By Sanford J. Ungar 3/15/1V Washington Post Staff Writer

terday that he met privately Flanigan. with Harold Geneen, president of the International Telephone eral insisted that statements and Telegraph Corp., while three government antitrust suits against the conglomerate Beard "are totally false and were pending in the courts.

But Mitchell, now director of President Nixon's campaign only general "antitrust policy" on conglomerate mergers was discussed, and not the ITT cases.

Appearing before the Sen-Judiciary Committee, Mitchell also acknowledged meeting four times with ITT director Felix G. Rohatyn-two of them on the day that settlement negotiations were floor, begun-but said that then, too, he did not discuss the pending cases.

Also present at those two

Former Attorney General meetings, Mitchell said, was John N. Mitchell testified yes White House aide Peter M.

> The former Attorney Genconcerning him in a memorandum by ITT lobbyist Dita D. totally without foundation."

Mrs. Beard's memo, published two weeks ago by syndifor re-election, insisted that cated columnist Jack Anderson, linked the antitrust settlement to ITT's offer of a \$400,000 "guarantee" to help support this year's Republican National Convention.

> Mitchell was on the witness stand most of the day, except when members of the Judiciary Committee were in executive session or on the Senate

See MITCHELL, A6, Col. 1

Dr. Liszka's wife indicted in medicare case.

## MITCHELL, From A1

waited in vain in a committee impugned." anteroom to testify. Geneen is the next scheduled witness after questioning of Mitchell concludes, perhaps today.

Repeatedly during his testimony yesterday, Mitchell chasitsed Democratic senators for asking him questions that he felt were unrelated to the main business of the hearings, the nomination of Richard G. Attorney General.

Mitchell commended Kleindienst as "pre-eminently quali- voted on. fied" for the Cabinet post.

wold-"have been put in a position where their integrity, In the afternoon, Geneen honesty and ability have been

"I for one," Mitchell added, "feel very strongly that they have been maligned throughout these hearings without cause, rhyme or reason."

Undaunted, Democrats pressed on with their questioning of Mitchell, and the hearings, originally requested by Kleindienst, appeared to have a long way to run. Until Kleindienst to succeed him as they have concluded, Senate leaders have said the Kleindienst nomination will not be

During a 50-minute closed a He said it was a "scurrilous session yesterday morning, the fact that three outstanding committee tentatively agreed people"-Kleindienst, former to send a delegation to Denver Assistant Attorney General next week to interview Mrs. Richard W. McLaren and Sol-Beard, who is suffering from icitor General Erwin N. Gris- heart disease at the Rocky

Mountain Osteopathic Hospi- cave-in to some giant corpora-

Dr. Joseph Snyder, chief of the Cardiology Unit at the Presbyterian Medical Center in Denver, said in a special report submitted to the Judiciary Committee yesterday that her condition is not expected tries. to improve enough to permit her to return here for "the next few weeks."

In another development yesterday, Sen. Thomas F. Eagleton (D-Mo.) delivered a Senate speech charging that another "missing witness" in the ITT investigation is White House aide Peter M. Flanigan.

Eagleton called Flanigan "the mastermind, the possessor of the scuttling feet that are heard, faintly, retreating into the distance in the wake of a White House-ordered

tion."

The Missouri senator charged that Flanigan was not only involved in the ITT case, but had intervened in other matters, including actions by the Environmental Protection Agency against major indus-

Eagleton's speech drew an immediate and angry retort from Sen. Norris Cotton (R-N.H.), who called the ITT hearings "an obvious attempt to reach into the White House and strike at the President."

Cotton contended that Eagleton "is trying to find something to distract the attention of the American people from the achievements of the Nixon administration, and we don't call for that kind of bunk."

Democrats on the Judiciary Committee have demanded that Flanigan testify about recruiting Richard J. Ramsden, New York investment banker, to evaluate ITT's claims of "financial hardship" during the antitrust settlement negotiations.

