

8/31/89

Dear Mr. Weiss,

Thank you very much for the two forms and for your willingness to be helpful.

I don't know whether it can interest you but I'm enclosing a copy of what I filed with the local circuit court and with HCAO/Fishman.

If you take the time to read the enclosures you may see that my experiences with HCAO are not what I presume ^{are} is normal and that, in fact, some of the law firms did decline to take the case because of my age. I can understand this. The first firm in particular was quite honest about it. Nobody else spelled it out in cash terms as they did.

What I filed is a corrected rough draft because I wanted to file as soon as I possibly could.

If you change your mind about one of my books, please let me know. The one I had in mind does require a little prior knowledge but people who read none of the others first wrote me reflecting their understanding. It is titled Post Mortem. In a sense this is a pun because I didn't know whether I'd be able to publish more, and I haven't been, and because it deals with the JFK autopsy and what I believe relates to it and its deficiencies. If I may use that word.

It has an appendix of about 200 pages of once-suppressed official documents. Since then, under the Freedom of Information Act, I've gotten about a third of a million pages. I reproduce the appendix in facsimile.


All of this will be a permanent, public archive at local Good College.

I'm hoping I'll be able to find a local lawyer who will be willing to tell me what to do when I hear from circuit court.

This is a small, conservative area. So I was quite surprised to learn from the clerk yesterday that two malpractice suits were filed there last week. One is dental, the other legal.

But they are so rare the clerks do not have a set description. Last weeks were "miscellaneous," mine was "law."

Again thanks and best wishes,



Harold Weisberg