8/26/98

In your letter of 8/21, in response to mine of 6/14, you offer to help me in any way you can. That was kind of you but I am next not seeking any help. It is my belief that if you are looking for the worst of possible illustrations of what the Lab did and should not have done I doubt you can get any to compare with what I have documented. I've filed more than a dozen FOIA suits, put myself under oath to state that the Lab provided perjury and thereafter repented attributed perjury to the FBI, without any reaction. I am the only one of those writing about the political assassination, who had done no theorizing or conjecturing in ten books and I have restricted myself to the official evidence, which have.

I think that what you have started is very important and worthwhile and is long overdue.

I also think you will find nothing that has the impact on the people as the terrible practises by the Lab ib particular in that most subversive of crimes, the assassination of a President, which is a de facto coup d'etat. The same and in some ways even more blantant abuses when Wartin Luther King, Jr., was killed led to terrible violance and the greatest of costs and once again, what the Lab did was simply horrible.

I think that in both cases it included perjury.

AI'm 85 and in poor health but if you'd like, while I can I'd like to help in your worthwhile effort, the reason I wrote you.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Today's announcement of a new and limited investigation is of another whitewash and it means that assurances given you were not meant or kept. It assumes Ray's guilt when the evidence is to the contrary. The Lab did not even do a swab test of the rifle presumed to have fired the fatal shot when from all the evidence is that was impossible. The shot, as officially explained, was a physical impossibility, from the official evidence itself.