343 - 4 - built

DR. JERRY THOMAS FRANCISCO

2

3

1

The said witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

5

6

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LESAR:

Q. Dr. Francisco, were you the coroner for Shelby County

in 1968?

- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. In 1969?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. What was your -- you have been a practicing physician
- 13 here in Memphis?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. For how long?
- 16 A. Since 1955.
- 17 Q. And have you testified in court proceedings before?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Frequently?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you did testify at the guilty plea proceeding in the James Earl Ray case?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you execute an affidavit on June 10, 1968 for use in the extradition hearing of James Earl Ray?

A. Yes.

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What did you testify to at the hearing for James Earl Ray?
- A. Concerning the cause of death and certain circumstances surrounding death.
 - Q. Do you recall what was your testimony as to the nature of the wound?
 - A. A gunshot wound to the jaw severing the spinal cord.
 - Q. I would like to show -- let me just read it to you.

 Your testimony from the Otwell transcript says that:

"Examination revealed a gunshot wound to the right side of the face passing through the jaw into the neck through the spinal cord at the base of the neck, the bullet lodging beneath the skin near the shoulder blade on the left."

Is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you removed the missile from Dr. King's body, did you not?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. You described it here as a bullet. Is that the best description of it? Could you describe what you removed from

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dr. King?

- It was a bullet.
- Was it an entire bullet, completely intact? 3
 - You mean was there any element of metal missing? A.
- Yes. 5 Q.
 - Oh, yes.
 - How much?
- I had no way of measuring how much was missing, 8
- because the bullet had passed through several fragments of 9 bone and had left fragments throughout. 10
- How many wounds were there? 11
- Bullet wounds? 12
 - Wounds caused by the bullet, yes.
 - One, unless you choose to identify as a wound each organ as it passed through.

If you did not choose to do that, then therewould be one wound, but if you did, then there would be one wound for each organ.

- I show you a copy of the autopsy chart 14. Does that seem to indicate one or two wounds?
- One bullet wound and one surgical injury.
- Which is the surgical injury?
- The lower.
 - And from the nature of the -- from the course of the bullet through the body, and the fragments removed from it,

- A. There were fragments of the bullet that were left in the track, yes.
- Q. Would this indicate it was possible to distinguish the trajectory of the bullet backward from the point of origin, or not?
- 7 A. Was it possible to determine the path of the bullet 8 in the body?
 - Q. To determine the point from which the shot was fired.
- 0 A. Within General reference, yes.
 - Q. As I understand it, the bullet fragmented upon entry?
- A. There were fragments of the bullet left in the path,

13 yes.

11

14

1

3

- Q. And what was the path through the body?
- A. The path through the body was from right to left, from front to back, and from above downward.
- 17 Q. And what was the angle through the body?
- A. The angle through the body was not measured in precise degrees, but was roughly thirty to forty-five degrees angle.
 - Q. And that would indicate that the shot was fired at a thirty to forty-five degree angle?
 - A. No.

from

Q. It is not possible to determine/the course of the bullet
through the body the point of origin of the shot?

A. It is possible only to determine that the path of the

22

23

20

21

24 25

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

Q.

23

24

25

bullet traveling from upward to downward and from front to back and right to left. That projecting the trajectory of the bullet the missile came from a point above the body to the right of the body and traveling downward.

- Now, that trajectory would have to be based on a fixed point of entry on Dr. King, wouldn't it?
- I am sorry. I don't understand the question.
- It seems you could not fix the origin of the bullet unless you are able to determine with certainty the height with which the bullet entered Dr. King in relation to the bathroom window, or whatever point the bullet was fired from?
- I think I know the question you are trying to ask, but may I rephrase your question?
- Q. Certainly.
- You are trying to say, is it possible for me to say that a bullet came from a bathroom window to the exclusion of any other point on the face of the globe, right?
- All right. You answer your question.
- No, it is not possible for me to say that.
- Did you consider any other possibilities?
- A. Yes.
 - Which ones?
- Well, any other possibility on the face of the globe had to be considered, but this was not my responsibility to identify the location of the firing, only the path of the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

bullet within the body.

Q. In considering that question, a relevant factor would be whether or not Dr. King was standing upright or whether he was bending over, is that right?

- A. Which question?
- Q. In considering the question of the origin of the shot and the angle which the shot traveled?
- A. Would one need to know the precise location of the body when shot?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you know with certainty whether Dr. King was standing upright or bending over?
- A. Not of my own personal knowledge.
 - Q. Did you have any other evidence supplied to you on that?
 - A. The statements that Dr. King was standing at the balcony at the time the shot was fired.
 - Q. And this is reflected on the chart in the autopsy report, which indicates that the -- that the difference between Dr. King's right heel and his mouth is approximately sixty-one inches?
 - A. That represents the measurement.
- Q. And your calculations would have been based on that measurement?

24

25

some time, but regarding this case, no.

25

	H
1	Q. Were you here yesterday under subpoena?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. How much time did you spend here?
4	A. Until 12:30.
5	Q. You came back under subpoena today?
6	A. This morning until about 10:15 or 10:30.
7	Q. And you have never talked to any of these attorneys
8	about this case?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. Or anybody representing them?
11	A. Not to my knowledge.
12	MR. HAILE: Thank you, sir.
13	MR. LESAR: That's all, Your Honor.
14	Oh, just a minute.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. FENSTERWALD:
17	Q. Did you talk to Mr. Foreman or Mr. Stanton?
18	A. I did not talk to Mr. Foreman, and I do not recall
19	having a conversation with Mr. Stanton about this case.
20	MR. FENSTERWALD: That's all. Thank you,
21	Doctor.
22	(Witness excused.)
23	THE COURT: I want to see the witness up
24	here before we have a short recess just a minute.
25	(Thereupon, the court conferred with the

witness off the record.)

THE COURT: All right. Let's take about a ten- to fifteen-minute recess and come back and hear more witnesses, please.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.

MR. LESAR: Mr. Carlisle.