Committee Chairman James O. Eastland (D-Miss.) has tentatively agreed to "invite" Flanigan-rather than subpoenaing him-but the invitation has not yet been officially transmitted to the White House.

Mitchell testified vesterday that the President would probably be justified in involving "executive privilege" if Flanigan is called and prevent him from testifying even if confidential communications with the President are not involved.

Sen. John V. Tunney (D-Calif.), who has pressed hardest for Flanigan's appearance, said that if executive proviegë were invoked, it would be "reasonable inference" that Mr. Nixon and Flanigan had liscussed the ITT case.

At the White House, press secretary Ronald L. Ziegler reacted strongly to the Eagleton criticisms of Flanigan.

"The President has the utmost confidence in Mr. Flanigan and feels he has conducted himself properly," Ziegler volunteered when questioned about the requests for Flanigan to testify.

With reference to Flanigan's

role in the ITT case, Ziegler commented that White House staff members are assigned to talk to representatives of business, labor, educational, reli-

gious and other groups—"all sorts of groups, and the suggestion that whenever someone has a contact it is improper is absurd."

Much of Mitchell's testimony yesterday was taken up with his efforts to disassociate himself entirely from the ITT antitrust cases, the GOP convention contribution and Mrs. Beard.

"I do not as of this date know what arrangements, if any, exist between ITT or the Sheraton Hotel Corporation (an ITT subsidiary) and the Republican National Committee, or between ITT or any of its subsidiaries and the City of San Diego or any agency thereof," Mitchell said.

Discussing his ignorance of convention arrangements, the Nixon campaign chief at one point referred to "the Republican National Committee or whoever makes the decision" about the convention site.

Later, referring to the major insurance company that ITT was permitted to retain in settlement of the antitrust cases, Mitchell called it, "Hartford—or whatever the name of the insurance company was."

As for Mrs. Beard, Mitchell totally rejected the account she reportedly gave to columnist Anderson's associate, Brit. Hume, about their encounter during a party after last year's Kentucky Derby.

Hume testified last week that Mrs. Beard told him of discussing specific terms of settlement in the buffet line at the Kentucky Governor's Mansion.

"What do you want to keep?" Hume said Mrs. Beard remembered being asked by Mitchell.

"Hartford and part of Grinnell," the lobbyist told Hume she had responded. "Well, you can't have Grinnell," she said was Mitchell's answer.

Laughing as Hume's testimony was, read back to him, Mitchell said yesterday, "I have never used that language since I used to play Monopoly as a kid."

(In the eventual ITT settle-

ment, the conglomerate did keep part of Grinnell, a fire protection equipment manufacturer, but gave up \$1 billion worth of other assets.)

Mitchell also disputed the account originally given by California Lt. Gov. Ed Reinecke of meeting with the then Attorney General in Washington in May of last year to discuss the San

Diego convention (Reinecke later said this took place in September.)

He said that Reinecke had called upon him here only in April and September and that while they "might have talked" about the convention, the main purpose of the visits was to discuss the economic development of California.

Describing his contacts with ITT-related people, Mitchell said he first saw Geneen on May 27, 1970, at a White House dinner for 45 "business leaders," where they had "purely social" contact.

On Aug. 4, 1970, at Geneen's request, the two men met again in the Attorney General's office for no more than 35 minutes, Mitchell testified.

"I assented to the meeting on the express condition that the pending ITT litigation would not be discussed," Mitchell said, since he had disqualified himself from all ITT cases because his former law firm did business with ITT subsidiaries.

As Mitchell recounted it, Geneen complained that "the department's antitrust policy with respect to conglomerates was to bring suits solely on the 'Bigness' theory. I . . . advised him that our policy was to bring litigation only where there was a showing of anti-competitive practices."

Pressed by Sen. Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.) and other Democrats, Mitchell insisted that the discussion with Geneen was a general one and never touched on the cases against ITT.

Conmittee members remained skeptical, however, that any "general" discussion could have avoided ITT cases.

