## **Kleindienst's Foes** See 'Whitewash'

## By Sanford J. Ungar 5-10-72 Washington Post Staff Writer

Four Democratic senators phone and Telegraph Corp. to torney General charged yes- Dita D. Beard. terday that the two-monthlong hearings on his nomination were a "whitewash."

wrong in the evidence re- appearance of impropriety" in ceived so far. There is much the Republicans' acceptance of evidence not yet received. There is no justification for the Senate's failing to obtain it," said Sens. Edward M. Kennedy (Mass.), Birch Bayh ITT convention pledge might (Ind.), Quentin N. Burdick (N. have generated serious ques-D.) and John V. Tunney (Calif.).

Their complaint came in a 19-page summary of the minority report of the Senate Judiciary Committee on President Nixon's nomination of Klein- tion in the minds of most of dienst to succeed John N. Mitchell in the Cabinet post.

The actual minority report of the four senators who voted against Kleindienst in committee, which will be submitted to the Senate next week, is expected to be about 75 pages long.

yesterday, they contended that the circumstances and details rather than clear up the "cloud" over Kleindienst's over Kleindienst's head, the reopened hearings on his nomination "broadened and darkened it."

"Everywhere the committee turned for answers, it found new questions," said the four "Everywhere dissenters. it turned for truth, it found forgetfulness, inconsistency, evasion and deception, and a reliance on semantics that casts doubt in retrospect on much testimony that seemed responsive when received."

Kennedy, Bayh, Burdick and Tunney said they had con-cluded it "is more probable than not" that a disputed memorandum linking the Justice Department's settlement three antitrust of against the International Tele- Richard W. McLaren.

opposed to the confirmation of a political contribution by ITT Richard G. Kleindienst as At- was written by ITT lobbyist

Whether Mrs. Beard's memo was true in every detail or not, they said, "there was a "There is much that is predictable and preventable when antitrust cases were pending against the company.

"Taken separately, the ITT antitrust settlement and the tions in the public mind," the summary said.

But "taken together," the senators added, "they reflect a pattern of events which suggests that there was a connecthe parties involved."

Under the heading of "the nexus," they set out a timetable of incidents connected to the settlement and the contribution indicating parallels that they said are "uncanny" and "cry out for explanation."

They insisted that the White In the summary released House was fully informed of of ITT's convention pledge. "That is where the buck stops, and that is where the bucks should have been stopped." the summary said.

> The four Kleindienst opposnents also claimed that there had been a "cover-up" by the nominee, the Nixon administration and ITT. They complained, for example, about the "ebbs and flows" of Kleindienst's memory.

The committee minority wondered why Kleindienst had maintained "such a deep, personal and continuing interest in the ITT cases" when he contended that they had been "handled and negotiated exclusively" by former Justice cases Department antitrust chief