MR. KRANZ: I think Mr. Schrade's statement about my experience in criminal law may be a surprise to Wilbur Littlefield who is the public defender and John Moore, the deputy public defender, because I proudly served as a deputy public defender for two and a half years in Los Angeles County. And the first trial experience I ever had was in Watts as a volunteer attorney during the Watts disturbances in 1965 and '66, and I have argued cases before

the Appellate Courts in criminal matters. I just wish to

bring that to the Board's attention.

I secondly would like to state that no one has ever suggested that I write a report. I felt that it was necessary to at least give an overview of the controversies that have arisen through the past seven to eight years, and I certainly do not submit that I am accurate in all 100 respects in the report, and I certainly stand corrected with the mistakes that are brought to my attention, to the Board's attention, the District Attorney's Office. But I feel it was necessary to perhaps bring all the isolated issues into some form of context where they could be viewed as seeing a perspective of this tragedy and how the controversies arose giving some analysis and logical explanation for them.

Thank you.

MR. EDELMAN: All right. Before we hear from Mr. Godfrey Isaac, could we ask you, Al, to submit to the Board those questions that you think have yet to be answered? With your assistance and the assistance of Mr. Schrade and

. 11

Greg here and others, I think it would be helpful to have before the Board what questions that you feel have not been answered, and then let us determine if we have the process or if we have the desire to go ahead and pursue those further.

I think that that is something that at least we ought to leave the door open. The hearings are not closed yet, and I think we'll hopefully receive that information before we take up the next series of hearings.

MR. LOWENSTEIN: I will be glad to do that, and appreciate your interest.

MR. EDELMAN: All right.

2.

MR. WARD: Mr. Chairman --

MR. EDELMAN: Yes. Mr. Ward, and then we'll hear from Mr. Isaac.

MR. WARD: Mr. Lowenstein, you also brought, apparently on cards, a number of points that you debated raising with Mr. Kranz today but didn't in addition to your question list. Could those also be considered, we could screen them?

And may I say to my colleagues and Doctor Joling and Mr. Schrade and Mr. Lowenstein and anyone else with regard to the Bailey, former FBI Agent Bailey affidavit that was introduced by Doctor Joling in part, we had received from Mr. Christian a copy of it some days ago, and reading it two nights ago one of our people remarked that there is a phrase that he noted. Mr. Bailey noted at least two small caliber bullet holes in the center post of the two doors, and wondered did bullet holes have the

equivalent meaning of bullets. So we determined that we should call Mr. Bailey at his home in New Jersey, and did so. 3 We received his permission to tape record the conversation . and asked him were there bullets in the bullet holes. And he said, "Yes," based on his experience he believed those were bullets that he saw there. And we asked that what height in the door panel were they, were they at the -- he's six-feet-four. Were they at his stomach, which would be about here to where Doctor Noguchi is pointing, or would they have been higher, which is this area here (indicating). said that they were at eye level for him, and that presumably would refer to the bullets that were noted in the 12 photographs at about this point in the door post (indicating). 13

Now, this picture of Mr. Wolfer was taken on one day or at one time in the pantry, and before Doctor Noguchi got there that section of the post in which the bullets were said to have been lodged has been ripped out, and that section does not appear, both the bullets and the piece of panel are removed. But we assume that is about the eye height of former agent Bailey in his reference to the issue in the affidavit.

Thank you.

MR. EDELMAN: All right. Mr. --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Mr. Chairman, Godfrey Isaac, attorney for Sirhan Sirhan.

Just a brief comment and then I want to respond to Supervisor Hahn in light of the hour.

One, I do want to say that the dilemma

28

. 1

. 2

5

6

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

99

23

24

25

26

27

that I believe is here and that which I think brings great credit to the Board of Supervisors is that reasonable men can reach opposite conclusions on some of the facts that we have heard. And I don't believe that any conclusion by Tom Kranz is dishonest, even though I may not agree with it. But the same token, I don't believe that the conclusion of others who believe there may have been a second gun is dishonest based upon the studies of Teddy Shiraka and others who have done an investigation.

