Kleindienst Talks End in an Uproar

Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate hearings on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst as Attorney General ended in an uproar yesterday as Republicans and Democrats battled over the limitations on questioning of White House aide Peter M. Flanigan.

Two Democratic members of the Senate Judiciary

Committee, Edward M. Kennedy of Massachusetts and Birch Bayh of Indiana, walked out of the hearings in exasperation with rulings Chairman James O. Eastland (D-Miss.) that their queries of Flanigan went too far.

Eastland gavelled the hearings to a close at 6:12 p.m. after 23 days of testimony spread over two months.

A few hours earlier, in a closed session, the committee rejected by a tie vote of 7-to-7 a proposal by Sen. John V. Tunney (D-Calif.) to extend the hearings for another two days to clear up inconsistencies in the record.

Tunney complained, moments before the hearings closed, that "we are left with the question" of how former Assistant Attorney General Richard W. McLaren reached his decision to settle three antitrust cases against the International Telephone and Telegraph Corp. rather than

See ITT, A20, Col. 1

ITT, From A1

appeal them to the Supreme Court.

"As a result of these hearings, we are never going to weeks ago. have the answer," he insisted.

nominee's request seven

That question has been cen- late February published a tral to the Kleindienst confir- memorandum attributed to mation hearings ever since ITT lobbyist Dita D. Beard. they were reopened at the linking the antitrust settlement to the company's pledge of at least \$200,000 to help Columnist Jack Anderson in bring this year's Republican

Diego.

In what the committee's Republican, ranking Roman Hruska of Nebraska, called a "historical occasion," Flanigan appeared yesterday to discuss four carefully defined areas, including his role from testifying. in arranging for an independent financial analysis used by McLaren in the ITT cases.

invest.ent banker Richard J. Ramsden report and a White Ramsden to do the financial House luncheon gathering atanalysis, Flanigan testified, "I tended by ITT president Harwas merely assisting another old S. Geneen. overworked public servant in a way that could be helpful."

and Ramsden.

The White House aide explained that McLaren came to last April 29. him rather than going directly to Ramsden only because McLaren, then chief of the Justice Department's Antitrust Division, did not know

where to find the consultant. Flanigan acknowledged for the first time that he personally passed along Ramsden's findings, that the proposed divestiture of the Hartford Fire Insurance Co. by ITT, would cost ITT stockholders about \$1.2 billion, to McLaren before the Ramsden report was delivered to the Justice Department.

But the White House aide steadfastly denied any attempt to affect the outcome of Ramsden's financial analysis, even though he provided Ramsden with a copy of a partisan brief on the subject prepared by

Although he "assumed" that the brief was prepared by ITT. Flanigan said, he did not tell Ramsden about that assump-

"I indicated to him (Ramsden) that this was a document that stated one point of view." Flanigan testified. "I told him that what was desired was an independent, objective analysis" of that document's conclusions.

Earlier this week, Ramsden told the committee that his understanding was that he was to do "independent" work on the financial consequences of a divestiture of Hartford by ITT, rather than reviewing the work of others

Ramsden testified that the only purpose of the ITT docu-ment was to help him "focus" on the issues involved in the antitrust cases.

Beyond those areas, however, the committee elicited little new information from Flanigan, whose appearance before the Senate panel neutralized a threat by Sen. Sam

National Convention to San J. Ervin Jr. (D-N.C.) and other the meetings in Mitchell's of-[Mich.) about whether, outside powerful senators to block a fice involved only the attempt specific meetings under disvote on the Kleindienst nomi- to salvage a failing Wall cussion, anybody else repre-Sen. nation.

Originally, the White House implied that is was invoking committee providing bigilant fects of the proposed divestithe doctrine of "executive privilege" to prevent Flanigan ing in their favor in every

But in the face of Ervin's threat, the administration tions during his appearance. backed down and permitted When he asked New York Flanigan to testify about the

At the committee's request, that was later expanded to in-"While it is not a particularly flattering term," Fanigan about the selection of San said, he served purely as a Diego as the GOP convention "conduit" between McLaren site and his presence at meetings in former Attorney General John N. Mitchell's office

> gan said the luncheon gather-limitations. ing did not involve antitrust

Street brokerage firm.

With Republicans n the supervision and Eastland rulinstance, Glanigan did not budge eyond those restric-

He had only been on the witness stand for about five minutes when he declined a request by Hruska to explain his current position as head of gan's testimony. the White House Council on International Economic Pol-

"I would be appy to answer that question, senator, but t is outside the agreed limitations," Flanigan said.

he was sure that all committee quarrels about the scope of Under oath yesterday, Flani- members would respect those Flanigan's testimon.

policy, that he knew nothing clined to answer a question assistance in recruiting outside

senting ITT expressed concern to him about the possible efture of Hartford.

As a partisan dispute flared among committee members, Kennedy observed that "I'm getting happier and happier" to have cast the only dissenting vote when the committee decided earlier this week to accept limitations on Flani-

Kennedy later told news-men that "it didn't do much good to get him (Flanigan) up here, if we couldn't ask questions relevant to the proceedings."

Only occasionally were the Hruska concurred and said senators good-natured in their

When Bayh asked Flanigan Moments later, Flanigan de- whether McLaren's request for about the convention, and that from Sen. Philip A. Hart (D-financial advice was "a normal

request," there was a long

Suddenly, Hruska, who had: been conferring with a staff aide, bolted forward in his a chair and demanded to know, "What was that question " are

"Stay with it," Bayh counseled the Nebraska Republican. "You almost dropped theball there, Roman." The reside

Flanigan was eventually permitted to answer Bayh's ques tion, explaining—as the committee had long known—that on an earlier occasion he requested a similar financial ans alysis from Ramsden for Mc-Laren concerning an antitrust case against Lin - Temco Vought, Inc.

By the end of the day, Eastland sustained objections to more than a dozen questions put to Flanigan by the Democratic senators. Only rarely did Flanigan have to pose his own objections before Eastland or the Republicans cut in. Start)