Mr. Raymond C. Fisher, Associate Attorney General The Department of Justice Washington, DC 20530

Dear "r. Fisher,

Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Rd. Fredsrick, IVID 21702

9/19/98

In 1968 you foxes guarding the chickenhouse of the lynching of Martin Luther King, Jr., by first abdicating and mains it a state prosecution and then by deceiving King's grieving family and associateds, got away with escaping your responsibilities and with seeing to it that injustice would be done and that drime would be unsolved. Now you foxes have stolen the key to the chikenhouse with a fraudulent announcement of the investigation you say you will make. This, another deception, you"decided" after making the little investigation required. And, before announcement of the minor investigation alr ady made, the one that will serve no purpose other than perpetuating the official dishonesties that have characterized this series of tragedies and further deceiving, alienating and turning people off all over again.

In my (unaswered) August 1 leater to you I sought to alert you to the neverat national defigrace you and the department are adding to those too many of the past on this and on related matters. That letter followed three to the attorney general that, with the politeness that seems to characterinze the department nos, did not even receive an acknowledge. I gave her, as I give you and am, despite serious wil illnesses and many limitations when I am page 85 from quite willing to defend, including against all the allegations you can callect from the FBI, what is independent truth and accurate information - most from your own lied-about files, which I have via the FOIA lawsuit, CA 75-1996. In this I referred to the <u>established</u> truth you formes were not about to let out through the clenched teeth of yout hloody mouthd. The misleading letter I have just received from one whole ther lacks personal and professional integrity or is ignorant of the matter, would give offense to the average high-school student. It is not any kind of response to any of my letters to the attorney general or to you.

Except that it is intended to perpetuate deception is that no meaning at all. But that it does seek to deceive adds all of you who had any connection with it to the department's catalogue of shame and dishoner in all matter in any way related to what was a de facto lynching - in which not one of you have not sought to propect the lynchers.

As I told you in my August 21 letter, I have bunique qualifications in this. The deartment, which includes the FBIM, which was a defendant in that case, actually persuaded the judge to assign me to be the department's counsel in my lawsuit

againt it, allging that my unique knowledge was required by it soit could comply with the law, which it never intended and never is do other that by compulsion and then it still stonewalled and misrepresented to the court unconscionably. (It also assured that court that it would pay me its usual consultancy feed and, consistent with its behavior in all of this, it gypped me out of them and out of my expenses and that when it knew I was broke and that service to it increased my indebtedness.)

Before then I had been Ray's investigator. I conducted the investigation for the habeas corpus petition, which succeeded, and I then an conducted the investigation that located and prepared the witnesses we produce for those two weeks of evidentiary hearing. In that we refuted what the FBI had stated and in inc case where, in effect, a Laboratory witness was sided to have been a liar, which meant a perjurer, heither he not anyone else appeared to make even a pro forma denial and no attempty was made to refute any it, not this in particular. In the end the judge in that case, knowing whit he had to do to continue to sit on the bench in Memphis if not also to survive, stated in his decision that guilt or innocence were not material to what was before him.

Not because I believe you would want to read what made clear what the department and its people have done in this wretchedly dishonest case and continue to do would appeal to you but for two other reasons I then sent you part of the rough draft finalt of a book manuscript intended as a record for history, titled Whoring with History: How the Gerald Posmers Protect the King Assassing. One of those reasons was on the off chance that there might be one of you sufficiently concerned about the nationals integrity and with the backbRone to learn the truth and be willing to risk his job by stating it and the other was to make and preserve a record of what you, officially, collectively and individually, did and did not do at the time of that great national tragedy and since then. I have found what I believe is the rest of that manuscriot and while I do not expect any one of you to read it for any one of you to be concerned about what the BI did to get Rosner a to do his whoring with history in what he wrote that is a de facto FBI book of the kind it has arranged for in the past. The offer is to make and leave a record for history. If the manuscript is not published as a book I have distributed copies among a number of ed@cational institutions and to a number of my friends who are intereted in and are expert in the subject, not theorists but that seeking fact.

The story referred to in my August's 1 letter to you, as did all storges with department and /or FBI inpunct, lied as the reporters were lied to in saying that he "confessed" to the shoting. He not only did not, he interrupted the voir dire when he detected that what he had agreed to was being expanded into that. He denied it.

I think the country has come to a hell of a pass when all of you so well paid and staff cannot produce a single whe with enough concern for the department's, the

, 2

government's and the nation's integrity to try to tell the truth as it exists in your own records and is otherwise readily available, and that of make a record, if nothing else, an old man, ill and feeble, must make the record because of the totality of abdication of personal and professional responsibility and of decent concern of the opinion of mankind. I apologize for my typing but it caunot be any better when to be able to type I must keep my right leg howizontal and the left leg with the heel as high as my heart and I do this with the typewriter between my legs. At 85. To try, knowing I cannot, get you people, all the many of you, to try to be at least in some degree honest when for all themany with knowledge in the department and the FB4 not a one has made that effort in three decades!

3

I doubt any of you thinks im such terms but aside from the magnitude of the actual difference, how much difference is there in the record all of you have that of and are making and what we know of public employees under Hitler and Stalin.

The Department, it seems, is determined to preferve the most dubious record it has made for itself and for the country and turned so many people, particularly young people off, is seeing to it that then three the four most outstanding leaders, including the President, were assassinated that the official explanations of them would not be acceptable to the people and would not be, despite all the propaganda designed to try to make the official myths acceptable.

Sincerely,

Yarold Weisberg

I simplify some of the most basic evidence for you because I have no reason to believe you took the time to learn it: The FBI did not and was never able to place Ray at the scene of the crime, particularly not at the time of the crime; and it did not and could not prove that his rifle was used in the crime (as it wat not and could not have been). To pretend the evidence of Ray's presence there was established by a witness, first the FBI and then the Civil Division suborned his perjury and then that perjurious affidavit was used to extendite him and then it was used in Hemphis. That it was perjurious was a matter of public and FBI record two weeks after the assassination.