At the time of the Mitchell-Geneen meeting, the Justice Department had filed a total of five antitrust suits against conglomerates, three of them against ITT. One, against Ling-Temco-Vought, Inc., had alalready been settled.

The meetings with Rohatyn, Mitchell said, concerned the efforts of Texas millionaire H. Ross Perot to rescue a falling New York brokerage firm by taking control.

They took place on April 29, 1971, the same day as a session arranged by Klein-dienst where ITT officials presented their case of "hard-ship" to the Justice Department. Mitchell said he met with Rohatyn on two other occasions, after the ITT cases were settled.

THE WASHINGTON POST Wednesday, March 15, 1972 A 33

## Dr. Greene Indicted In Forgery

By Paul G. Edwards Washington Post Staff Writer

A federal grand jury in Alexandria charged Dr. Katherine V. Greene of Arlington yesterday with forgery and making false statements to collect \$3,365 in medicare payments for services that were not performed.

Dr. Greene is the wife of Dr. Victor L. Liszka, who testified before a Senate committee on March 6 concerning the physical and psychological health of controversial ITT lobbyist Dita Beard, who has been a prominent figure in the confirmation process of Richard G. Kleindienst as U.S. Attorney General.

The Justice Department has denied that its officials discussed the impending indictment of Dr. Greene with Liszka in connection with his plans to testify before the committee. The Arlington Medical Society had said it will look into Dr. Liszka's testimony for possible violations of medical ethics.

Mrs. Beard is the alleged author of a memo obtained by columnist Jack Anderson suggesting a link between an alleged offer by ITT to help fis nance this year's Republican National Convention and a Justice Department decision to settle an antitrust, action against the giant conglomerate corporation.

Liszka told the committee that Mrs. Beard was hospitalized in Denver with "an impending coronary occlusion" and could not be safely questioned by the committee for several weeks.

The doctor said she was emotionally distressed and "her thoughts did not flow in a logical order." Because of that, "she could have written an inaccurate memo," Liszka said.

Liszka and his wife share an office at 1900 S. Eads St. in Arlington. The indictment alleges that Dr. Greene forged Dr. Liszka's name to 10 of 12 medical payment claims it alleges that she filed for services not actually performed.

Dr. Liszka has not been ac-

cused of any wrong-doing. Assistant Attorney General Henry E. Petersen said in a letter to Sen. James O. Eastland (D-Miss.), chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, that Dr. Liszka was intensively questioned by Social Security investigators on Feb. 22. "It was concluded that he was innocent," Petersen wrote.

Petersen wrote to Eastland after being informed by U.S. Attorney Brian P. Gettings that a case against Mrs. Greene would be presented to

the grand jury.

Gettings said yesterday that he called Petersen after reading in a newspaper on March 6 that Liszka was expected to testify before the Senate; Judiciary Committee.

"I knew no one in the despartment knew about the case and I felt they should if Dr. Greene's husband was go-

ing to testify."

Gettings said he did not reach Petersen until after Liska testified. Petersen then wrote to Eastland, telling him of the impending indictment.

Petersen said that Liszka met with Justice Department officials on March 3 and March 5, before Gettings talked to Petersen. The meetings were held at the doctor's request and concerned only Mrs. Beard, Petersen said, The officials did not discuss "any such personal problem which Dr. Liszka and his wife might have," wrote Petersen,

Dr. Greene is charged with one count of making a false statement for each of the 12 allegedly false medical claims and 10 counts of forgery.

Each false statement count carries a maximum penalty of five years in prison and a \$10,000 fine. Each forgery count carries a maximum penalty of 10 years in prison and a \$1,000 fine.

Dr W Leonard Weyl, president of the Arlington Medical Society, said on March 7 that the society's executive committee will review Dr. Liszka's testimony for possible violations of the American Medical Association's code of ethics.

The code prohibits doctors from revealing confidences entrusted by patients in the course of medical care or deficiencies in a patient's character unless required to do so by law or to protect the publie.

Dr. Liszka has said that Mrs. Beard gave him permission to tell the details of her condi-