

11/27/95

Mr. Bruce Kurek
734 Cornnet Road
Glenville, IL 60025

Dear Mr. Kurek,

Thanks for your letter.

I did have that info about the ²planning for the trip.

But I did not know about that Carter erasure.

I have two books scheduled to appear next year.

I do not know the dates but they will be in the stores by the time I do know.

Thanks and best wishes,

David M. ...

Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Rd.
Frederick, MD 21702

734 Coronet Road
Glenview, IL
60025

Mr. Weisberg:

November 20, 1993

Since you have not done any public writing for a couple of decades, books, I am unable to perceive (respecting some things) what you know and do not know. Hence, I must inferentially assume that you may not know something and attempt to pass it along to you as something that could be useful and valuable.

I do not know you to have ever written about an aspect of the JFK assassination, the trip to Dallas. To be concise, I thought that you would appreciate knowing that it is revealed in John B. Connally, Portrait in Power, Ann F. Crawford and Jack Keever; Jenkins Publishing Co, c 1973 [no LOC # or ISBN] that the trip to Dallas was discussed and tentatively planned in very early June of 1963. Page 295—it was discussed between JFK, Connally and LBJ; that is, the decision was made to do it. The ostensible purpose was to raise money and to sway Texans. [Interestingly, the decision was made in a suite in the Cortez Hotel, in El Paso. El Paso has some odd notoriety of its own—there was the "El Paso Plan," to electromagnetically erase Nixon tapes without touching them as they rested in a secure and locked safe (in the White House). Then, on Sept. 21, 1963, in El Paso, Richard Case Nagell was arrested for attempted bank robbery (so as to avoid being dragged into the assassination scheme as a participant). El Paso's an interesting city.]

Further, concerning the actual planning of the trip to Texas cities, and Dallas in particular, it is revealed that Bill Moyers, said to have been #2 at the Peace Corps, was the "advance man" (in the manner of John Ehrlichman, for Richard Nixon) who, perhaps with others assistance, made the arrangements.

If you already know these things, please excuse me for bothering you; if you did not, please make use of them to the best of your ability.

There is an additional matter—that I judge to be of somewhat potential momentous importance—that I would like for you to be helpful with, if you are able to: I have a recollection of reading somewhere that President Carter was once appearing on a live TV interview broadcast and that he extemporaneously began to talk about the JFK assassination (in some unknown respect); suddenly, and presumably without his permission, the audio portion of the broadcast signal was lost (just as suddenly explained away by the customary placard "...broadcasting difficulties..."). I did not have the fortuitous opportunity to witness this unusual "phenomenon"; but perhaps you did or can recall (or find) a

(2.)

reference or citation concerning this event? If you can't do it on your own, please ask around and forward the information to me.

The particular reason that I have asked you about this information whose written location I cannot recall is that just a couple of weeks ago Mr. Clinton was on a TV interview show (Nov. 7, 1993, Meet The Press) that I serendipitously just happened to turn on during waking up from sleep; a strange oddity that I came to observe that seemed, audio wise, somewhat prominent was an "echo". I could, that is, hear BC say something twice, as in an echo. The same phenomenon occurs in call-in talk shows and confuses the callers, as they hear the echo and get into all sorts of confusion as to when to talk. The echo feature is characteristic of a time delay feature of monitoring what callers say (so as to enable cutoffs of interperate language...). It was prominent and instantly incited me to run a memory check on known other instances of such a phenomenon. I recalled the Carter incident. Why it was "visible" to the public/audience, I cannot say with certitude; HOWEVER, one function that it could fulfill is of being a signal to somebody watching and listening.

On other channels and on the same channel but on/during subsequent broadcasts (even of the news and interview variety) this strangeness of broadcast signal did not occur. That is especially noteworthy. It surely is something to remember.

Please respond at your earliest convenience.

B. Kurek
Bruce Kurek