Dear Jerry, 9/14/72

Quite sincerely, your note of yesterday on Herman Kinsey and Henry Rothblatt is more than welcome. I did write you about thus, last uly 11. By file indicates, unfortunately, no response by you. It also indicates the kind of foresight you usually find unwelcome. By words, which include reference to the traditional vigor of Rothblatt's defenses, are, "Rothblatt may have complicated responsibilities that preclude his saying anything about this."

Since then he has surfaced in other trials, where the Army rather than the CIA has

been made to appear responsible for excesses.

I'm glad that you appear to be going over your files as they relate to me if it leads

to the return of those things I've requested.

By a remarkable coincidence, "il and I were with my source on that information Saturday night at a party given by the local papers. You are correct in saying I can't remember everything in my files. I did remember the Kinsey part and my source, but I did not remember that he is said to have given his files to Rothblatt for safekeeping. Your file should show she could not recall the lawyer's name when she was here and that she later phoned on recalling it. I think may be an added reason for my not remember it, not just that I can't and don't remember everything. If I had, I've had spoken to her further, as I may yet.

You are also correct in your assumption of my interest in The Watergate Caper. However, it involves what you do not know. Unfortunately, the "critical community" has never had anyone who could be depended upon to follow leads in New York, so they remain unattended.

There does appear to be a strange dovetailing, not just Cuban stuff.

Originally, the reporting was that Rothblatt represented only McCord. Recently, the unclear reporting indicates he represents more than McCord. This is not explicit or, if it is, I don't recall the names of his other clients.

For your information, Balley represents some. This also parallels the past about

Southeast Asia.

If you recall your McKinnon memo, you might consult your source on the information in it about these two lawyers. I presume he is no farthur away now than he was then.

Thanks,

bbc: Jerry's source is a fellow employee who says he was
CIA and who gave JP allegations that one Jack McKinnon
(remarkably like Jack Mchinney, who conducted a radio talk show that reached the entire
eastern half of the US and was heavy on assassination stuff) had been p art of a CIA anti —
JFK plot. Mine is a convervative woman of means who knew Herman Kinsey who she said was a
CIA agent, had evidence of CIA involvement in the JFK assassination, and who before his
death either gave or directed that on his death that his files relating to this and possibly other things be given to Rathblatt. If this is the actuality, they may have gone
into the memory hole. I had written Jerry to ask some checking on Rothblattk. Typically,
he seems never to have responded.

I ask that he speak to Rothblatt to confirm or rebut the story.

I mean, how much more dependable can a man like Jerry be, how much more dedicated to what has become identified as "pure truth" in his circle?

If he had responded, I'm sure it would be in the file. It isn't.