Ask yourself why they should lie at all. Repecially in this case, given its history; and with me, given their history with me and my known willingness to label false swearing as false swearing.

I think the reason is the harm that canones from the truth being estenlished. We have an important admission from "ilty on that, by the ways they could have made a quantitative spectro on all the bullet meterials and didnet with the JFK shooting while they did with the Tippit bullets. Kilty forget and mas willing to show off, so he told us that as done if was impossible to get any quantitative reading, and that is the only one that makes my difference. When you have bullet make you subject it to spectrographic analysis, you don't do that to establish that the substance is bullet metal, because you know that to light with. Unlimitive testings does not sensing enable establishing common origin.

The may remander that throughout I've been mading that when they may mimilar origin they really mean not common origin. Unless they may what in no single case they did say, quantitatively similar.

One they know in advance that the above was a phoney and they did what they had to to make it appear that they had solved the order. Furnished

Kilty was also atypically helpful in his willingsess to talk about tests that could still be made. I timk that probably he was trying to get off the central subject, but whatever explains it is not as important as the fact, that making a test of that spot on the carbetone today is simple, and he even gave us the choice of tests. So, I timk this is one thing we went to ask "roots, We've got enough of a case of phonephing with regard to that and the insredible tale of destroying that single plate only and with their initial failure to provide any record of testing and then providing an incomplete one and securing it is complete. I'm as certain as one can be that any test will reflect the fact that the congrete mix of the patch is enaily distinguished. What a story that will be today! and what it and the meet of this approach con, to far FULL today!

And all of this after still another appeals court remand. His flippency with regard to then we much have in the case record, for clearly this will so there yet again.

Anymny, I regard my judgement, that this is THE case in potential mignificance, as more than marely vindicated.

The report they produced and tried to palm off as including the everlap testing of the shirt is Architig 19. The court reporter forget to copy the second page. She's mailing it. Their problem is that they don't done produce any such testing or those results because they know the bales were not of ballistics origin after the testing. I proved it by other means, but the pictures leave no possibility of doubt, the bales do not coincide or overlap. That is may Functor had a heir-and-dibres expert suke the test when Franker was the ballistics expert...I won't sak lil to make me marking copies until it cools off. Recordile, I'll continue jutting what I'd already copied together, by subject. Heartily,

Dear Jin,

7/6/81

I've just finished reading the Kilty deposition and it tires me. Well, that and days that are now too long for me and the protime still too fast. (Oddly, or to me oddly, the dector didn't kick the desage of counsdin up last week when it was as such to fast. And he didn't today. Instead he told me to take an extra Jug today only and then to continue at the lower level I've been on since it mailed into the stratosphere. Of course I do as he says.) I tidnk that part, perhaps such, is smottanal, for I can't not reali se that those kinds of gays could be as baysy in the KUE or a fastapo.

Anymy, I regard this deposition transcript as one of the more important Historical records. These people didn't knew how much they drapped, And even the masty Cale, with his hilly-gilding, was very helpful. He slindwite any question of scope of the request by describing it as all-declimates, toward the end if you ever have to ,look it up.

You exhed me today, before I'd read this, what we want to ask in our notion when you file it. After meating this, on top of the other work I've been doing, with records provided and not provided and the previous depositions and elidavits, I want you to give serious thought to asking for a perjury change, after I as deposed or testify, whichever it is. Against Kilty.

I am without any doubt that his intention was perjudy. I have no remonable doubt that he did perjude himself, as a underwheel the definition. And while I do not believe that "ratt or any other judge will look with favour on such a charge, I believe it must be made.

The thing that surprises me about both Hilty and Osis, if not the others involved beining the scenes with them, is that they didn't step to think that I had the complete files Kilty and he scenched. He I not only have the files he scenched, I have the partiment records his search should have produced. And he was not really evanted in what he searched and what he provided as a result of the search. So, I have testing of three-shots eiter then he accounted for by providing any partiment records at all, when the suit is for the records of all testing, smanded to include all was material. I have the pages they withheld when they finally provided the curbat one variablests. It not only turns out that there are too different henderitten versions, the one in the main file not being the same as the one they mailed to you after we leaneds on these before - they also withheld too henderitten pages of "ranker's notes. And rather than the spectragraphic test ratherflating pages of a bullet more or bullet hitting that spot, he said it could have been an automatic wheelmaight. But it and bullet course do not have the same lead composition; so if it could have been a wheelmaight it outled not have been a bullet lead.

I'll be putting a case together as a hy-product of the proparation for deposition or affiliavit and you tent evaluate wit better the, but meanful think about it.