Er. Martin Senator Edward Kennedy Senate Office Bldg. Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Martin,

As I primised, here is a copy of Dr. Lattimer's 1 tter to medical world dews of 3/13/70. It proves much more than that your man is the deliberate liar I characterized him, of the political paranoid, but that he makes confession of ignorance or of deliberate fakery. I think it makes clear that he began with certain preconceptions and has used you to comfort his ego, recepture his reputation of something of the sort. It is beyond dispute that as of the time he wrote this letter he had not troubled himself to bother looking at the available film of the assassination, was incapable of understanding the most obvious parts of it, or deliberately lied about that, too. It seems clear from his most recent statements that until you opened the doors for him, he still had not seen the most important film, taken by Zapruder.

Because I want you to know the mess your have been involved in, I am not going to spend much time with this miserable rot. I have marked only five points in it. The last needs no explanation. You should consider this all in the context of his current miser representation, that he was never satisfied that Oswald was guilty until he showed him the suppressed evidence.

It is the grossest falsehood to say that "The Kapruder film movie...quite clearly shows the points at which the President was hit." I was the first to interpret early parts of the film as showing what to me meant a reaction to having been hit, but this is far from saying it shows the impact. It does not. I challenge Dr. Lattimer to show this to you in my presence. You don't have to know anything about the fact of the crime to know how untrue it is to say that "any bullet coming out the President's neck would have left a hole in the car." All other possibilities ar at least a likely. Likewise with "the President's upright body shielded the Governor from other bullets." These things, aside from being false, also are concepts in terms of the official account only, and the existing and available pictures, which are plentiful show the deliberate lying in the statement that "his wirk coat and shirt can be seen folded neward...as he wavel..."
In none is there nore than the slighest wrinkle in the coat. Need I tell you the shirt is, necessarily, entirely invisible? Were this claim to be correct, there would have to be more than one hale in both the shirt and coat. And a six-inch imperfection is such tailoring as the President enjoyed?

Br. Lattimer's chart is another dead give-away. I invite you to obtain the one he drew for CRS. It is identical in this in its egregious error -after you have him his exclusive access. The simplest way of explaining it and of your proving it to yourself is to tell you it is impossible that the wound on the back of the neck was that high. The Secret Service did have the foresight to make official observations. They are recorded. Agent Aellerman then, to confirm his own and Agent Green's observations, called Agent Hill down from the 17th floor specifically for this purpose. All saw the naked body. I took two people you may feel no embarrassment in consulting to the Archives and had them study the individual plides of the Eapruder film with me. They will, I am confident, tell you that

at one point, after the fatal shot, the entire back of the President's neck is clearly visible and shows no wound or blood in this area. One is former Congressmen Ted Rupferman, now a judge in new York City (remember his request for an investigation after he saw this film and that certain pathologists accompany him, the request has harshall not only rejected but still refuses to acknowledge in his current accounting of requests for access?). The other is herb Jasper, A.A. to Senator wondale.

Obviously, I can't tell you why Dr. Lattimer is as dishonest as he is, and as deceptive. I can tell you that these and worse characterize everything he now says and has says. And I fear you are saddled with him, that he has hurt your Senator and the memory and reputations of all Kennedys more than I think you may be willing to consider, and in ways that may not yet have dawned on you.

Your silence will not deter me. I will be sending you what I think you should know. Whether or not you heed is your responsibility. Whether or not you consider you owe the country and your Senator at least the opening of a closed mind, I consider that I have certain obligations. I have not bankrupted myself, mortgaged my future and wrecked our lives to be discouraged by the cowardice, hangups or strictures imposed upon you or anyone else.

Unless something else of an important character comes to mind or attention, I will soon be sending you some questions I am addressing to others, not to you. If they answer, which I do not anticipate, I will send you copies. If they do not answer, I encourage you to ponder the questions alone on your own and then evaluate their silences.

Meanwhile, I have charged the Archivist with perjury, and not for the first time, with regard to precisely some of what is here involved. He is, for the second time, silent. There is no doubt at all of the false swearings, hence the silence. Nost men have higher regard for their integrity.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Unleviewshily constantifical?

Doctor called unfit to judge JFK data

By Larry S. Finley

The long-supressed photographs and X-rays of John F. Kennedy's body have become the center of renewed medical controversy over the assassination.

Dr. John K. Lattimer, the first private physician to see the medical evidence, says it confirms the Warren Commission's finding that Kennedy was shot from behind and above by one man.

But one of the nation's leading experts on gunshot wounds has challenged Lattimer's qualifications to make judgments from the medical evidence.

Dr. Cyril H. Wecht, president of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, told The Daily News that he considers Dr. Lattimer "unbelievably unqualified" in the field of forensic pathology.

THE 65 photographs and X-rays are locked up in the National Archives in Washington, under an agreement between the Kennedy family and the government.

In 1966, the Kennedy autopsy documents were placed in the National Archives with the agreement that expert pathologists could see them after five years for "scholarly" purposes. Lattimer is the first to be allowed to see the material.

"I don't know what in the world possessed this fellow Lattimer to have the arrogance, the affrontery, to project himself into this as a reviewer, as an evaluator of a complex medical nature," Dr. Wecht said.

"I'm amazed that anyone would accept Dr. Lattimer as an expert in pathology. That isn't even his field. He's a urologist, a kidney-and-bladder man. By definition this is a guy who never moves above the belly button."

DR. WECHT, who also has requested to see the Kennedy photographs, is coroner of Allegheny County in Pennsylvania and an expert in forensic medicine, which includes gunshot wounds as they apply to law.

Dr. Lattimer is chairman of the urology department at Columbia University's College of Physicians and Surgeons, and has written numerous articles on the medical aspects of the assassination.

Dr. Wecht said he didn't know why Dr. Lattimer's request to see the autopsy information was granted before his own and those of three other pathologists.

He said it might be significant that the four pathologists were all critical of the Warren Commission's handling of medical evidence, while Dr. Lattimer's writings supported the government findings.

DR. LATTIMER said the autopsy results show that a bullet passed through the President's throat at a downward angle and that the right, rear section of Kennedy's head was partly destroyed by another bullet.

CHICAGO

DAILY-NEWS

Critics of the official government version of the assassination contend that the President wasn't killed by Lee Harvey Oswald alone and that at least one other assassin fired from in front of the President's motorcade as it moved through Dallas, on Nov. 22, 1963.

In 1968, then-Atty. Gen. Ramsey Clark selected four physicians to examine the evidence. Their conclusions were basically the same as those of Dr. Lattimer.

AN APPARENT difference between Dr. Lattimer's account and the previous examination of evidence is the entry point of the nonfatal bullet that p a s s e d through Kennedy's neck.

Dr. Lattimer said in an interview with the New York Times that the entry wound of this bullet was higher up the neck and that the bullet traveled at a greater downward angle than indicated by Warren Commission drawings. The Times account was published Sunday.

The physicians appointed by Clark looked at the same evidence and concluded that "the . . . bullet struck the decedent's back at the right side of the base of the neck between the shoulder and the spine and merged from the front of his neck near the midline."