

Attachment 3

Case No. 82-1072



United States Department of Justice

OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATE ATTORNEY GENERAL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

MEMORANDUM

March 27, 1980

TO: Robert L. Saloschin, Director
Office of Information Law and Policy

FROM: *QJA* Quinlan J. Shea, Jr., Director
QJA Office of Privacy and Information Appeals

SUBJECT: Freedom of Information Requests of Mr. Harold Weisberg

Reference is made to Mr. Flanders' memorandum to you dated March 4, subject as above.

I have no strong objection to placing this subject on the agenda of the Freedom of Information Committee, although I see no real need to do so. I disagree with many of the assertions in Mr. Flanders' memorandum. I do not agree that the Bureau has searched adequately for "King" records within the scope of Mr. Weisberg's numerous requests. In fact, I am not sure that the Bureau has ever conducted a "search" at all, in the sense I (and, I believe, the FOIA) use that word. It is confusing two totally different matters -- the scope of his requests administratively and the scope of a single lawsuit which we claim is considerably narrower than his administrative requests. Not really touched on in Mr. Flanders' memorandum, but very much involved in this matter, is the issue of what are "duplicate" documents for purposes of the Freedom of Information Act. The Bureau has rejected -- still informally, but very emphatically -- the position I espouse (and with which you agreed in your informal comments on my earlier memorandum to you). Lastly, but very important, is the matter of the scope of the fee waiver granted to Mr. Weisberg. In my view (and as intended by me at the time it was granted), the waiver extends to all records about the King assassination, about the Bureau's investigation of the King assassination (not at all the same thing), about the "security investigation" on Dr. King, and about the

(2)

Bureau's dealings with and attitudes towards its "friends" and its "critics" as they relate to the King case. The key point is that it extends to records by virtue of their subjects and contents, to the extent they can be located with a reasonable effort -- and is not determined by where and how the Bureau has filed the records. Although the Bureau has departed from its initial position in both the King and Kennedy cases (that the only relevant records are those filed by the FBI in the main files on those cases and/or the very principal "players"), it has done so very reluctantly and to a very limited, factual extent. I am personally convinced that there are numerous additional records that are factually, logically and historically relevant to the King and Kennedy cases which have not yet been located and processed -- largely because the Bureau has "declined" to search for them.

It is perhaps unfortunate that Mr. Weisberg is the principal requester for King and Kennedy records. He has heaped so much vilification on the FBI and the Civil Division -- a considerable part of which has been inaccurate and some of which has been unfair -- that the processing of his efforts to obtain these records has almost become an "us" against "him" exercise. My view has always been that the two cases are too important to the recent history of this country for that attitude to have any permissible operation.

The problem I have is that, although I know that what the Bureau wants the Committee to approve would contradict or be inconsistent with promises made to Mr. Weisberg by Bureau and Department representatives, and to representations made in court, and to testimony before the Aboureszk Subcommittee, I do not have the time to carry out the extensive research that would be required for me adequately to represent Mr. Weisberg's interests before the Committee, in an effort to avoid the very real blot on the Department's Escutcheon which would result from the approval of the Bureau's position. Accordingly, if this matter is to be placed on the Committee's agenda, I strongly recommend that Mr. Weisberg and his lawyer, Jim Lesar, be invited to attend and participate in the discussions.

cc: Vincent Garvey, Esq.
Civil Division

Inspector Flanders
Federal Bureau of Investigation