Kleindienst Hearings Explode In Exchange of Bitter Words

By Sanford J. Ungar Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Judiciary Committee hearings on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst as Attorney General erupted yesterday into bitter name-calling between senators and witnesses.

Sen. Birch Bayh (D-Ind.) told Edgar M. Gillenwaters, an aide to California Lt. Gov. Ed Reinecke, that he had "a gut feeling . . . that you've committed perjury."

Gillenwater and Reinecke were testifying about the circumstances under which they notified former Attorney General John N. Mitchell about plans for the Republican National Convention in San Diego and a pledge of at least \$200,000 by the International nation. Telephone and Telegraph Corp. to help bring it to the Southern California city.

They said it was merely "an honest mistake" when they told several reporters last month that. they briefed Mitchell in May, 1971—rather than in September, as they later corrected themselves to say.

But Reinecke acknowledged that begore he changed his version of events, "Igot a call from somebody who said, 'Mr. Mitchell's records disagree. You'd better check yours again'.

The lieutenant governor insisted that he had no idea

from whom the call came and lawyer for another key wit- tions about the discussions said the message was merely ness, Jack Gleason-a former passed on to him by his secre. White House aide who is now

Asked by Sen. Edward M. (D-Mass.) whether that call had come from the Justice Department, as reported by several California newspapers, Reinecke said, "I don't honestly know."

habit of asking who's calling?" Kennedy demanded.

"No," Reinecke answered.

"Our staff is not the size of Gleason. a senator's staff," Gillenwaters interjected.

of the interchange between voked a half-hour dispute the committee and its witnesses on the 20th and next to last day of the reopened hear- nature of the privilege. ings on the Kleindienst nomi-

to the "discrepancies" in the record of the hearings, told should not. the two Californians that "your credibility's gone from Neb.), who has frequently 100 to damned near zero."

That remark led Gillenwa-Bayh to make his suggestion tion." of perjury.

"If my smile is offensive to said. "If our credibility's gone to zero in your eyes, senator, it must ave gone up considerably elsewhere."

Bayh finally cut the exchange short, telling gillenwaters that, "I'm not going to et into our league n any way."

a consultant to ITT here-acknowledged that the had talked yesterday with John w. dean III, counsle to the President, "among others" from the White House.

The lawyer, Edward p. taptich, declined to reveal the "Your secretary is not in the contents of those discussions on the grounds that to do so might violte the lawyer-client privilege between him and

When Sen. John V. Tunney (D-Calif.) pressed for an an-That was the tenor of much swer nonetheless, that proamong the committee members-all lawyers-about the

Generally, the Democratic lawvers thought Taptich Bayh, returning frequently should answer, and the Republican lawyers thought

Sen. Roman Hruska (Rsought to limit the scope of the hearings, accused Tunney ters to smile, which inflamed of being on "a fishing expedi-bench, he told Reinecke, "I

served that, "I don't think friendship." you, I'm sorry," Gillenwaters there's anything wrong with fishing, if you can catch some wanted Reinecke to learn first fish."

> O. Eastland (D-Miss.), who was that day. out of the hearing room during the initial dispute, eventu- he gave the committee yesterally returned and ruled that day, Reinecke differed sub-

with the White House.

Tunney also clashed with Reinecke about a telephone conversation they had March 3, shortly before the lieutenant governor changed his version of when he discussed the GOP convention with Mitchell. During the call, Reinecke repeated his original account to Tunney.

Reinecke charged that the senator's phone call was "a set-up. He must have had someone else listening or a recording device" in operation.

The Republican state official suggested that Tunney had alerted newsmen in Sacramento to approach him a few minutes later about whether he would be testifying before the Judiciary Committee.

But Tunney denied those allegations. In an impassioned statement from the committee called you, whether you be-With a chuckle, Tunney ob- lieve it or not, on the basis of

The senator said he had not from the press about remarks Committee Chairman James he (Tunney) made in a hearing

Even in the account which neither Gleason nor Taptich stantially wi thMitchell's testi-Later in the afternoon, the would have to answer quest mony under oath last month.