't do a lot of here by it, makes on he eliminated the demage when he did no such thing, he'll not survive it. I hope he can honsetly face this matter and in what in still possible to minimize its effects. I have written him and acked him to do no you did.

¹ou are right to limit this as you do. ²y all means, send a copy of everything to "ouls, os I do. ¹ suggest that, with her permission, you should include or at least touch base with Moggie, who is much more mature, has had such more experience, and is enother and an excellent sind, accepting it is slaves worthwhile consulting. I will include a carbon of this in the event you pant to give it to her.

First, I'd like you to consider that it is possible mixing a maplete record is more than "for the record and for any future estions involving the film". If you believe, as I do, that whoseer want to this encrucus expenditure of time and trouble did So for a purpose, his eye was not on the distant future. Fossibly we may not enticipate all his purposes. Is it not prodent, however, to go on the essencetion his purposes are corious and more insodicte? Could not the trial be one? If so, then every tiny detail of everyone, every thing and place, every event, is a minimum essential. Someone to mome Maggie was introduced socially not be a minimum essential. Someone to mome Maggie was introduced socially way be a minimum essential. Someone to mome Maggie was introduced socially not be a minimum essential. Someone to mome Maggie was introduced socially not be a minimum essential. Someone to mome Maggie was introduced socially not be a minimum essential. Someone to moment was that a have, to my estimation and I think to Touis', established immers's connection with others who have not done us good, if I may understate. So, I ask for more details for your Hep burn rather than the movie mane (although enything you can add to that will help me, for I've not seen it was do not expect to.

Take the incident of the letter to you vie MiJ for Furner. Thatkin story-book cluff, what would not normally be done even by personoids. Did Turner ever tell you its contents? From when? Why to bin? I think you should know that it is not because of the high regard in which Lemerre holds him or Mamparts, for he space to no of this. Now did they know of MkJ's relationship? How was Steve selected? That should have made everyons suspicious. This setually all began to fore the March date you give, for I heard shout and distrusted it when I was there before then, and I haft LA 2/16/68. But a little separate notebook is your pocket and whenever you think of anything, make enough of a record to bring thatever it is back when you have him to type.

Where in the palace did Steve meet "Phillippe"? In # room, a suite, a hellway? Have you recalled the last name? There was once a time when I knew a

French pross corps, a likely place for intelligence covers.

We may get in the position where knowing the basis of their enimue against hunt might be beneficial. Plause contribute anything you can on this. and by the way, whenever you have egain of so elebarate on organization for a conspiracy, particularly an assessmention, plause have doubts. Or if you have of even a "paymenter"! Other things also should have flagged the improbability of hunt being so involved. Likewise, the obviousness of the bait he presented to those of more progressive persuasion.

What, if enything, unusual de you recell of Sorequere's office and the young ment that kind of office was it? Any indication of the business there transacted? Private building, etc? Any signe? that is the relationship between him and immerrs, if you know or can estimate? Thy did Lemerre need a contact, except for the private whet you anapped as anywhere with any of them? You told me the end of October what you anapped new persuaded you. Can you now explain tois? The it only a pluch office and polite people?

I think this gives you an idea of that more I'd like to have if you can provide it. Did any of them any anything about engons else, sap. in the ENY Most of all, of engone in New Briesne? my writers in the field, like Palmer? Mid they knew of Boxley? Anything about Turner? Find out from Hoy, please, who involved him and how? Has it Steve, which is understandable? I want to knew if they had anyone else working for them. Their plan, as of the time they left Hew Orleans for New York, then Canada, was not to try for any showings that week, beginning 12/9, but to try and arrange for publicity that would tend to attract an endiance for the following week. Here there any but the one you were invited to? Here there any strongers at that one? Can you remember the names of the others, or get them? Especially these unknown to you.

You have hearsay on a separate page. Good. Please give merell the hearsay you can, separatly if you as label it.

"hat was the gift that so touched Steve? I doubt he ever doubted iomarre. I do not believe the SIA triad to get Steve Grunk (and failed), or the business of the Swiss hotel from, not, at levet, we it was given to you.

I believe, as I have from the first, that this is an intelligence operation, I see nothing in it for the French. I do for the GIA. On the basis of what you know, or what you think possible on this basis, do you believe this is a possibility? If you do, please explain as much as you can, and if you do not, the same.

You have a personal disagreement with Jaffa. Honotheless, 1 ask you to get hold of him, tell him you have recorded everything you know (without telling him anything about what you have recorded) and sent it to hous and me. Try and make him understand it is within for what he believes in as it is for him and his future that he dow this as completely as possible and as fast. Ask him to give you a copy so you can go over it after he has sent him to fouls and me. You may recall consthing on the basis of what he says. You will also have an opinion of his forthrightness. Encourage him to skip the crap he usually dished out to Jim, to stock to fact and leave out the "exceedingly importants", for he propagendizes himself in his writing. If this thing surfaces at the trial, as it might in a mation for a mistrial, and there is anything we might know and do not, he will be a very sick and a very villatinous young can with more to live down then most over can. As it is, if he had done nothing but waste the relative wast escunt of time and money, he would still have been a major dispeter.

Sincerely,

They file doen't take legal in paper. Can St Ym have me space by wing tetter eige place? Thanks Hope you have a good year.