Dear Jis, enclosures on Kabak

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other mistehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I bedieve that he is this kind of egonaniacal person, not worse.

Sobtt as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he emerg expected to be able to return he would be so complete a hastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its claudestine interpretation of the Sepremer film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If an I may I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing also is possible.

but what kind of lawyer is he if he does what sen lead to professional diagrace if not weres?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting every with it in the past when I was askeep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show wil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an envirope in which you mailed me semething. Idl saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his finger-prints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Mi's wife, Scott, Idl and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have analoused me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had then where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

He has had many opportunities as a lawyer to be of help but has always declined to do so, usually on basis of hogast to his position. He will not attempt to obtain does he wants then regular channels but not attempt to obtain does he wants then regular channels but feels he has a right to come here take anything aball which he wants from the files.

Dear Jin, enclosures on Kabak

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomeniacal person, not worse.

Shott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he emerg expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not dream that he would steel, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strange problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestime interpretation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get in whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell myself that nothing else is possible.

But what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional disgrace if not worse?

Why?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show bil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an enviope in which you mailed me semething. Lil saw where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his finger-prints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have awakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

Dear Jim, enclosures on Kabak

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomeniacal person, not worse.

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found syncif wondering why if he every expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bestard in his behavior.

But I did not dress that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of these 1466 pages all of which I'd promised him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Tet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some atrange problems, why should be so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interprejation of the Zapruder film that, from the existing records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what rational explanation suggests itself to you?

If as I say I think his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell my-self that nothing elec is possible.

out what kind of lawyer is he if he does what can lead to professional diagrace if not worse?

Yhy?

Perhaps encouragement from getting away with it in the past when I was asleep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sunse?

I did show bil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an enviope in which you sailed me scaething. Lil sew where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his finger-prints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, Lil and I were the only other ones here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the machine it would have swakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I had them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait until we are together.

Troubled,

Dear Jim, enclosures on Kabak

6/21/76

I am dismayed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Kabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egomeniscal person, not worse,

Scott as well as Lil, I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated,

I found myself wondering why if he emerg expected to be able to return he would be so complete a bastard in his behavior.

But I did not drawn that he would steal, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of these 1466 pages all of which I'd provided him. He had asked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strongs problems, why should he so carelessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestine interprejution of the Zapruder film that, from the smirting records, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what retional explanation awaysts itself to you?

If as I say I twink his problems are in the head, I can't reasonably tell mycelf that nothing clee is possible.

"ut what kind of lawyer is he if he does what wan lead to prefessional diagrace if not worse?

a mar

Ferhaps encouragement from getting every with it in the past when I was salesp and he was our guest, but does it cake any kind of sense?

I did show bit that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an environ in which you mailed me senething, idl sew where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his finger-prints. Scott had never used my copying machine. M's wife, Scott, kil and I were the only other once here. I have made no copies of those pages. Had Scott used the sachine it would have awakened me environ. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I jud them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone, I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have snything to suggest, let it wait until we are tegether.

Troubled,

I am disneyed to learn that in addition to all his other misbehavior Rabak is what amounts to a thief.

If other explanations do suggest themselves, I believe that he is this kind of egonomicoel person, not worse.

Soft as well as Lil. I am sure, will tell you that I have not exaggerated.

I found myself wondering why if he energy expected to be able to return be would be so complete a heartern in his behardors

But I did not dress that he would steel, especially when for his specified purposes there was absolutely no need. I did lend him a duplicate John had made for me of the first of those 1466 pages all of which I'd provised him. He had saked me if I thought I could complete this in a couple of months and I had told him I expect to.

Yet when he knows I'm engaged in a publication deal that has already had some strongs problems, why should he so correlessly take apart the CIA's file on its clandestime interprejetion of the Sapewier file that, from the existing recents, it did not have?

When he was to get it whether or not I publish, what retional explanation emerges a itself to you?

If as I may I think his problems are in the head, I cen't reasonably tell my-

but what kind of lawyer is he if he does what was lead to prefendental diagrams if not worse?

Way?

Perhaps encouragement from getting every with it in the past when I was askeep and he was our guest, but does it make any kind of sense?

I did show bil that sequence of files inventory-numbered around 450 and I did then put them in an environ in which you mailed me senething, his sew where I filed them. She did not touch them. I am without doubt that they hold his finger-prints. Scott had never used my copying machine. Ed's wife, Scott, his and I were the only other ence here. I have made no copies of those pages, had Scott used the machine it would have anakened me anyway. This also is the one set of those records I did not have filed in a separate place. I jud them where I sit when I'm on the phone because I expected to have to discuss them by phone. I found them near the copying machine.

I'll mention this briefly when we speak by phone. If you have anything to suggest, let it wait will we are together.

Troubled