Thanks for your 鲜tter of the 2 d and to you both for its contents.
There is no time for full answers because the work I must do to get Post Hortem out. You find the answers to all tha questions in it. Problems, naturally, keep coming up and have to be resolved. I don't know when it will be out but it is getting closer to ready to be printed each day and that is what tademxitionst cones lirst.

The 0lson-Iurner article, if I don't have it, isn't worth having. Neither knew what he was talkine about and 01son's work on the film and everythingelse is bad.

Leaar told me yesterday that ha had checised with the appeals court. He filed notice of appeal. They will write him in avout three weeks and he'11 go int and give them $\$ 50$ and then we' 11 have about 40 days.
${ }^{t} t$ i.s not worth more of your time to get artciles in general. If it is not possible to get a text outlining the essential steps we have enough on all except the legal work. I think we take an exceptional record to the appeals court, where there are other problems but not one of fact. Not one of law, either, unless it decidss again to perpertuata the rewriting of the law.

The Ray appeal is far behind schedule but is a good one. It has to be completed and filed this soming week. We can get away with late filing because the court has not responded to motions controlling it. They were filed on time.

The Eqnuirer story is accurate. A few minor formulations that are not do not make on difference in accuracy. It sold thege one-tirne rights to them in order to help raise money to pay the printer. The straight press goes for crap only. And the matazines are rippine off only.
'
I don $t$ know when either appeal will be argued. Some time after the papers are sll filed and the courts set those dates. Nod right away.
${ }^{\text {Hest, }}$

Dean Whir. Weislery -
Sin sony that $e$ haven hod the time until now to ger bock is you on those unaterials you requested, lur it being any first year of law school in generally pretty bung.
Two of the enclosed articles al sent wets some degree y uncertainty as of whether or not they related specifically To whit you are dealing with, but A hope they unis prove ofeome interceder $X$ you anyway .
In going over old issues of the formal y It orensic deices, Siam across an article by Bon Olson + Ralph IF. Turner on then analysis of the photograph hic evidence of te assassination. The article mentioned your in passing. At was printed Ontokes, 1971 . Of you moved like the article, fie sender you.
In reference * the Enquirer article Robert enclosed herein, you conduce that the throat wound is a wound of entrance. (unless the article is misenterpretiry you) hie always believed this to be the case imperf, lust what of the FBI reports which unmaintained the fivers on JFK's phis collar were turned outward indiesteng ar wound of epis? Pho, what of the lack of metallictroces the FBF reports or the collar there?
I wis interesleit in knower what test, to your turowledje, have been performed on CE. 399 other chan Those which are genera knowledge?

Also, A was wondering when and where the oped will be argued? Se there still time to get off another botch of materid to you before the case comes up?

Bestow luck \& you, Gerry Kay
J.KAY

5967 tens Street, Apt.\#4
Brooklyn, New Yo ok
11231
PS.- When do you expect Post-Wortem to be coming out?

