

x96/30/98

Dear Dave,

Thanks for those computer bits.

If I remember correctly, Richie <sup>N</sup> Ginto is a fine Canadian, friend of a man who has been a friend for decades. ~~He~~ has been her. They have the notion that Greer fired the fatal shot with his pistol and with his ~~his~~ left hand. They ~~beli~~ believe it, too.

I've ~~not~~ read the Mcdea book. If I'd had the time and the interest I would not have because of criticisms of it + now do not recall.

It has been that hot here, too, <sup>w</sup> but we've been staying in. I do my ~~w~~aking long before daylight.

If you wind up switching, I hope it is to something you enjoy!

Thanks and best,

Harold

June 27, 1998

Dear Harold:

I have enclosed an article I found while cleaning up my apartment; I know the source, just not the date; my apologies for that. Also I have enclosed some ongoing chat on the bulletin board on JFK assassination that mentioned your name. I thought you might be interested when your name comes up. I don't check all that often, but from time to time look for comment of interest. I find it not there more often than I find it.

I am reading a book *The Killing of Robert F. Kennedy* by Dan Moldea, written in 1995 that appears to be well researched. He interviewed the primary figures, including Sirhan and security guard Thane Cesar and gave him a polygraph. He concludes that Sirhan acted alone. If that is true, and using his theory, it is almost purely coincidental that Sirhan wound up in that kitchen passageway the same time as Kennedy; of course, who knew they would take that way out at that time anyway, especially since Kennedy was thinking of not even appearing to the crowd in the first place.

Still oppressively hot and humid here - in the 90's every afternoon with heavy humidity. Last night we had a couple of thunderstorms as well that were brief, but violent. It is supposed to let up some Monday, but only into the high 80's.

In a week I start teaching my 3-week summer school course. The summer seems to be going fast this time, unlike a year ago. I am not too much looking forward to school, what with 93 teachers laid off and over 100 classified employees due to the failure twice of a school levy, and not much better prospects for it passing in November. And with this they gave the superintendent a contract extension. The way things are going it looks like I will be an overpaid clerical worker due to the fact that we won't have students workers to file nor the half time secretary we had to help. That leaves me filing documents and notes and also doing cafeteria duty for an hour; not much time to run down truants. All of this makes it that much easier for me to retire to a second career next June if something is offered that is appealing to me.

I hope you both are doing well, getting around, and beating the heat. Take care.

Sincerely,  
Dave

Subject: Re: Weisberg's Wise Words To Ritchie Linton  
From: ritchie linton <rlinton@idirect.com>  
Date: Thu, Jun 25, 1998 01:03 EDT  
Message-id: <3591F909.3F1E@idirect.com>

amethyst@prodigy.net wrote:\*\*\*\*\*SEE below\*\*\*\*\*

- >
- > Sometimes Weisberg hits home runs –
- >
- > "Harold Weisberg once said to me that we should all remember that Oswald
- > was capable of his own initiatives." (post by ritchie linton)
- >
- > An excellent point to keep in mind!\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\* Unfortunately, you are stil swinging at the empty space,  
having missed the curve.

- >
- > Why assume that when Oswald did something - like defect, for instance - that
- > he did it because somebody told him to do it?
- >
- > In fact, is there any evidence that he was ever used, or had a malleable
- > character which was susceptible to manipulation?
- >
- > He was very like his mother, Margarete Oswald.
- >
- > Can you imagine anybody manipulating her?
- >
- > Jerry\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

I am greatly disappointed at your mis-use of this quote. You have torn it  
entirely out of context.

Harold said this to me in the context of understanding Oswald- he was no  
ones minion. That was posted to blow your suggestion that it was  
Bannister using Oswald- you set that up to knock it down- and I agreed  
that it should be knocked down, because of what Weisberg said. You are  
trying to turn this remark on its head and thats not right.

What is right is that Oswald was in the field, made his own calls about  
who to get close to, and one of the people he chose was Bannister. That  
was a good choice, if you are trying to get close to the guns, which was  
my point. Bannister knew about the guns, isn't that right? So Oswald  
displayed some initiative, isn't that also right?

Your attempt to involve the mother is cheap-please refrain. It has no  
meaning to the point being made. Thanks.

RJ

Subject: Weisberg's Wise Words To Ritchie Linton  
From: amethyst@prodigy.net  
Date: Wed, Jun 24, 1998 10:10 EDT  
Message-id: <6mqtm0\$8o8\$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>

Sometimes Weisberg hits home runs —

"Harold Weisberg once said to me that we should all remember that Oswald was capable of his own initiatives." (post by ritchie linton)

An excellent point to keep in mind!

Why assume that when Oswald did something - like defect, for instance - that he did it because somebody told him to do it?

In fact, is there any evidence that he was ever used, or had a malleable character which was susceptible to manipulation?

He was very like his mother, Margarete Oswald.

Can you imagine anybody manipulating her?

Jerry

—== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==—  
<http://www.dejanews.com/> Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading