21 Demonstrators Face Mayday Trial

Only 21 of the nearly 10,000 pesons arrested during Mayday demonstrations here remain to go to trial, Superior Court executive officer Arnold M. Malech announced yesterday, Malech said bench warrants have been issued for all 21 because they failed to show up for trials.

Of 9,666 persons arrested, 757 have been found guilty; 1,293 forfeited collateral; 117 were acquitted at trial and 7,499 were released without prosecution, either on court order or on the initiative of the prosecutor's office.

Malech's summary showed that of the 757 found guilty, 128 were convicted at trial, 605 pleaded no contest and 24 pleaded guilty. Disposition resulted in 388 jail sentences, 64 fines and 305 suspended sentences.

Mitchell Kept in Mayday Suit

By Philip A. McCombs Washington Post Staff Writer

Attorney General John N. Mitchell and his deputy, Rich- saying that the decisions were the questions are due to be anto answer questions about Jerry R. Wilson. their roles in the mass arrests ing.

U.S. District Judge John H. Pratt has denied a motion by the top two Justice Depart- tions. ment officials to dismiss them as defendants in an action tails of meetings among other field arrest form prior to most filed by 15 persons who contend they were illegally arrested here on Monday, May 3.

Defense attorneys Joseph L. Rauh Jr. and Elliott C. Lichtman have submitted a list of Attorney General Fred Ugast; have maintained that while questions to be answered by Justice officials about their Santarelli, and Assistant Will roles in the arrests that accompanied the antiwar demonstrations here.

Mitchell and Kleindienst said last night that a decision have formally denied and responsibility for the arrests, ard G. Kleindienst, may have made by D.C. Police Chief swered.

during last spring's Mayday not overturned on appeal, the tion to dismiss. He simply antiwar demonstrations as a Justice officials will have to wrote "denied" on them in result of a federal court rul- reveal full details of their longhand, the usual procedure meetings with Wilson and on such interim motions. other city officials prior to and during the demonstra- mass

> Other questions involve dejustice officials, including of the 7,000 arrests on Mon-President Nixon's mominee to day, May 3. the Supreme Court, Assistant Attorney General William H. side Rehnquist; Deputy Assistant Rauh and other attorneys-Associate Deputy Donald E. Wilson.

Irwin Goldbloom, the government attorney in the case, on whether to appeal may not be made before January, when

Judge Pratt did not list his If Judge Pratt's decision is reasons for denying the mo-

Controversy surrounded the Mayday arrests and Chief Wilson's decision to suspend the use of a standard

Sources both inside and outgovernment-including Wilson made tactical field decisions regarding Mayday, he acted in coordination with, and sometimes on the basis of

See MAYDAY, B2, Col. 4

MAYDAY, From B1

instructions from, the Justice Department.

In sworn affidavits filed in the case in September, both Mitchell and Kleindienst denied reports that the Justice Department had set the guidelines for the police response to the Mayday demonstrations.

They denied the allegation that there had been "a predetermined conspiracy (by the government) to disregard and deny the legal and constitutional rights of citizens" during the arrests and detention. his affidavit, There were

In fact, said Kleindienst in never and discussions, agreements or understandings between myself, the chief o police, the Attorney General...or anyone else the. concerning the specific circumstances under which any arrests would be made or the methods or procedures to be utilized in arresting persons who were violating the

law . . ."

Defense attorney Lichtman said yesterday he thought this was not true, and that the list of questions was designed to bring out information that could be used to show that the Justice Department had been heavily involved in planning for the arrests.

The Justice Department's key argument of noncomplicity in the arrests cannot be effectively fought until the questions are fully answered, Rauh and Lichtman argued in their court papers.

Judge Pratt's decision means that the case must be continued at least until the questions, submitted in written form, are answered.

Kleindienst's affidavit conceded that the Justice Department held extensive interdepartmental meetings beginning in March to consider the demonstrations.

The defense attorneys' questions ask for the date, place, participants and full details on what was said in these meetings.