Dear Lou,

Often I start a letter like this by explaining "I am not a larger but...". In this case I'll vary it a bit and say that I started work at 3 a.m. today, am getting deemed old in how I feel and will not beloome any protests about how busy others are when my purposes benefit these others.

Because I am not a lasyer -and even if I were in this case it would rake no difference - I can't mess how railey will hundle the case. However, at this late date I have come accross some new voidence that can't be questioned and, I think, can be extremely useful to all of you. It is in semething I am writing and I will want to reserve its first use for that writing unless the case should come to trial first.

When you are here I'll go into it with you. This is fer you to remind no because I may be tired enough to forget. It has to do with Oswald in a way you and I n vor discussed. I will now tell you what I already have in other ways, that I am not about to make any irresponsible use of anything and that the things Jim told loo to give he before there was some switch are things I should have as soon as possible so that I may be able to find some free time to study them. They are not now in any sense essential to my writing. They are desirable for my understanding and in ways that you may in time find can help all of you. If you do this and if there may be), write that accross it in a way that will not obliter ate it or in the margin at that or t ose point(s).

Like to be able to go over before you are here. One is all statements of any kind by or attributed to the Oswald neighbors, esp cially both Garners and including newspaper clips. Another is description of those reported seen with either or both Oswalds inct counting the one on Thornley, which I have) and their cars (not counting the Paine stationwayon. And another is descriptions of or pictures of any of the PBI agents in N.C. during the saumer of 1963, including their cars, if only the color and general description. You say have had no occasion to have this, but I'm asking in case you got anything like it. You should know some, so let me ank for your descriptions of those in whom I have most interestand you can do this in less than five minutes on a casectte: Kennedy, deBrusys, wall (is he related to your new remod down there?); Kasek, Judgley; Steinmeyer, Jogan.

If you did not get a letter from me asking about some addresses and things like that without explaining their surpose, please let me know.

Best regards.