## Ku Klux Klan Member Loses Court Fight to Regain Job RICHMOND, Dec. 30 (AP)— But, it added, "to say that it ference with public school The Fourth HS Chamile of the School

Court of Appeals upheld to- seems to us an innovation that day a lower court's dismissal or the Supreme Court." of a suit by a member of the Ku Klux Klan who sought to regain his job at a Richmond department store.

The klansman, John F. Bellamy Jr., claimed he was fired from his job on the security force at Mason's Department Store solely because of his affiliation with the KKK.

Bellamy, a former policeman, accused the store and its area supervisor of violating his constitutional rights to free speech and free associa-

But in its opinion today, a three-judge panel of the Appeals Court held that a person has no constitutional right to protection against private discrimination.

It thus upheld dismissal of the suite last May by U.S. District Court Judge Robert R. Merhige Jr.

Bellamy also charged in his suit that his firing in 1972 was

the result of a conspiracy to deprive him of free association.

that his constitutional rights had been violated, the Appeals Court said the First Amendment prohibits only government infringement on the rights of citizens to free speech and association.

"It is perfectly true that the First Amendment now speaks monly considered to be fedto the states by way of the eral rights only from interfer-14th Amendment," the court ence by governmental entities. said in the eight-page majority opinion by Judge Braxton R. Craven Jr.

The Fourth U.S. Circuit also speaks to private persons tendance, is . . . fallacious.

The Constitution, Craven school." wrote, does not ban private discrimination of the sort that the one written by Craven on Bellamy alleged.

But, he added, Congress has the power to enact a law that Bellamy's contention he had would punish private acts of been a victim of a conspiracy discrimination.

"For example," the opinion his constitutional rights. said, "if Congress today should be concerned about the integration of public schools in Boston, it seems reasonably clear that it could constitutionally make it a criminal offense for any person to interfere by force or violence with the attendance of this attiliance. the attendance of children at public schools. "It would seem that the Congress could rationally conclude that such a statute would aid and implement the duty of the state under the 14th Amendment to afford all school children the equal protection of the law.

Senior Judge Herbert S. Boreman, while concurring with the majority on the Bellamy case, sharply dissented In rejecting his arguments from his colleagues on the scope of congressional power to punish private discrimination.

Congress, Boreman wrote, "does not have such authority gress to protect activities com-

"In my view, the 14th Amendment empowers Con-

"The example given by the majority, that Congress could pass a law proscribing inter-

But, it added, "to say that it ference with public school at-

"There is no federal right to LCourt of Appeals upheld to must come from the Congress be free from purely private interference in

Both Boreman's opinion and behalf of himself and Judge John J. Butzner Jr. rejected to deprive him of his job and

Bellamy, an ex-Chesterfield County policeman, was dismissed from his job as a policeman because of his affilia-