L.A. 'Press' Sued Over Data

From News Dispatches

LOS ANGELES, Aug. 12-A named in the story sued the Press titled "Know Your damages. Narc" Neighborhood has ing a totalof \$25 million in ment of Justice. damages against the atni-Establishment tabloid.

listed the names, addresses charged that "threats and harand phone numbers of 80 rassment" have been directed agents in Los Angeles, Santa against the 21 agents in the Anna, San Francisco and San Francisco office.

lies. He filed suit Monday asking for \$10 million in damages. Earlier, one of the agents

story in the Los Angeles Free Free Press for \$15 million in

The state also won a tempoforced a "majority" of the 80 rary restraining order barring names state narcotic agents to the paper from publishing move from their homes and confidential information from resulted in two lawsuits ask- the files of the state Deaprt-

Mathew O'Connor, head of the Northern California Divi-Last Friday, The Free Press sion of the Narcotics Bureau,

He said a majority of the Thomas C. Lynch, state at identiifed agents have moved the story jeopardized the lives in with relatives or into hoof the agents and their fami- tels. O'Connor said the moves "will almost have to be permanent."

One of the agents identified in the story said his colleagues received profane telephone calls almost immediately after publication of the list.

He said most of the agents were worried that the list may lead "some nut to come by at 2 a.m. and throw a Molotov cocktail" at their homes.

"I can't see how anyone can be so irresponsible as to print those addresses and phone numbers," O'Connor said. "You mix an unstable personality with drugs and a weapon and there can be some serious problems."

Free Press managing editor Jack Burgess defended the article. "I feel that the responsibility for the families of the narcotics agents, and for that matter policemen in general. lies with that agent," he explained. "I don't assume responsibility for their families."

The tabloid had published a front-page statement giving reasons for publishing the list. The final paragraph said, in

part:

"There should be no secret i police! In this spirit we are publishing . . . the office personnel roster of agents in the California State Bureau of Narcotics for the cities of Los Angeles, San Francisco, Santa Ana and San Diego . . .

How the paper obtained the confidential list is not known. Charles O'Brien, assistant state attorney general, said the list was probably stolen. "An internal investigation is under way and we have a suspect at present," he said.

A hearing on the restraining order will be held Aug. 25 in k Les Angeles Superior Court.

У