

LOS ANGELES, Sept. 17-Questions concerning the presdence were raised today at the second day of hearings in the court-ordered re-examination of the assassination of Senator

Robert F. Kennedy. DeWayne A. Woffer, chief forensic chemist of the Los Angeles Police Department, who was in charge of the technical investigation in 1968, said that bullets used as evidence against-Sirhan B. Sirhan, the convicted assassin, appear to have deteriorated because of oxidation and handling since he first tested them seven years ago.

Attorneys for the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office contend that, because of damage to ballistics evidence, valid conclusions cannot be drawn from

Becond Gun Issue The hearings are the first state of a plan approved by Judge Robert A. Wanke of Las Angeles Superior Court, to de-Angeles Superior Court, to de-termine whether a second gun, in addition to the Sirhan gun, was fired the hight of June 5, 1963, when Senator Kennedy was fatally shot in the Ambas-sidor Hotel here after winning the California Democratic Pres-light and the senator of the second control of the second second second second second the second seco

Idential primary. The re-examination was granted on the request of the CBS television network, whi ou the assassingtion investiga-tion, and Paul Schrude, a for-met official of the United Auto-mobile Workers who was die of five other persons wounded in the shooting.

Next Monday, a panel of seven firearms experts is scheduled to begin independent re-testing here of '20 ballistics exhibits used in the Sirhan trial. One of the first questions the group, will try to answer is whether the evidence has deteriorated enough to make retesting impossible.

Purpose of Hearings The hearings this week are intended to establish that all the evidence listed in the plan, which includes Mr. Sirhan:s .22

which includes Mr. Sirhan:s 22-childer pistol, eight spent car-tridges from the gun. Senator Kennedy:s clothing and bullets that struck the other victims, is still in custody. Proponents of the "second gun neery" maintain that the bullet that hit the Senator in the heck and another bullet that struck William Wetsal, one of the other victims, were not

of the other victims, were not fired from the same, gun. They based this, belief on apparent differences in margings on both bullets.

differences in markings on both bullets. Mn Weiter's Hestimony is mean to reconfirm what tests be performed in the original investigation and whether the ballistics evidence in custody is the same evidence he tested. During his testimony, Mr. Wolfer has had trouble recall-ing many aspects of his original

Wolfer has that it only a right in any aspects of his original examination. In answer to questions about his procedures and record-keeping, he often replied, "Today, seven years later, I do not recall." Some attarnays have criticized Mr. Wolfer for not keeping more detailed records of his investigation, which they say are vital to the new tests. A diary of this general activities has been, found, but Mr. Wolfer has and it was hept maining to support. Inis claim for overtime pay.