But I did want to say that I visited with Sirhan Sirhan on April 29 of this year to advise him and to discuss with him this proceeding. I particularly informed him of Supervisor Hahn's desire that someone speak with him in the interest of history, in the interest of truth and in the interest of bringing before this Board of Supervisors all the information available.

In that regard, he has specifically asked me to come before you and advise this Board of Supervisors that he, Sirhan Sirhan, would be willing to meet with Supervisor Baxter Ward, Supervisor Kenneth Hahn at the prison which he is now in custody.

He says that these two Supervisors he would be willing to discuss the matter with, to talk openly with, and would be happy to have them join him there for such a discussion.

And so I have come here to, in essence, give to Supervisor Hahn and Supervisor Ward that invitation.

And if after considering it the Supervisors should desire to

-,1

2

-

3

4

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

-14

16

17

19

21

20

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

do so, I will be happy on behalf of Sirhan to make such arrangements.

MR. WARD: Thank you, Mr. Isaac.

MR. HAHN: I certainly do appreciate your interest and your comments, and I will talk to Mr. Ward.

I didn't know what you were going to say, and perhaps we can visit him and to see if we can disclose more of the mystery on this and get the truth.

MR. ISAAC: So I'd be happy to arrange it, Supervisor Hahn.

MR. HAHN: And thank you.

MR. EDELMAN: All right.

Mr. Ward, do you have a date when you want to arrange --

MR. WARD: We'd better review everybody's calendar, including that of Mr. Kranz.

MR. EDELMAN: All right.

MR. WARD: And I am deeply apologetic to Lillian Castellano, who I had mentioned at the outset is serving as unofficial historian of a great deal, of the most information on the case. And we had hoped that we would hear from her today, but the time, she will be one of the speakers at the cut-off point. And I wanted to assure her that we are anxious to hear her views, and apologize to all of the other speakers who have come expecting to be heard today. If they would consider rejoining us, I hope they would accept the assurance of Chairman Edelman and Mr. Hahn, Mr. Hayes, Mr. Schabarum and myself that we want to hear them and will arrange the

necessary time.

 And we'll announce that time at next Tuesday's meeting, if that's agreeable, Mr. Chairman.

MR. EDELMAN: All right.

MR. KRANZ: Mr. Chairman --

MR. WARD: Thank you.

MR. EDELMAN: Mr. Kranz --

MR. KRANZ: I would just like to state for the Board, because I have, as I have stated previously, spent several months on this matter and have only been really on staff with the District Attorney's Office for four months, and I have commitments, priorities with my own practice and my own life, and I have always stood ready and able, willing to answer any questions. But I would respectfully ask that a specific time limit be placed on this because it is becoming increasingly more difficult for me just to continue in a matter that I submitted well over a year ago to continue to be that well versed on. And I would state for the Board that the District Attorney's files have always been available and that if any questions are to be submitted, they certainly can be submitted to the District Attorney's Office because they stand willing to answer them.

MR. EDELMAN: Why don't you do this: Why don't you put down your position in writing to the Board, and then we can discuss that when we get --

MR. KRANZ: I'll certainly try to be here, but

MR. EDELMAN: You are not getting compensated?

5

3

--

MR. KRANZ: No, I haven't been compensated since December 12 of '75.

MR. EDELMAN: Let me say in fairness to Mr. Kranz, I think he's tried to do the best job that he could under very difficult circumstances. As Mr. Godfrey Isaac said, "Reasonable men can differ," and we appreciate your coming forward with your report and with all the problems attendant thereto.

Now, if we may, let us move to Item 120. Thank you, Tom.

MR. KRANZ: Thank you, gentlemen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. EDELMAN: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

(Proceedings adjourned.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I, PATRICIA W. WATSON, Notary Public, the undersigned Official Reporter for the within hearing, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1 through 70 inclusive, do constitute a true and correct transcript of the proceedings held before the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles on Tuesday, May 17, 1977.

Dated this 23rd day of May, 1977.



Notary Public in and for the County of Los Angeles, State of California

. 19