THE WASHINGTON POST Thursday, April 20, 1972 A3

## **Kleindienst Hearings Explode** In Exchange of Bitter Words

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Judiciary Committee hearings on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst as Attorney General erupted yesterday into bitter name-calling between senators and witnesses.

Sen. Birch Bayh (D-Ind.) told Edgar M. Gillenwaters, an aide to California Lt. Gov. Ed Reinecke, that he had "a gut feeling . . . that you've committed perjury."

Gillenwater and Reinecke were testifying about the circumstances under which they notified former Attorney General John N. Mitchell about plans for the Republican National Convention in San Diego and a pledge of at least \$200,000 by the International Telephone and Telegraph Corp. to help bring it to the Southern California city.

They said it was merely "an honest mistake" when they told several reporters last month that they briefed Mitchell in May, 1971-rather than in September, as they later corrected themselves to sav.

But Reinecke acknowledged that begore he changed his version of events, "Igot a call from somebody who said, 'Mr. Mitchell's records disagree. You'd better check yours again'.

The lieutenant governor in- into our league n any way." sisted that he had no idea

from whom the call came and lawyer for another key with tions about the discussions said the message was merely passed on to him by his secretary.

Asked by Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.) whether that call had come from the Justice Department, as reported by several California newspapers, Reinecke said, "I don't honestly know."

"Your secretary is not in the habit of asking who's calling?" Kennedy demanded.

"No," Reinecke answered.

"Our staff is not the size of a senator's staff," Gillenwaters interjected.

of the interchange between voked a half-hour dispute the committee and its wit- among the committee memlast day of the reopened hear- nature of the privilege. ings on the Kleindienst nomination.

Bayh, to the "discrepancies" in the lican lawyers thought he record of the hearings, told should not. Californians that the two "your credibility's gone from Neb.), 100 to damned near zero."

Bayh to make his suggestion tion." of perjury.

you, I'm sorry," Gillenwaters there's anything wrong with said. "If our credibility's gone fishing, if you can catch some to zero in your eyes, senator, fish." it must ave gone up considerably elsewhere."

Bayh finally cut the exchange short, telling gillenwaters that. "I'm not going to et

ness, Jack Gleason-a former White House aide who is now a consultant to ITT here-acknowledged that the had talked yesterday with John w. dean III, counsle to the President, "among others" from the White House.

The lawyer, Edward p. taptich, declined to reveal the contents of those discussions with Mitchell. During the call, on the grounds that to do so Reinecke repeated his original might violte the lawyer-client privilege between him and Gleason.

When Sen. John V. Tunney That was the tenor of much swer nonetheless, that pronesses on the 20th and next to bers-all lawyers-about the

Generally, the Democratic thought Taptich lawvers returning frequently should answer, and the Repub-

Sen. Roman Hruska (Rwho has frequently sought to limit the scope of That remark led Gillenwa- the hearings, accused Tunney

With a chuckle, Tunney ob-"If my smile is offensive to served that, "I don't think friendship."

> O. Eastland (D-Miss.), who was that day. out of the hearing room durally returned and ruled that

with the White House.

Tunney also clashed with Reinecke about a telephone conversation they had on March 3, shortly before the lieutenant governor changed his version of when he discussed the GOP convention account to Tunney.

Reinecke charged that the senator's phone call was "a (D-Calif.) pressed for an an-|set-up. He must have had someone else listening or a recording device" in operation.

The Republican state official suggested that Tunney had alerted newsmen in Sacramento to approach him a few minutes later about whether he would be testifying before the Judiciary Committee.

But Tunney denied those allegations. In an impassioned statement from the committee ters to smile, which inflamed of being on "a fishing expedi- bench, he told Reinecke, "I called you, whether you be-lieve it or not, on the basis of

The senator said he had not wanted Reinecke to learn first from the press about remarks Committee Chairman James he (Tunney) made in a hearing

Even in the account which ing the initial dispute, eventu- he gave the committee yesterday, Reinecke differed subneither Gleason nor Taptich stantially wi thMitchell's testi-Later in the afternoon, the would have to answer ques- mony under oath last month.