

Dear Dave,

7/5/98

With the mail late and my having reached a painful point in what I am writing about the ignorant eg-trip of Noel Twyman titled Bloody ^{reason}, your note of 7/2 and the stupid Internet thing amuse and ~~at~~ take a moment for it.

It is stupid for anyone to say he is writing about what he knows nothing about,

I have never said I have never been proven wrong. Not often not ^{and} major but I have found error myself, inevitable with the ^{rush} ~~rush~~ and absence of editing.

What I did say is that I have ~~Not~~ had a call or a letter from any of those in official roles in which he alleged that I was unfair or inaccurate about him.

The animus and the ignorance of that person shows when he criticizes me for saying that Oswald did not pick those handbills up himself. That is what the FBI makes clear in two reports I used in Oswald in New Orleans. I then interviewed Douglas Jones⁴ and he identified four pictures of a man not Oswald and said, on ^o looking at the Oswald mug shot, that it was not him. Later I interviewed both Jones and Myra Silver, who had been his assistant, and both were firm in stating it was not Oswald. Separately they examined about a hundred miscellaneous pictures and each said it was not Oswald and each picked those same four pictures. The ^o only person who can contradict them is the ^o one who picked those handbills up.

When the FBI gave those reports to the Commission, is it not obvious that both knew it was not Oswald?

Bringuier perjured himself and for that Garrison could have nailed him. I have the perjury proven, with confirmation, and I've done nothing about it because if I did someone besides Bringuier would be hurt. Interviews taped openly and agreed to ^{on playback}.

Specter silencing the Parkland doctors: ^o read what Specter and Perry say in Whitewash and then the White House text of the first White House press conference of the LBJ administration and it is very obvious. If you do not have it, make a copy when you are here again. Perry said three times, in answer to questions as soon as they cleaned up after the futility of trying to ^o save JFK, that the wound in the front of the neck was of entrance, hence not from the TSB. All the papers carried it and AP had it on the wire.

"Jerry" is wrong in saying "WC apologists have nothing to apologize for."

They have Jerry to apologize for.

Thanks and best,

Henry

July 2, 1998

Harold —

All of a sudden there are a few posts with you mentioned. I'm not going to send all or even most in the future, I just thought you might find this of interest. I don't know the real name of the author of this one. Thanks for the clippings. Dave

Operated by MARRIOTT Conference Centers
331 Richland Ave., Athens, OH 45701 Phone (614) 593-6661

Subject: Harold Weisberg Has No Theories – Nothing But The Facts
From: amethyst@prodigy.net
Date: Wed, Jul 1, 1998 12:12 EDT
Message-id: <6ndenr\$2v4\$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>

Harold Weisberg claims that his books – unlike all the other books on the assassination of JFK – contain no theories, only facts. Further, he brags that he has never been proven wrong. In fact, he brags quite a lot - when he is not viciously attacking – in a no-holds-barred manner – those he sees as enemies/bad guys. He is particular enraged by Geo Lundberg and Dennis DiBreo of the AMA and the three autopsists they interviewed for JAMA- "the men who were there" - and a selection of the ever popular Dallas Docs. He damns the whole enterprise and each element of it with a vehemence that makes Hitler's comments on the Jews sound moderate. Apparently, he believes the articles and players were a clear manifestation of the indefatigable Cover-up Gang at work.

I am largely unfamiliar with Weisberg's works and so ask those Weisbergians among us to tell me what Harold's proof is for some assertions I found in Never Again. As he insists he does not deal in theories, I assume there are hard facts to back up everything he says – as, he mentions, he has never been proved wrong about anything.

Some examples from Never Again –

"[LHO] did not pick up from the printer the handbills he distributed to portray himself as pro-Castro." (p. 284) [Note that Harold believes this was just an act for an audience and Oswald was not really pro-Castro at all!]

"What was quite shocking to me is both the FBI and the Commission knew it was not Oswald who had picked up those handbills." (ibid)

"Garrison could have nailed a Warren Commission who was important in pinning a phony "red" label on Oswald."

"Some [Dallas Docs], particularly Malcom Perry were pressured into silence by the Commission's Specter." (p. 61)

As Weisberg doesn't deal in theories, I guess I should conclude it's a FACT that Oswald did NOT pick up the handbills and that he was NOT 'really' a marxist and NOT really pro-Castro and that Perry and the Dallas Docs were pressured into silence and that the entire autopsy was a sham from beginning to end, starting with Humes, Boswell and Finck and going thru Adm Galloway to the top.

All these are facts?

Jerry
"WC Apologists have nothing to apologize for."

—== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==—
http://www.dejanews.com/rg_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum