

Dear Jim,      enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomaniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he every expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

But what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show Lil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envelope in which you mailed me something. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his fingerprints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

*He has had many opportunities as a lawyer to be of help but has always declined to do so, usually on basis of hazard to his position. He will not attempt to obtain docs he wants thru regular channels but feels he has a right to come here & take anything at all which he wants from the files -*

Dear Jim,       enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomaniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he ~~emery~~ expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

But what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show Lil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envelope in which you mailed me something. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his fingerprints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

Dear Jim,       enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomaniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he every expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of these 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

But what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show Lil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envelope in which you mailed me something. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his fingerprints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

Dear Jim,           enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomaniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he emerg expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of these 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

But what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show Lil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envelope in which you mailed me something. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his fingerprints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

Dear Jim,      enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomaniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he were expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd provided him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

What kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show Lil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envelope in which you mailed me something. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his fingerprints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,