Analyst Had ITT's Note **Before Writing Report**

By Sanford J. Ungar . Washington Post Staff Writer

A New York investment testified yesterday that White House aide Peter . M. Flanigan gave him a memorandum prepared by the International Telephone and Telegraph Corp. last year to use in making his own evaluation of antitrust actions against ITT.

Eight days later, the investment banker, Richard J. Ramsden, turned in a report with the same conclusion as the one produced by ITT: that if the conglomerate were forced to give up the Hartford Fire Insurance Co., it would cost ITT stockholders an estimated \$1.2 billion and substantially weaken the company's financial position.

Ramsden said Flanigan was tion between the antitrust set-"reluctant" to give him the ITT document. On reading it, Ramsden explained, he found it helpful in developing a "focus" for his own report, which he said he prepared independently.

ate Judiciary Committee, the lobbyist Dita D. Beard and dis- had obtained a copy of the Justice Department later exaggerated his findings in ex-derson in late February. plaining its rationale for settling the ITT cases rather Supreme Court.

Former Assistant Attorney that the Ramsden report was lished the controversial memo. one of four major factors that led to the settlement.

him, McLaren testified, that Kleindienst nomination. That Ramsden testified. "If Mr. divestiture of Hartford would equals the known record for have "devastating economic the Senate Judiciary Commit-me, I assumed he would." consequences" for ITT.

"That's a little strong," Ramsden said yesterday, when deis to the Supreme Court. Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.) read back McLaren's testimony to him.

partner in the Wall Street that Mr. Flanigan appear" be-Ramsden report gives virfirm of Brokaw, Schaenen, fore the Judiciary Committee Clancy & Co., insisted under to discuss his role in the ITT oath that "Mr. Flanigan made settlement. no attempt, whatsoever, to influence my views concerning clined an invitation for Flanithe matter I was asked to analyze."

no knowledge of any connec-dent and his aides.



RICHARD J. RAMSDEN ... findings exaggerated

tlement and ITT's pledge of at least \$200,000 to help bring the Republican National Convention to San Diego this year.

That connection strongly implied in a memo-But, Ramsden told the Sen-randum attributed to ITT he had no idea how Flanigan closed by columnist Jack An-ITT document.

than appealing them to the General has been indefinitely General Richard W. McLaren hearings, reopened at his own told the committee last month request after Anderson pub-

Yesterday was the 18th day of the reopened hearings and The report helped convince the 20th altogether on the ing of what I was to do, tee: The 1916 hearings on the nomination of Louis D. Bran-

Sen. Sam J. Ervin Jr. (D-N.C. declared yesterday that at the Wharton School of the Ramden's testimony "makes it University of Pennsylvania, The investment banker, a more imperative than ever

gan to testify, on the grounds that he is covered by the "ex-Ramsden also said he had ecutive privilege" of the Presi-

As a result, a number of influential senators-including Ervin and Senate Democratic Whip Robert C. Byrd of West Virginia—have threatened to block a vote on the nomination "until and unless Mr. Falnigan appears.'

In his testimony yesterday, Ramsden said Flanigan was "somewhat reluctant" to pass along the ITT-prepared report on divestiture of Hartford when the two met in Flanigan's White House office last May 12.

When Flanigan did give him the document, Ramsden told the committee, there was no marking on it to indicate that it had been prepared by ITT director Felix G. Rohatyn, who had met with Kleindienst and McLaren in April, 1971.

Ramsden said the ITT documents helped him "focus" on the issues, but he insisted that he reached his conclusions by independent calculations.

The investment banker, who was complimented by Kenwas nedy for his "very candid, terribly helpful' testimony, said

He also was unable to ex-The confirmation of Richard plain why the Justice Depart-G. Kleindienst as Attorney ment never contacted him di-General has been indefinitely rectly about his report, but delayed pending the outcome dealt at all times through of the Judiciary Committee Flanigan-for whom he had previously prepared a similar reoprt concerning antitrust action against Ling-Temco-Vought, Inc.

"I had a clear understand-McLaren wanted to contact

Sen. Birch Bayh (D-Ind.) produced a letter at the hearing yesterday from Irwin Friend, a professor of finance which contended that "the tually no support to the position taken by government officials that divestiture of Hart-The White House has de-ford might have severely damaged the nation's economy."

At the close of the session, Sen. John V. Tunney (D-Calif.) told reporters that in his view, 'clearly the decision (to settle the ITT cases) had been made" before Ramsden's report was even requested.